



DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAIN LIBRARY

5 CLOSED  
STACKS



GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

352.9 SA52P <sup>9</sup> 718458

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

Form 3427


C

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY




3 1223 90185 9430


DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2012 with funding from  
California State Library Califa/LSTA Grant







CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET  

---

A N N U A L      R E P O R T  
- - - - -      - - - - -

FISCAL      YEAR  
JULY 1, 1943 to JUNE 30, 1944  
--oOo--

x 509  
525 1/2

718458

# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET  
DOUGLAS 3923

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

Gentlemen:

Submitted herewith is the Annual Statistical Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year July 1, 1943 to June 30, 1944.

During this period 1741 persons were received on probation; 246 from Superior Court, and 1495 from Municipal Court. Sixty-eight violated the terms of probation, which was revoked.

At the beginning of the year 1745 were on probation, and during this period 3486 were under supervision. At the end of this time 1917 remained on probation, which is an increase of 172 over the previous year, or approximately an increase of 10%.

Investigations and reports handled by this department are as follows:

Superior Court	406
Municipal Court	2316
Reports to Board of Prison Terms & Paroles	<u>111</u>
Total for year 1943/1944 . . . .	2833
" " " 1942/1943 . . . .	2319
" " " 1941/1942 . . . .	1839
Increase over previous year . .	514 or 22%.

From the Womens' Court Dept. 10-2(Coordinated Venereal Disease Program) 1101 females were referred for investigation and report.

We appreciate the fine cooperation shown by the judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, presiding in the Criminal Departments, who greatly facilitated our work during the year. Our appreciation is also acknowledged to the Police, municipal departments, and other public agencies for their assistance.

Respectfully submitted

GMcN:JJM

George McNulty  
Chief, Adult Probation Dept.



CONTENTS

	Pages
General Statistics. . . . .	1, 2
General Statistics, Probationers Received and Investigations made . . . . .	3
General Statistics - Offenses . . . . .	4
Financial Statement . . . . .	5
Superior and Municipal Court . . . . .	6
Tabulation- Superior Courts - Offenses by Ages	7
Tabulation- Municipal Court - Male Offenses by Ages	8
Tabulation- Municipal Court - Female Offenses by Ages	9
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity of Probationers Received . . . . .	10
Occupations of Probationers . . . . .	11

WOMENS' COURT

Municipal Court - Department 10, Division 2 Information regarding Total Number of Investigations made in this court for the fiscal year . . . . .	12, 13
--	--------





GENERAL STATISTICS

Annual Report 43/44

	Male	Female	
Number on probation year ending June 30, 1943	1510	235	
Received during fiscal year	1263	478	
Total Number under supervision during year	2773	713	3486
Released during year	1511		
Revoked during year	58		1569
Total Number on probation June 30, 1944			1917

In the Superior Court applications for probation were denied and the defendants were sentenced to the following institutions:

California Youth Authority	25	
State Prison	52	4
County Jail	76	2
Preston School of Industry	13	
Fined	2	
Sonoma State Home	2	

Total number denied probation - - - - -	170	6	176
---	-----	---	-----

REVOKED:

Superior Court	7	2
Municipal Court	46	3

Total Number Revoked . . . . .	53	5	58
--------------------------------	----	---	----

An average number of 1828 probationers were reporting during this period. Out of this number 58 failed on probation, which was revoked; this gives the percentage of failures as approximately 3%.

WOMENS' COURT:

An average number of 236 women reported during the year; out of this number 10 had their probation revoked, which gives the percentage of failures in this court as approximately 4%.

In this court there were a total of 1101 investigations, which includes a total of 211 women re-arrested. The disposition of these is shown on separate pages in this report.

WATER RESOURCES

Water in production from 1900 to 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

1900 1901

WATER RESOURCES

1900 1901

1900 1901

GENERAL STATISTICSAnnual Report  
1943/1944Male   Female

Total Number under supervision during the year . . . . . 3486

RELEASES:

Discharged early(Penal Code 1203.3)	19	2	
Probation Expired(without change of plea)	1249	205	
Discharged from Probation per 1203.4 Penal Code	30	2	
Revoked	46	2	
Died	3	1	
	<u>1347</u>	<u>212</u>	<u>1569</u>

Total Number on Probation June 30, 1944..... 1917INFORMATION REGARDING NEW CASES RECEIVED:

	<u>SUP. CT.</u>		<u>MUN. CT.</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	
Number of applications for probation received(for pre-sentence investigation)	344	17			361
Number cases referred for special investigation(not probation applications)	44	1	6		51
Probation History-new probationers	217	29	1046	449	1741
1st time in court	190	28	894	428	1540
2d time on probation	16	1	97	20	134
3d time on probation	11		55	1	67

CONDITIONS IMPOSED(as a grant of probation).

Jail or road camp	55	2	49	1	107
Fined as condition	28	1	97	4	130
Restitution	39	2	433	47	521
Family Support	3		193	1	197

Wages earned by probationers during the year were exceptionally high reaching a total of about \$4,000,000.00

1875

4025

PROBATIONERS RECEIVED  
AND  
INVESTIGATIONS MADE

Annual Report  
1943/1944

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
July 1943	27	0	95	29	151
August	13	1	86	32	132
September	17	0	98	24	139
October	14	1	79	37	131
November	25	3	108	36	172
December	16	1	107	33	157
January 1944	15	4	95	28	142
February	19	2	59	53	133
March	17	3	82	44	146
April	20	3	61	33	117
May	15	6	81	43	145
June	19	5	95	57	176
TOTAL MALES	217		1046		1263
TOTAL FEMALES		29		449	478
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROBATIONERS	217	29	1046	449	1741

INVESTIGATIONS:

Superior Court	344	17			361
" " Special	44	1			45
Municipal Court			1046	149	1195
" " Special			6		6
" Womens' Court				1101	1101
Out-of-town Probationers			14		14
Reports to Board of Prison Terms and Paroles					111
TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	388	18	1066	1250	2833



GENERAL STATISTICS

Annual Report 43/44

OFFENSES

<u>FELONIES</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	24	1			25
Burglary	25	1	1		27
Forgery, notes	1				1
Checks, forgery 476 P.C.	5	2	2	1	10
Checks, N.S.F. 476a P.C.	7	3	18	1	29
Embezzlement	1				1
Omit to Provide	1				1
Grand Theft	22	3			25
Section 500 Vehicle Code	4				4
" 501 " "	2				2
" 503 " "	19	1			20
" 480 " "	7	1			8
Rape	6				6
Other sex offenses	1		18		19
Robbery	18	1			19
Violating Drug Laws			5	1	6
Section 107 P.C.(Escape)		1			1
Receiving Stolen Property	3				3
Other felonies	4				4
Manslaughter	3				3
Arson	1				1
Section 499b P. C.	1				1

MISDEMEANORS

Assault			6		6
Battery			110	16	126
Contributing to Delinquency .					65
of Minors	50	15			
Disorderly Conduct	2		138	7	147
Disturbing the Peace	2		43	8	53
Drunk			168	28	196
Violating Sec. 502 Vehicle					
Code	4		59	3	66
Omit to Provide			127	2	129
Petit Theft	3		89	99	191
Vagrancy			46	259	305
Traffic Laws	1		127	9	137
Local Ordinances			22	6	28
Other Misdemeanors			51	8	59
Vio.Sec.499b P.C.			16	1	17
TOTALS	- - -	217 29	1046	449	1741







FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1944.

Appropriated \$ 45,875.00

Expenditures:

Salaries	\$ 41,524.51	
Contractual Services	1,092.87	
Materials & Supplies	528.03	
Equipment	60.75	
Rent	<u>576.00</u>	43,782.16

Unexpended balance \$ 2,092.84

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children  
and other family support . . . . . \$ 75,161.71

Merchants, Hotels and other commercial  
restitution . . . . . 52,469.10

Fines and restitution for the benefit of  
the City and County . . . . . 16,568.46

TOTAL COLLECTIONS \$ 144,199.27

Cost of supervising an average case load  
of 1828 probationers per month for the  
fiscal year ending June 30, 1944.

Actual Expenditures . . . . . \$ 43,782.16

Less:

Fines collected, to General Fund 16,568.46

Net cost to City and County . . . \$ 27,213.70

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing the financial condition of the Board of Education for the year ending June 30, 1904.

\$ 10,000.00

Amount paid

Amount received

Balance forward  
From previous year  
From interest on bonds  
From interest on investments  
From other sources

\$ 10,000.00

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received

Amount received

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

\$ 10,000.00

Amount received from the sale of bonds  
Amount received from the sale of investments

# SUPERIOR COURT

Annual Report 43/44

										Male	Female
Number on probation as of July 1, 1943										548	40
		<u>Dpt. 8</u>		<u>Dpt. 6</u>		<u>Dpt. 11</u>		<u>Dpt. 12</u>		<u>Total</u>	
		<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
Mo-	(78					117		72		344	
tions		5		2		3		7		17	
Probation											
<u>Granted</u>											
		59		55		58		45		217	
		15		2		4		8			29
Number under supervision (Total 834)										765	69
Number revoked and sentenced										7	
Revoked but not sentenced											1
Probation expired (without change of plea)										150	13
Discharged early per 1203.3 Penal Code										15	2
Discharged from probation per 1203.4 PC.											
(change of plea)										30	2
Died										2	
Number on probation June 30, 1944										561	51
											612

# MUNICIPAL COURT

Number on probation July 1, 1943						962	195
		<u>Dpt. 9</u>	<u>Dpt. 10</u>	<u>Dpt. 10A</u>	<u>Dpt. 11</u>	<u>Dpt. 12</u>	
Males	142	376	20	217	291	1046	
Females	1	96	300*	15	37	449	
*Includes number of probation granted, restored to probation and modifications = 488, less 188 discharged.							
Number under supervision (Total 2652)						2008	644
Number revoked and sentenced						11	10
Revoked but not sentenced						28	1
Discharged early per 1203.3 Penal Code						4	
Probation expired (without change of plea)						1099	192
Died						1	1
Number on probation June 30, 1944						865	1305
TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION as of June 30, 1944							1917
TOTAL NO. UNDER SUPERVISION . . . 3486.							
DURING THIS PERIOD							



TOTAL		Male	Female
PC	Other		
499b PC	Arson		
	Embezzlmt.		
	Manslstr.		
	Escape		
	Rape		
	Robbery		
	Del. of Minors		
	Contr.		
	Mal. Mis.		
503 VC			
502 VC			
501 VC			
500 VC			
400 VC			
Receiving Stolen Prop.			
Grand Theft			
D/Peace			
476 P.C			
476a P.C			
Burglary			
Assault			

[illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



OFFENSES BY AGES  
MUNICIPAL COURT - MALE

AGES	Aggravated Assault	Drunk	Dist/Peace	Molestation	Omit Provide	Threats	Vag.	476a P.C.	476 P.C.	Traffic	Drugs	502 VC	Sex Offenses	Petit Theft	499b P.C.	Local	Other	TOTALS	
18	2	1	5	1	5			2					5	1				22	
19	3		6		2			4				1	4	3	1	1		25	
20	1	1	15	2	2			4			2		2	2		1		33	
21	3	2	1	9	2		2	1		5		1	4	1	1			32	
22	4	1	3	6	3		1	1		5		2	1	3	1	1		32	
23	3	2	2	5	3	1	2	2		10			3	5	1	3		42	
24 25	8	2	8	8	3		1	2	1	7		5		2	1		5	53	
26 27	1	4	3	3	4	5	1	3		8		1	2	4		1	2	42	
28 30		8	9	3	14	26	1	3	2	9		4	2	6	2	1	4	94	
31 33	1	14	38	2	24	28	2	5	1	18	3	21	4	15		1	10	187	
34 37	1	18	34	1	7	18	3	5	2	1	16	1	5	5		4	5	126	
38 40		11	23	8	8	16	1	4	1	11		6		12		4	2	107	
41 Over	3	31	53	10	27	20	2	11	6	28	1	12	8	24		7	8	251	
TOTAL	6	110	168	43	138	127	11	46	18	2	127	5	59	18	89	16	22	41	1046





OFFENSES BY AGESMUNICIPAL COURT - FEMALE

AGE	Battery	Drunk	Dist/Peace	Mal-Mischief	Offense to Provide	Vagrancy	Viol. Sec. 476a P.C.	476 P.C.	Traffic	Drug	502 CVC	Petit Theft	499b P.C.	Local	Other	TOTALS
18					13			1			6					20
19	1				17		1				4					23
20			1		10						4	1	1			17
21		1	1		24			1			6					33
22	2	1		1	12						4					20
23	1		1		23						7		1	1		34
24	1	2	1		19			1			7		1	1		33
25																
26	1	1		1	23			1			7					36
27																
28	2	4	1	1	40			1			12		1			62
30																
31	2	4	2	1	28	1		1	1	1	11		2	2		56
33																
34	3	5	4	1	24			1			11			3		52
37																
38	1	6			16					1	1					25
40																
41	2	4	1		8			2		1	19			1		38
& Over																
TOTALS	16	28	3	7	2	259	1	1	9	1	3	99	1	6	8	449



<u>MARITAL STATUS</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Single	72	10	274	60	416
Married	104	15	697	279	1095
Divorced	17	3	33	54	107
Separated	21	1	42	56	123
TOTALS	187	29	1046	449	1741

RELIGION

Catholic	51	12	249	99	411
Protestant	103	11	307	82	503
Jewish	6	0	13	4	23
None stated	27	6	477	264	774
TOTALS	217	29	1046	449	1741

NATIVITY:

California	44	1	245	76	366
Other States	159	28	651	354	1192
Foreign Born	14	0	150	19	183
TOTALS	217	29	1046	449	1741

Approximately 10% were Foreign Born

" 21% came from California

" 69% came from other states.\*

\*Principally from the Middle-Western and South-Western States.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE YEAR 2000					DATE
NO.	NAME	AGE	SEX	HEIGHT	WEIGHT
1001	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1002	JANE	22	F	1.60	60
1003	JOHN	28	M	1.80	80
1004	JANE	24	F	1.70	70
1005	JOHN	26	M	1.78	78
1006	JANE	23	F	1.65	65
1007	JOHN	27	M	1.76	76
1008	JANE	21	F	1.58	58
1009	JOHN	29	M	1.82	82
1010	JANE	25	F	1.72	72
1011	JOHN	24	M	1.74	74
1012	JANE	22	F	1.62	62
1013	JOHN	26	M	1.77	77
1014	JANE	23	F	1.64	64
1015	JOHN	27	M	1.79	79
1016	JANE	21	F	1.59	59
1017	JOHN	28	M	1.81	81
1018	JANE	24	F	1.71	71
1019	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1020	JANE	22	F	1.61	61
1021	JOHN	26	M	1.76	76
1022	JANE	23	F	1.66	66
1023	JOHN	27	M	1.78	78
1024	JANE	21	F	1.60	60
1025	JOHN	28	M	1.80	80
1026	JANE	24	F	1.70	70
1027	JOHN	25	M	1.74	74
1028	JANE	22	F	1.63	63
1029	JOHN	26	M	1.77	77
1030	JANE	23	F	1.67	67
1031	JOHN	27	M	1.79	79
1032	JANE	21	F	1.61	61
1033	JOHN	28	M	1.81	81
1034	JANE	24	F	1.71	71
1035	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1036	JANE	22	F	1.64	64
1037	JOHN	26	M	1.76	76
1038	JANE	23	F	1.68	68
1039	JOHN	27	M	1.78	78
1040	JANE	21	F	1.62	62
1041	JOHN	28	M	1.80	80
1042	JANE	24	F	1.72	72
1043	JOHN	25	M	1.74	74
1044	JANE	22	F	1.65	65
1045	JOHN	26	M	1.77	77
1046	JANE	23	F	1.69	69
1047	JOHN	27	M	1.79	79
1048	JANE	21	F	1.63	63
1049	JOHN	28	M	1.81	81
1050	JANE	24	F	1.73	73
1051	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1052	JANE	22	F	1.66	66
1053	JOHN	26	M	1.76	76
1054	JANE	23	F	1.70	70
1055	JOHN	27	M	1.78	78
1056	JANE	21	F	1.64	64
1057	JOHN	28	M	1.80	80
1058	JANE	24	F	1.74	74
1059	JOHN	25	M	1.74	74
1060	JANE	22	F	1.67	67
1061	JOHN	26	M	1.77	77
1062	JANE	23	F	1.71	71
1063	JOHN	27	M	1.79	79
1064	JANE	21	F	1.65	65
1065	JOHN	28	M	1.81	81
1066	JANE	24	F	1.75	75
1067	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1068	JANE	22	F	1.68	68
1069	JOHN	26	M	1.76	76
1070	JANE	23	F	1.72	72
1071	JOHN	27	M	1.78	78
1072	JANE	21	F	1.66	66
1073	JOHN	28	M	1.80	80
1074	JANE	24	F	1.76	76
1075	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1076	JANE	22	F	1.70	70
1077	JOHN	26	M	1.77	77
1078	JANE	23	F	1.74	74
1079	JOHN	27	M	1.79	79
1080	JANE	21	F	1.68	68
1081	JOHN	28	M	1.81	81
1082	JANE	24	F	1.77	77
1083	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1084	JANE	22	F	1.72	72
1085	JOHN	26	M	1.76	76
1086	JANE	23	F	1.75	75
1087	JOHN	27	M	1.78	78
1088	JANE	21	F	1.70	70
1089	JOHN	28	M	1.80	80
1090	JANE	24	F	1.78	78
1091	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1092	JANE	22	F	1.73	73
1093	JOHN	26	M	1.76	76
1094	JANE	23	F	1.76	76
1095	JOHN	27	M	1.79	79
1096	JANE	21	F	1.71	71
1097	JOHN	28	M	1.81	81
1098	JANE	24	F	1.79	79
1099	JOHN	25	M	1.75	75
1100	JANE	22	F	1.74	74

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE YEAR 2000  
 The data were collected from a survey of 1100 individuals.  
 The survey was conducted by the National Health and Medical Research Council.  
 The data were collected from a survey of 1100 individuals.  
 The survey was conducted by the National Health and Medical Research Council.

OCCUPATIONS  
OF  
PROBATIONERS

	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTALS</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Clerks	15	3	59	50	127
Cooks or Waiters	10		31	5	46
Drivers or Hearsters	11		86	6	103
Janitors	6		23	3	32
Laborers	44	4	168	70	286
Professional and Merchants	12	2	46	8	68
Salespeople	5		22	3	30
Tradesmen	72	3	441	45	561*
U.S. Soldiers, Sailors and Seamen	27		147		174
No occupation	11	3	16	67	97
Dancer				4	4
Waitresses		5		99	104
Housewives		8		79	87
Nurses	1	1		5	7
Landlady				5	5
Retired	3		7		10
TOTALS	217	29	1046	419	1741

\*Employed chiefly on War Work.

Wages earned by Probationers during the fiscal year  
computed on a monthly average . . . \$3,643,360.00



**SAN FRANCISCO ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT**  
**MUNICIPAL COURT - DEPT. 10, DIVISION 2**

Annual Report  
 1943/1944

**AGE GROUPS**

Juven-iles	18	19	20	21 & 22	23 to 25	26 & 27	28 to 30	31 to 33	34 to 37	38 to 40	41 & Over	Number of Investigations.
30	14	15	27	132	169	74	90	68	96	44	71	890
1	2	6	7	22	37	20	19	24	24	20	29	211 Re-Arrests
Total Number of Investigations and Reports												<u>1101</u>

**CHARGES:**

Vagrancy	755	Prior Record	379
Vio. Ord. 1869	151	None	722
Drunk	192		1101
Other charges	117		

1245 (Some were charged with more than one offense.)

**DISPOSITION:**

Granted Probation	244
Demand Jury Trial	9
Sentenced to County Jail	345
Dismissed	185
Suspended sentences	57
Committed to State Hospital	10
Transferred to Juvenile Court	24
Dismissed to go home	3
Deceased	1
	<u>890</u>

**DISPOSITION OF Re-Arrests:**

Granted Probation	28
Sentenced to County Jail	80
Transferred to Presiding Judge	1
Dismissed to return home	9
Committed to State Hospital	4
Dismissed	34
Off Calendar	1
Demand Jury Trial	2
Suspended sentence	3
Transferred to Juvenile Court	1
Held to Superior Court	2
	<u>211</u>

Total 1101

Ordered to leave San Francisco and Return Home - 66

TOTAL VD	Syphilis	MEDICAL REPORT			Prior Social Disease
		Gonorrhea	Lymphogranuloma Venereum	Other	
267	59	185	7	16	217
37	Re-arrests 7	28	1	1	53
<u>304</u>	66	<u>213</u>	8	17	<u>270</u>
	Prostitutes	199	Drug Addicts	34	
	Promiscuous	468	Alcoholics	207	







MUNICIPAL COURT - DEPT. 10, DIVISION 2  
(CONT'D.)

Annual Report  
1943/1944

MARITAL STATUS

RACE

NATIVITY

Single	212	White	655	California	206
Married	269	Negro	195	Out of State	656
Divorced	157	Indian	34	Foreign Born	28
Separated	203	Other	6		
Widows	<u>49</u> 890		<u>890</u>		890

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	282
No employment	260
Employed in last six months	<u>348</u>
	<u>890</u>

TIME IN

SAN FRANCISCO

CALIFORNIA

Less than six months	430	460	890
Over six months	<u>291</u>	<u>599</u>	890

Number on probation as of June 30, 1943	54
Probationers received during fiscal year	272
Modifications and placed on probation	<u>216</u>
Number under supervision during this period	542
Revoked and sentenced to County Jail	10

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	88		
Unsatisfactory	109		
Sent to State Hospital	<u>1</u>	<u>198</u>	<u>208</u>

Number on probation as of June 30, 1944. . . . .	<u><u>334</u></u>
--	-------------------



1944-1945  
SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC UTILITY

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET

ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1944 to JUNE 30, 1945

--000--



INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal	1
General Statistics (Information (Conditions (Investigations	2
General Statistics (Releases (Revocations	3
General Statistics - Offenses	4
General Statistics -(Superior Court (Municipal Court	5
Financial Statement	6
Superior Court - Offenses by Ages	7
Municipal Court - Offenses by Ages	8
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity	9
Occupations of Probationers Received	10
Municipal Court, Dept. 10, Divn. 2 (Ages (Charges (Disposition (Medical Report	11
do. Marital Status, Nativity, Race, Employment	12
do. Releases	13



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

550 MONTGOMERY STREET

DOUGLAS 3923

### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

Gentlemen:

The Annual Statistical Report of the Adult Probation Department is herewith submitted for the fiscal year July 1, 1944 to June 30, 1945.

During the year 1875 persons were received on probation; 213 from Superior Court, and 1662 from Municipal Court. One hundred and sixteen violated the terms of probation, which was revoked.

At the beginning of the year 1917 were on probation, and during the year 3978 were under supervision. At the end of the year June 30, 1945 - 1796 remained on probation.

Investigations and reports handled by this department are as follows:

Superior Court	362
Municipal Court	2458
Reports to Board of Prison Terms & Paroles	<u>123</u>

Total Investigations and Reports 1944/1945- 2943

#### Comparison:

Total for year	1943/1944	2833
" " "	1942/1943	2319
" " "	1941/1942	1839

Increase over last year .. 110.

From the Womens Court, Dept.10-2(Coordinated Venereal Disease Program) 1259 females were referred for investigation and report; which is an increase over last year of 158.

We greatly appreciate the fine cooperation shown by the judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, presiding in the Criminal Departments during the year. We also wish to acknowledge our appreciation to the Police, municipal departments and other public agencies for their assistance.

Respectfully submitted

GMEN:JJM

George McNulty, Chief  
Adult Probation Department





INFORMATIONREGARDING NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	
Number of applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigation)	340	18			358
Number of cases referred for special investigation (not probation applications)	" 4		3		7
Probation History. -					
New Probationers	190	23	1022	640	1875
1st time in court	146	20	860	509	1535
2d time on probation	36	2	108	129	275
3d time on probation	8	1	54	2	65

CONDITIONS IMPOSED(as a grant of probation).

Jail or road camp	64	7	36	4	111
Fined as condition	26		120	11	157
Restitution	41	7	314	36	398
Family support	8		208	2	218

INVESTIGATIONS:

Superior Court	340	18			358
" " Special Investigations	4				4
Municipal Court			1022	165	1187
" " Special			3		3
" Womens Court				1259	1259
Out-of-town probationers			9		9
Reports to the Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	121	2			123
<b>TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1034</b>	<b>1424</b>	<b>2943</b>



# GENERAL STATISTICS

Annual Report

Number on probation year ending June 30, 1944		1917
Received during fiscal year		1875
Returned to active status - Modifications		<u>186</u>
Total Number under supervision during year		3978
Released during year	2068	
Revoked during year	<u>114</u>	<u>2182</u>

Total number on probation as of June 30, 1945 - - - - 1796

In the Superior Court the following

applications for probation were denied	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
and defendants were sentenced as follows:		

California Youth Authority	30	
State Prison	50	2
County Jail	55	1
Preston School of Industry	3	
Sonoma State Home	<u>1</u>	

Total number denied probation ... .. 139 3 142

## REVOCATIONS:

Superior Court	6	2
Municipal Court	<u>54</u>	<u>52</u>

TOTAL - 60 54 114

An average number of 1800 probationers were reporting during this period - these were from Superior and Municipal Courts: 87 failed on probation, which was revoked - percentage of failures was approximately 5%.

An average number of 300 women from the Womens Court, Dept. 10, Divn. 2, reported during the year - 27 had their probation revoked - the percentage of failures in this court was approximately 9%.



# GENERAL STATISTICS

Annual Report 4<sup>th</sup>/45

## OFFENSES

<u>FELONIES</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	26	3			29
Burglary	11				11
Checks, forgery, 476 P.C.	6	1			7
Checks, N.S.F., 476a P.C.	6	3	6	2	17
Omit to Provide	2				2
Grand Theft	18	7			25
Section 501 Vehicle Code	2				2
" 503 " "	19	1			20
" 480 " "	10				10
Rape	7				7
Robbery	21				21
Receiving stolen property	1				1
Other felonies	11	2			13
Manslaughter	3	1			4
Arson	1				1

## MISDEMEANORS

Assault			17	2	19
Battery			133	15	148
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	40	5			45
Disorderly conduct			132	6	138
Disturbing the peace			52	19	71
Drunk			184	29	213
Violating Sec. 502 Vehicle Code			66	9	75
Omit to Provide			159	7	166
Petit Theft			75	48	123
Vagrancy			25	483	508
Sex offenses			37		37
Vio. Traffic Laws			65	9	74
Local Ordinances			12	8	20
Other Misdemeanors			34	3	37
Vio. Sec. 499b P. C.	6		25		31

## TOTAL OFFENSES

190 23 1022 640 1875



## Annual Report 44/45

## Male Female

MUNICIPAL COURT

Number on probation July 1, 1944	865	1410					
	<u>Dpt.9</u>	<u>Dpt.10</u>	<u>Dpt.10A</u>	<u>Dpt.11</u>	<u>Dpt.12</u>		
Males	148	346	36	162	330	1022	
Females		75	483*	21	61		640
*Includes number of probation granted, restored to probation and modifications							
Number under supervision	1887	1084					
Number revoked and sentenced	3	17					
Revoked but not sentenced	51	8					
Discharged early per 1203.3 Penal Code	21	246					
Probation expired(without change/Plea)	940	428					
Died	2	1					
Number on probation June 30, 1945 . .	870	384					1254
TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION as of June 30, 1945 . . . .							1796





FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1945.

Appropriated	\$ 48,335.00
--------------	--------------

Expenditures:

Salaries	\$ 45,508.64	
Contractual Services	861.00	
Materials and supplies	617.89	
Equipment	118.62	
Rent		<u>47,106.15</u>
Unexpended balance (To General Fund)		<u>\$ 1,228.85</u>

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support	. . . \$ 77,633.37
Merchants, hotels and other commercial restitution	. . . 45,496.43
Fines and restitution for the benefit of the City and County	. . . . <u>17,054.78</u>
TOTAL COLLECTIONS - -	<u>\$ 140,184.58</u>

Cost of supervising an average case load of  
1950 probationers per month for the fiscal  
year ending June 30, 1945:

Actual expenditures	. . . . . \$ 47,106.15
Less:	
Fines collected - to General Fund	<u>17,054.78</u>
Net cost to City and County	. . . \$ <u>30,051.37</u>



SUPERIOR COURTS  
OFFENSES BY AGES

F - symbol for Females.

AGES	Arson	Assault	Burglary	476 P.C.	Forgery	Grand Theft	Rec. Stolen Prop.	Hit & Run	501 VC	503 VC	Orbit Provide	Contr. Del. Minors	Robbery	Rape	499b P.C.	Manslaughter	Gun Law	Other	Male	Female	TOTAL
18	1		1	1	1				4		F 1		1						10	1	11
19		F 1			1F	1	1		4			2							10	2	12
20		1	1	F	1				4										7	1	8
21																					
22		3	F	F	1F				2		2	3		1					12	3	15
23		F 1	1		2				F		2F	1	1						8	3	11
24									2		2	1							5		5
24	3				F						1	1				1			6	1	7
25					2				1		1F	2	1						7	1	8
26	1	1			1				1		1								5		5
27	2				1F		1				1			1	F		F		6	3	9
28	1F		F 1				2				F 2								6	3	9
29		1									1		1	1					4		4
30/34	1	3	1		1F		3	1	1		3F	3	1	1	2	1	F		25	3	28
35/39	8		1	1	2F						5	2	1			1	1		22	1	23
40/44	1	1	2	1	1		3			2	7	2		1					21		21
45/49	5			2	1		1				3		1				1		14		14
50 & Over	2			1	F						11		1		1	1	5		22	1	23
Male	1	26	11	6	6	18	1	10	2	19	2	10	21	7	6	3	4	7	190		
Fem.		3		3	1	7			1		5				1		2		23		
TOTAL	1	29	11	9	7	25	1	10	2	20	2	45	21	7	6	4	4	9			213



MUNICIPAL COURT  
OFFENSES BY AGES

AGES	Assault	Battery	Malicious Mischief	Drunk	Unl. Provide	Dist/Peace	Vagrancy	Local	Sex	Traffic Laws	476a P.C.	502 VC	Petit Theft	499b P.C.	Other	TOTAL
18	M F	3	3	3			M F	1	4			5	5	1		48
19		1	6	2	1	1	21		1	3		3	3	6	1	50
20		2	8	1		3	17	2		2		4		1		45
21	1	5	14	3	3	2	1	1	11		1	7	8	4		169
22			2	2	2	3	86	1	1	1		7	7		1	169
23	3	9	15	1	17	1	4		2	9	1	6	9	4	3	186
24		1	1	1	2		83	1		1	1	1	8			186
25	1	14	5	4	16	4	1	2	2	3		3	6		2	113
26		1		1		1	44			1			2			113
27																
28	2	20	16	6	24	4	2		6	6	2	8	9	1	4	177
29			3	1	1		2			1	1		6			177
30							52									
31	1	12	9	16	27	1	1	1	3	7		6	4	1	2	159
32																
33	2	2	2	8		2	45			2		2	3			159
34	3	16	14	36	21	7	4	3	8	3	1	12	8		5	211
35																
36		4		3		2	54	2		1		2	2			211
37																
38	1	8	9	31	14	7	3	2	4	3		8	5		4	143
39				5	1	1	28			1		2	6			143
40																
41	5	43	33	81	34	24	1	3	9	14	2	22	15		7	361
42																
43		4		8		7	38	2		1		2	6			361
44																
45																
Male	17	133	132	184	159	52	25	12	37	65	6	66	75	25	34	1022
Female	2	15	6	29	7	19	483	8		9	2	9	48		3	640

Each age classification - on top row - Males, bottom row - Females.



<u>MARITAL STATUS</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Single	76	3	201	56	336
Married	109	18	646	407	1189
Divorced	2	1	83	85	171
Separated	3	1	92	92	188
Totals	190	23	1022	640	1875

RELIGION

Catholic	62	5	299	153	519
Protestant	71	12	360	141	584
Jewish	3	0	14	4	21
None stated	54	6	349	342	751
Totals	190	23	1022	640	1875

NATIVITY:

Born in California	26	6	218	202	452
" Other states	141	14	655	416	1226
Foreign born	23	3	149	22	197
Totals	190	23	1022	640	1875

Approximately 10% were Foreign Born.

" 20% came from California.

" 70% came from other states.\*

\*Mostly from the South Western States.

Table 1. Summary of data for the first 1000 cases.					
Case No.	Age (yr)	Sex	Occupation	Duration of illness (yr)	Outcome
1	45	M	Farmer	1	Recovered
2	50	F	Homemaker	2	Recovered
3	35	M	Teacher	3	Recovered
4	60	F	Retired	4	Recovered
5	25	M	Student	5	Recovered
6	70	F	Widow	6	Recovered
7	40	M	Engineer	7	Recovered
8	55	F	Nurse	8	Recovered
9	30	M	Writer	9	Recovered
10	65	F	Homemaker	10	Recovered
11	42	M	Manager	11	Recovered
12	58	F	Teacher	12	Recovered
13	38	M	Engineer	13	Recovered
14	62	F	Homemaker	14	Recovered
15	28	M	Student	15	Recovered
16	72	F	Widow	16	Recovered
17	48	M	Engineer	17	Recovered
18	52	F	Nurse	18	Recovered
19	32	M	Writer	19	Recovered
20	68	F	Homemaker	20	Recovered
21	44	M	Manager	21	Recovered
22	56	F	Teacher	22	Recovered
23	36	M	Engineer	23	Recovered
24	64	F	Homemaker	24	Recovered
25	26	M	Student	25	Recovered
26	74	F	Widow	26	Recovered
27	46	M	Engineer	27	Recovered
28	54	F	Nurse	28	Recovered
29	34	M	Writer	29	Recovered
30	66	F	Homemaker	30	Recovered
31	41	M	Manager	31	Recovered
32	59	F	Teacher	32	Recovered
33	39	M	Engineer	33	Recovered
34	61	F	Homemaker	34	Recovered
35	29	M	Student	35	Recovered
36	71	F	Widow	36	Recovered
37	49	M	Engineer	37	Recovered
38	51	F	Nurse	38	Recovered
39	31	M	Writer	39	Recovered
40	69	F	Homemaker	40	Recovered
41	43	M	Manager	41	Recovered
42	57	F	Teacher	42	Recovered
43	37	M	Engineer	43	Recovered
44	63	F	Homemaker	44	Recovered
45	27	M	Student	45	Recovered
46	73	F	Widow	46	Recovered
47	47	M	Engineer	47	Recovered
48	53	F	Nurse	48	Recovered
49	33	M	Writer	49	Recovered
50	67	F	Homemaker	50	Recovered
51	41	M	Manager	51	Recovered
52	59	F	Teacher	52	Recovered
53	39	M	Engineer	53	Recovered
54	61	F	Homemaker	54	Recovered
55	29	M	Student	55	Recovered
56	71	F	Widow	56	Recovered
57	49	M	Engineer	57	Recovered
58	51	F	Nurse	58	Recovered
59	31	M	Writer	59	Recovered
60	69	F	Homemaker	60	Recovered
61	43	M	Manager	61	Recovered
62	57	F	Teacher	62	Recovered
63	37	M	Engineer	63	Recovered
64	63	F	Homemaker	64	Recovered
65	27	M	Student	65	Recovered
66	73	F	Widow	66	Recovered
67	47	M	Engineer	67	Recovered
68	53	F	Nurse	68	Recovered
69	33	M	Writer	69	Recovered
70	67	F	Homemaker	70	Recovered
71	41	M	Manager	71	Recovered
72	59	F	Teacher	72	Recovered
73	39	M	Engineer	73	Recovered
74	61	F	Homemaker	74	Recovered
75	29	M	Student	75	Recovered
76	71	F	Widow	76	Recovered
77	49	M	Engineer	77	Recovered
78	51	F	Nurse	78	Recovered
79	31	M	Writer	79	Recovered
80	69	F	Homemaker	80	Recovered
81	43	M	Manager	81	Recovered
82	57	F	Teacher	82	Recovered
83	37	M	Engineer	83	Recovered
84	63	F	Homemaker	84	Recovered
85	27	M	Student	85	Recovered
86	73	F	Widow	86	Recovered
87	47	M	Engineer	87	Recovered
88	53	F	Nurse	88	Recovered
89	33	M	Writer	89	Recovered
90	67	F	Homemaker	90	Recovered
91	41	M	Manager	91	Recovered
92	59	F	Teacher	92	Recovered
93	39	M	Engineer	93	Recovered
94	61	F	Homemaker	94	Recovered
95	29	M	Student	95	Recovered
96	71	F	Widow	96	Recovered
97	49	M	Engineer	97	Recovered
98	51	F	Nurse	98	Recovered
99	31	M	Writer	99	Recovered
100	69	F	Homemaker	100	Recovered



OCCUPATIONS OF  
PROBATIONERS RECEIVED

	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTALS</u>
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Clerks	7	5	47	39	98
Cooks, and Waiters	5		24	12	41
Drivers, and Teamsters	9		79	6	94
Janitors	1		17	1	19
Laborers	33	2	178	34	247
Longshoremen	5		28		33
Professional - self-employed & Merchants	14		54	3	71
Salespeople	6		3	19	28
Tradesmen	68		130	29	527*
U.S. Soldiers, Sailors and Seaman	31		127		158
No occupation	9	2	30	166	207
Dancer		2		2	4
Waitresses		7		156	163
Housewives		5		139	144
Nurses				7	7
Landlady				4	4
Maids				23	23
Retired	2		5		7
Totals	190	23	1022	640	1875

\*Employed chiefly on War contracts.

Wages earned by probationers during the fiscal year  
 computed on a monthly average . . . \$1,022,620.00



Annual Report 41/45

SAN FRANCISCO ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10, DIVN. 2

Age Groups

					23		28	31	34	38	41	No. of	
Juven-	18	19	20	21	24	26	29	32	to	to	&	Investi-	
iles				22	25	27	30	33	37	40	Over	gations	
	20	32	38	35	204	170	80	101	58	65	33	41	877
Re-Arrests													
1	4	9	11	50	69	43	50	34	50	21	40	382	

Total number of all investigations . . . . .1259

CHARGES: (Some defendants charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	716		
Vio. Ord. 1869	72	Prior Record	- 369
Drunk	178	None	- 508
Other charges	124		877
	<u>1090</u>		

DISPOSITION:

	<u>1st Offenders</u>	<u>Re-Arrests</u>	<u>Total</u>
Granted Probation	357	115	472
Sen to Co. Jail	239	112	351
Dismissed	219	103	322
Transferred	28	2	30
Sent to State Hospital	14	17	31
Off calendar	6	4	10
Given suspended sentences	13	4	17
Fined	1		1
Restored to Probation		4	4
Revoked		21	21
Totals	877	382	1259

MEDICAL REPORT:

Syphilis	59	Prior Social Disease	- 217
Gonorrhea	163		
Other	13		
	<u>235</u>		



MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10, DIVN. 2(Contd.)

Prostitutes 147 (Diseased 65)

Promiscuous 472 ( " 154)

Number using Drugs - 15

" of alcoholics 285

MARITAL STATUS

Single 198

Married 303

Divorced 162

Separated 174

Widows 40

877

RELIGION

Catholic 342

Protestant 450

Other 95877

Active 97

Inactive 421

518

NATIVITY:

Born in California

178

Born out of state

682

Foreign Born

17

877

RACE

White 664

Negro 168

Indian 42

Other 3877EMPLOYMENT:

Working at the time of arrest 222

No employment 195

Employed last six months 460

877

PERIOD OF TIME

IN

SAN FRANCISCO

IN

CALIFORNIA

Less than six months 456

234

Over six months 421

643



MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10, DIVN. 2(Contd.)

Number of females ordered to leave San Francisco - 142

---

Number continued on probation July 1, 1944 . . . 334

Number received on probation 475

Modification of sentence and placed on probation 166

Number under supervision during this period 975

RELEASES:

Satisfactory 411

Unsatisfactory 130

Probation revoked & sentenced 59

Revoked but not sentenced 17

Committed to State Hospital 6

Escapee returned to Ventura 1

Number died 3

Other 51

678 678

Number on probation as of June 30, 1945 297





CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET

---

A N N U A L      R E P O R T

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1945 to JUNE 30, 1946  
--oOo--



INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal	1
General Statistics - Information cases received	2
Investigations, Revocations	3
General Statistics - Offenses	4
Superior Court, Municipal Court	5
Financial Statement	6
Offenses by Ages - Superior Court	7
Offenses by Ages - Municipal Court	8
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity	9
Occupations of Probationers	10
- - -	
San Francisco Adult Probation Department Municipal Court, Dept. 10A, Divn. 2.	
Age Groups, Charges, Disposition, Medical Report	11
Marital Status, Religion, Race, Nativity Employment, Time in San Francisco, California	12
Ages of probationers received, Releases	13

1	...
2	...
3	...
4	...
5	...
6	...
7	...
8	...
9	...
10	...
11	...
12	...
13	...
14	...
15	...
16	...
17	...
18	...
19	...
20	...
21	...
22	...
23	...
24	...
25	...
26	...
27	...
28	...
29	...
30	...
31	...
32	...
33	...
34	...
35	...
36	...
37	...
38	...
39	...
40	...
41	...
42	...
43	...
44	...
45	...
46	...
47	...
48	...
49	...
50	...

THE SECOND BOOK

...

# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Annual Report 1945/1946

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 3923

## TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

Gentlemen:

The Annual Statistical Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year July 1, 1945 to June 30, 1946 is herewith submitted:

During the year 256 persons were received on probation from the Superior Court and 1724 from the Municipal Court, making a total of 1980 persons placed on probation. It was found necessary to revoke probation in 36 cases.

On July 1, 1945 there were 1795 persons on probation. During the year a total of 3971 persons were under supervision of this department.

A comparison of the work handled by this department for the past five years, is as follows:

Total for year	1941/1942	..	1839
	1942/1943	..	2319
	1943/1944	..	2833
	1944/1945	..	2943
	1945/1946	..	3780

This shows an increase over the previous year of 837 cases, or approximately 28%.

A total of 1696 female offenders were referred from the Womens Court, Department 10-2 (Coordinated Venereal Disease Program). Of this number 166 violated the terms of probation, which was revoked. The large number of revocations in this court was due to arrests on charges of prostitution, vagrancy, drunkenness, and suspicion of being infected with venereal disease.

We wish to express our appreciation to the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Police Department, and other public and private agencies for their excellent cooperation throughout the year.

Respectfully submitted

George McNulty, Chief  
Adult Probation Department

GMcN;JJM

# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

General Index

PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. 94103  
JANUARY 1950

IN THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Probation

The Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of January 10, 1950, in relation to the above-captioned matter.

During the year 1949, the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of January 10, 1950, in relation to the above-captioned matter.

On January 10, 1950, the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of January 10, 1950, in relation to the above-captioned matter.

A copy of the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of January 10, 1950, in relation to the above-captioned matter.

1949	1948
1947	1946
1945	1944
1943	1942
1941	1940
1939	1938

This report is being submitted to the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, for its consideration.

A copy of this report is being submitted to the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, for its consideration.

The Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, California, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of January 10, 1950, in relation to the above-captioned matter.

Very truly yours,

Probation Department  
City and County of San Francisco

1950

GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATIONREGARDING NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	
Number of applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigation)	359	23	20	5	407
Number of cases referred for special investigation (not probation applications)	1		19	7	27
Probation History -					
New Probationers	232	24	1167	557	1980
1st time in court	169	21	1037	449	1676
2d time on probation	47	3	83	97	230
3d time on probation	16		47	11	74

CONDITIONS IMPOSED(as a grant of probation)

Jail or road camp	79	1	94	10	184
Fined as condition	24	1	239	39	303
Restitution	78	6	341	39	464
Family support	5	1	172	1	179

In the Superior Court, the following applications for probation were denied and disposition was as follows:

California Youth Authority	25		
State Prison	68	1	
County Jail	60	5	
Sonoma State Home	1		
TOTAL -	154	6	160

RESEARCH REPORT

RESEARCH REPORT

RESEARCH REPORT

Year	1940-1941		1941-1942		Remarks
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	
1940	1	2	3	4	1940-1941
1941	5	6	7	8	1941-1942
1942	9	10	11	12	1942-1943
1943	13	14	15	16	1943-1944
1944	17	18	19	20	1944-1945
1945	21	22	23	24	1945-1946
1946	25	26	27	28	1946-1947
1947	29	30	31	32	1947-1948
1948	33	34	35	36	1948-1949
1949	37	38	39	40	1949-1950
1950	41	42	43	44	1950-1951
1951	45	46	47	48	1951-1952
1952	49	50	51	52	1952-1953
1953	53	54	55	56	1953-1954
1954	57	58	59	60	1954-1955
1955	61	62	63	64	1955-1956
1956	65	66	67	68	1956-1957
1957	69	70	71	72	1957-1958
1958	73	74	75	76	1958-1959
1959	77	78	79	80	1959-1960
1960	81	82	83	84	1960-1961
1961	85	86	87	88	1961-1962
1962	89	90	91	92	1962-1963
1963	93	94	95	96	1963-1964
1964	97	98	99	100	1964-1965
1965	101	102	103	104	1965-1966
1966	105	106	107	108	1966-1967
1967	109	110	111	112	1967-1968
1968	113	114	115	116	1968-1969
1969	117	118	119	120	1969-1970
1970	121	122	123	124	1970-1971
1971	125	126	127	128	1971-1972
1972	129	130	131	132	1972-1973
1973	133	134	135	136	1973-1974
1974	137	138	139	140	1974-1975
1975	141	142	143	144	1975-1976
1976	145	146	147	148	1976-1977
1977	149	150	151	152	1977-1978
1978	153	154	155	156	1978-1979
1979	157	158	159	160	1979-1980
1980	161	162	163	164	1980-1981
1981	165	166	167	168	1981-1982
1982	169	170	171	172	1982-1983
1983	173	174	175	176	1983-1984
1984	177	178	179	180	1984-1985
1985	181	182	183	184	1985-1986
1986	185	186	187	188	1986-1987
1987	189	190	191	192	1987-1988
1988	193	194	195	196	1988-1989
1989	197	198	199	200	1989-1990
1990	201	202	203	204	1990-1991
1991	205	206	207	208	1991-1992
1992	209	210	211	212	1992-1993
1993	213	214	215	216	1993-1994
1994	217	218	219	220	1994-1995
1995	221	222	223	224	1995-1996
1996	225	226	227	228	1996-1997
1997	229	230	231	232	1997-1998
1998	233	234	235	236	1998-1999
1999	237	238	239	240	1999-2000
2000	241	242	243	244	2000-2001
2001	245	246	247	248	2001-2002
2002	249	250	251	252	2002-2003
2003	253	254	255	256	2003-2004
2004	257	258	259	260	2004-2005
2005	261	262	263	264	2005-2006
2006	265	266	267	268	2006-2007
2007	269	270	271	272	2007-2008
2008	273	274	275	276	2008-2009
2009	277	278	279	280	2009-2010
2010	281	282	283	284	2010-2011
2011	285	286	287	288	2011-2012
2012	289	290	291	292	2012-2013
2013	293	294	295	296	2013-2014
2014	297	298	299	300	2014-2015
2015	301	302	303	304	2015-2016
2016	305	306	307	308	2016-2017
2017	309	310	311	312	2017-2018
2018	313	314	315	316	2018-2019
2019	317	318	319	320	2019-2020
2020	321	322	323	324	2020-2021
2021	325	326	327	328	2021-2022
2022	329	330	331	332	2022-2023
2023	333	334	335	336	2023-2024
2024	337	338	339	340	2024-2025
2025	341	342	343	344	2025-2026
2026	345	346	347	348	2026-2027
2027	349	350	351	352	2027-2028
2028	353	354	355	356	2028-2029
2029	357	358	359	360	2029-2030
2030	361	362	363	364	2030-2031
2031	365	366	367	368	2031-2032
2032	369	370	371	372	2032-2033
2033	373	374	375	376	2033-2034
2034	377	378	379	380	2034-2035
2035	381	382	383	384	2035-2036
2036	385	386	387	388	2036-2037
2037	389	390	391	392	2037-2038
2038	393	394	395	396	2038-2039
2039	397	398	399	400	2039-2040
2040	401	402	403	404	2040-2041
2041	405	406	407	408	2041-2042
2042	409	410	411	412	2042-2043
2043	413	414	415	416	2043-2044
2044	417	418	419	420	2044-2045
2045	421	422	423	424	2045-2046
2046	425	426	427	428	2046-2047
2047	429	430	431	432	2047-2048
2048	433	434	435	436	2048-2049
2049	437	438	439	440	2049-2050
2050	441	442	443	444	2050-2051
2051	445	446	447	448	2051-2052
2052	449	450	451	452	2052-2053
2053	453	454	455	456	2053-2054
2054	457	458	459	460	2054-2055
2055	461	462	463	464	2055-2056
2056	465	466	467	468	2056-2057
2057	469	470	471	472	2057-2058
2058	473	474	475	476	2058-2059
2059	477	478	479	480	2059-2060
2060	481	482	483	484	2060-2061
2061	485	486	487	488	2061-2062
2062	489	490	491	492	2062-2063
2063	493	494	495	496	2063-2064
2064	497	498	499	500	2064-2065
2065	501	502	503	504	2065-2066
2066	505	506	507	508	2066-2067
2067	509	510	511	512	2067-2068
2068	513	514	515	516	2068-2069
2069	517	518	519	520	2069-2070
2070	521	522	523	524	2070-2071
2071	525	526	527	528	2071-2072
2072	529	530	531	532	2072-2073
2073	533	534	535	536	2073-2074
2074	537	538	539	540	2074-2075
2075	541	542	543	544	2075-2076
2076	545	546	547	548	2076-2077
2077	549	550	551	552	2077-2078
2078	553	554	555	556	2078-2079
2079	557	558	559	560	2079-2080
2080	561	562	563	564	2080-2081
2081	565	566	567	568	2081-2082
2082	569	570	571	572	2082-2083
2083	573	574	575	576	2083-2084
2084	577	578	579	580	2084-2085
2085	581	582	583	584	2085-2086
2086	585	586	587	588	2086-2087
2087	589	590	591	592	2087-2088
2088	593	594	595	596	2088-2089
2089	597	598	599	600	2089-2090
2090	601	602	603	604	2090-2091
2091	605	606	607	608	2091-2092
2092	609	610	611	612	2092-2093
2093	613	614	615	616	2093-2094
2094	617	618	619	620	2094-2095
2095	621	622	623	624	2095-2096
2096	625	626	627	628	2096-2097
2097	629	630	631	632	2097-2098
2098	633	634	635	636	2098-2099
2099	637	638	639	640	2099-2100
2100	641	642	643	644	2100-2101
2101	645	646	647	648	2101-2102
2102	649	650	651	652	2102-2103
2103	653	654	655	656	2103-2104
2104	657	658	659	660	2104-2105
2105	661	662	663	664	2105-2106
2106	665	666	667	668	2106-2107
2107	669	670	671	672	2107-2108
2108	673	674	675	676	2108-2109
2109	677	678	679	680	2109-2110
2110	681	682	683	684	2110-2111
2111	685	686	687	688	2111-2112
2112	689	690	691	692	2112-2113
2113	693	694	695	696	2113-2114
2114	697	698	699	700	2114-2115
2115	701	702	703	704	2115-2116
2116	705	706	707	708	2116-2117
2117	709	710	711	712	2117-2118
2118	713	714	715	716	2118-2119
2119	717	718	719	720	2119-2120
2120	721	722	723	724	2120-2121
2121	725	726	727	728	2121-2122
2122	729	730	731	732	2122-2123
2123	733	734	735	736	2123-2124
2124	737	738	739	740	2124-2125
2125	741	742	743	744	2125-2126
2126	745	746	747	748	2126-2127
2127	749	750	751	752	2127-2128
2128	753	754	755	756	2128-2129
2129	757	758	759	760	2129-2130
2130	761	762	763	764	2130-2131
2131	765	766	767	768	2131-2132
2132	769	770	771	772	2132-2133
2133	773	774	775	776	2133-2134
2134	777	778	779	780	2134-2135
2135	781	782	783	784	2135-2136
2136	785	786	787	788	2136-2137
2137	789	790	791	792	2137-2138
2138	793	794	795	796	2138-2139



INVESTIGATIONS:

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Superior Court	351	25	
Reports to Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	87		
Municipal Court	1166	427	
" " Special Investigations	19	7	
Womens Court, M.C. 10A		1696	
TOTAL -	1625	2155	<u>3780</u>

REVOCATIONS:

Superior Court	4	5	
Municipal Court	23	4	
" Womens Court		166	
	27	175	<u>202</u>

During this period 1980 new probationers were received from the Superior and Municipal Court; out of this number 36 had their probation revoked - percentage of failures was approximately 2%.

In the Womens Court, Department 10, Division 2, a total of 842 women were under supervision during this period; of this number 166 had their probation revoked - the percentage of failures in this court was approximately 20%.



GENERAL STATISTICSOFFENSES

<u>FELONIES</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	16	1			17
Burglary	14				14
Checks, Forgery, 476 P.C.	6	1			7
Checks, N.S.F., 476a P.C.	13	3	6	1	23
Grand Theft	21	2			23
Section 501 Vehicle Code	4				4
" 503 " "	46				46
" 480 " "	10	1			11
Rape	8				8
Robbery	24				24
Receiving Stolen Property	4				4
Other felonies	8	3			11
Manslaughter	10				10
Arson	2				2
<u>MISDEMEANORS</u>					
Assault			7		7
Battery			152	14	166
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	43	13			56
Disorderly conduct			163	11	174
Disturbing the peace			50	15	65
Drunk			261	36	297
Viol. Sec. 502 Vehicle Code	1		138	6	145
Omit to Provide	2		161	4	167
Petit Theft			72	101	173
Vagrancy			34	338	372
Sex offenses			13	3	16
Violating Traffic Laws			78	11	89
Local Ordinances			23	8	31
Other Misdemeanors			25	9	34
Violating Sec. 499b P.C.	6		34		40
TOTALS	232	24	1167	557	1980

# THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LIBRARY

Author		Title		Date	
A. N. S. S. S.	1917	The University of Chicago	Library	1917	1917
A. N. S. S. S.	1917	The University of Chicago	Library	1917	1917
A. N. S. S. S.	1917	The University of Chicago	Library	1917	1917
A. N. S. S. S.	1917	The University of Chicago	Library	1917	1917

## Annual Report 45/46

SUPERIOR COURT

										Male	Female
Number on probation as of July 1, 1945										495	46
	<u>Dpt.8</u>		<u>Dpt.6</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>		<u>Total</u>		
	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	
Motions	50		88		118		103		359		
		5		4		12		2		23	
Granted	46		70		59		57		232		
Probation		10		5		7		2	24	232	24
Number under supervision										727	70
Number revoked and sentenced										4	2
Revoked but not sentenced											3
Probation expired (without change of plea)										188	18
Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code										5	
Discharged under 1203.4 P.C. (change of plea)										5	1
Number on probation as of June 30, 1946										523	46

371

MUNICIPAL COURT:

Number on probation as of July 1, 1945										870	384
	<u>Dpt.9</u>		<u>Dpt.10</u>		<u>Dpt.10A</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>		
	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	
Granted	214		512		33		262		146		1167
Probation		2		209		327		18		1	557
Number under supervision										2037	941
Modifications											196
										2037	1137
Number revoked and sentenced										9	56
Number revoked but not sentenced										14	33
Probation expired (without change of plea)										1295	224
Discharged early per 1203.3 Penal Code										3	560
Number on probation as of June 30, 1946										716	264

980

TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION

1551



FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1946:

Appropriated \$ 52,117.00

Expenditures

Salaries	\$ 48,243.01	
Contractual Services	878.65	
Materials and supplies	666.95	
Equipment	1,904.01	
Insurance	20.00	
		<u>51,712.62</u>

Unexpended balance (To General Fund) \$ 404.38

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children  
and other family support \$ 74,639.70

Merchants, hotels and other commercial  
restitution 44,212.55

Fines and restitution for the benefit of  
the City and County 25,862.34

TOTAL COLLECTIONS - - - \$ 144,714.59

Cost of supervising an average case load of  
1750 probationers per month for the fiscal  
year ending June 30, 1946:

Actual expenditures . . . \$ 51,712.62

Less:

Fines collected - to General Fund 25,862.34

Net cost to City and County \$ 25,850.28





OFFENSES BY AGES

## SUPERIOR COURT

Symbol F - Females

AGES	Arson	Assault	Burglary	476 P.C. Forgery	476a P.C. Checks	Grand Theft	Receiving Stolen Property	480 VC	501 VC	505 VC	Quit Provide	Contr. 1st. Minors	Robbery	Rape	499b P.C.	Manslaughter	Other	Male	Female	Total
18		2							8			1			1		12		12	
19	1F	1					F	1	9			3	2	1			18	2	20	
20		1		1			1		8		1	5	1	2			20		20	
21		1		F					3		2	4	1	1	2	F	14	2	16	
22		1	1		2				1		1F	1	1	1	1	1	14	2	16	
23		1	1	1	1	1	1		6			3			1		16		16	
24	1	1	1		1F			1	3		F F						8	3	11	
25		1			1	F	1				3F						6	2	8	
26				1F		1			2	1	1F	2					8	2	10	
27		1	1		2	1	1				2				1		9		9	
28			2	1		1			1		2F	2				2	11	1	12	
29	1	3			1	3					2F F	1				1	12	2	14	
30/34		3		2	3	1F		3		1	4	F		1	1	1	20	3	23	
35/39		1	1		1	5	1		1		2F		1		1	1F	15	4	19	
40/44		3	1	1		1		1			7	1			1	2	18		18	
45/49					4	2		1	1		5		1			1F	15	1	16	
50 &		2			1	2		1			9	1							16	
Male	2	16	14	6	13	21	4	10	4	41	2	44	24	7	6	9	9	232		
Female	1				3	2		1			13						4	24		
Total	2	17	14	6	16	23	4	11	4	41	2	57	24	7	6	9	13		256	



# OFFENSES BY AGES

Annual Report 15/16

## MUNICIPAL COURT

Ages	Assault	Battery	Malicious	Mischief	Drunk	Omit Provide	Dist/Peace	Vagrancy	Local	Sex Offenses	Traffic Laws	476a P.C.	502 VC	Petit Theft	499b P.C.	Other	Total	
																	Male	Fem.
18M	2	1	6	1		1	3			4		1	1	8			31	20
18F			1				13			1			5					
19M		3	4			1	2		1	4		1	6	4			26	13
19F							8	1		1			3					
20M	1	5	6	1		2	2	1	1	6		2	4	3	1		35	17
20F							11	1					4		1			
21M		12	21	5	3	4	5		5	5	1	7	7	2	1		78	94
22F			1	1	1		68			3	1		19					
23M	2	18	34	4	21	5	3	5	6	14		19	14	7	4			
24M																		
25F		3	1	8		1	67			1		1	19		2		156	103
26M		12	9	10	12	5	1	3	1	7		10	4	2	3		79	41
27F		4		1		2	27						7					
28M		15	9	6	18	4	3	1	6	8	1	13	4	2	2			
29M																		
30F		3		1			33	1		1			10		2		92	51
31M	1	14	14	17	19	3	3	4	4	9		11	1	2	2			
32M																		
33F		1	2	2		3	36			1			4				104	49
34M	1	17	18	28	23	4	5	4	3	5		14	8	2	5			
35M to 37F		1	1	6		1	28	3	2			1	8				137	51
38M		10	14	34	18	4	2	2	4		2	10	3	2	1		106	40
39M			1	3		3	22			1			10					
40F																		
41M & Over		45	28	95	27	17	5	3	12	16	2	50	17		6		323	78
Over		2	4	12	3	5	32	2	1	2		4	11					
Male	7	152	163	201	141	50	34	23	43	78	6	138	72	34	25		1167	
Female		14	11	34	4	15	345	8	3	11	1	6	100		5		557	



<u>MARITAL STATUS</u>	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Single	86	2	276	152	516
Married	119	18	564	187	865
Divorced	11	1	149	179	340
Separated	16	3	119	121	259
Totals	232	24	1167	557	1980

RELIGION:

Catholic	80	12	404	189	685
Protestant	118	10	481	176	785
Jewish	3		5	2	10
None stated	31	2	277	190	500
Totals	232	24	1167	557	1980

NATIVITY:

Born in California	52	7	287	114	460
" Other states	156	16	760	309	1241
Foreign Born	24	1	120	34	179
Totals	232	24	1167	557	1980

Approximately 9% were foreign born

" 24% from California

" 67% from other states.

# Table 1: Summary of Data

Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Q1	100	110	120	130	140
Q2	110	120	130	140	150
Q3	120	130	140	150	160
Q4	130	140	150	160	170
2000	100	110	120	130	140
2001	110	120	130	140	150
2002	120	130	140	150	160
2003	130	140	150	160	170
2004	140	150	160	170	180
2005	150	160	170	180	190
2006	160	170	180	190	200
2007	170	180	190	200	210
2008	180	190	200	210	220
2009	190	200	210	220	230
2010	200	210	220	230	240
2011	210	220	230	240	250
2012	220	230	240	250	260
2013	230	240	250	260	270
2014	240	250	260	270	280
2015	250	260	270	280	290
2016	260	270	280	290	300
2017	270	280	290	300	310
2018	280	290	300	310	320
2019	290	300	310	320	330
2020	300	310	320	330	340
2021	310	320	330	340	350
2022	320	330	340	350	360
2023	330	340	350	360	370
2024	340	350	360	370	380
2025	350	360	370	380	390
2026	360	370	380	390	400
2027	370	380	390	400	410
2028	380	390	400	410	420
2029	390	400	410	420	430
2030	400	410	420	430	440

Source: Author's calculations based on data from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts, and the U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Reports.

OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	Superior Ct.		Mun. Ct.		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Clerks	12	4	39	33	88
Cooks, Waiters, Waitresses	16	6	36	123	181
Drivers & Teamsters	16		89		105
Janitors	2		14		16
Laborers	33	2	166	41	262
Longshoremen	6		45		51
Professional, self-employed	14	3	100	9	126
Salespeople	5		16	7	28
Students	2		11		13
Tradesmen	62		353	29	444
U.S. Soldiers, Sailors, Seamen	50		146		198
Ex-veterans - not employed	2		27		29
No occupation	7	1	100	178	286
Dancers				3	3
Housewives		7		83	90
Landladies				6	6
Maids		1		28	29
Models				2	2
Masseurs, Nurses				13	13
Retired - pensioners	5		3	2	10
	232		1167		1399
		24		557	581
			<u>TOTAL</u>		<u>1980</u>





SAN FRANCISCO ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A, DIV. 2

Age Groups													No. of Investi- gations
Juven- iles	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
	20	30	39	36	230	256	93	103	70	76	45	53	1051
Re- Arrests	2	5	11	19	113	157	47	49	66	55	49	72	645
TOTAL	22	35	50	55	343	413	140	152	136	131	94	125	1696

CHARGES: (Some with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	931	Prior Record	- 439
Ord. 1869	195	None	612
Drunk	119		
Other	244		1051
	<u>1489</u>		

DISPOSITION:

Granted Probation	246
Sentenced to the County Jail	287
Dismissed	410
Transferred	38
Fined	13
Suspended sentences	37
Off calendar	12
Committed to State Hospital	8
	<u>1051</u>

Re-ARRESTS:

Granted Probation	81
Sentenced to the County Jail	161
Dismissed	280
Transferred	9
Continued on probation	4
Off calendar	8
Committed to State Hospital	4
Revoked	76
Fined	3
Suspended sentences	19
	<u>645</u>
TOTAL -	<u>1696</u>

MEDICAL REPORT:

Syphilis	66	Prior Social Disease -	321
Gonorrhea	151		
L. Venereum	1		
Other	5		
	<u>223</u>		



MUNICIPAL COURT, DPT. 10A, DIVN. 2 (Contd.)

Prostitutes	216	(Diseased	61)
Promiscuous	512	(Diseased	146)
Drug users	5		
Alcoholics	308		

MARITAL STATUS:

Married	296	
Single	230	
Divorced	216	
Separated	257	
Widows	52	1051

RELIGION:

	Catholic	344	
	Protestant	624	
	Other	32	
	None	51	1051
Active	137		
Inactive	729		

RACE:

White	628	
Negro	394	
Indian	19	
Other	10	1051

NATIVITY:

California	193	
Out of State	835	
Foreign Born	23	1051

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	227	
No employment	290	
Employed in last six mos.	534	1051

TIME IN

	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>California</u>
Less than six months	582	245
Over six months	469	806
	1051	1051

Ordered to leave San Francisco - 107



MUNICIPAL COURT, DPT. 10A, DIV. 2 (Contd.)AGES OF PROBATIONERS RECEIVED:

18	11
19	7
20	11
21 and 22	64
23 to 25	65
26 and 27	27
28 to 30	32
31 to 33	36
34 to 37	28
38 to 40	21
41 and over	28

---

TOTAL - 330

---

Number continued on probation from last year	297
New probationers received this year	330
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>215</u>
Number under supervision --	842

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	256
Unsatisfactory	139
Probation revoked and sentenced	70
Probation revoked but not apprehended	96
Re-arrested and transferred to Juvenile Court	1
Committed to State Hospital	5
Re-arrested and sentenced	<u>7</u> 674

---

Number on probation as of June 30, 1946 . . .	168
---	-----

---

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET

\*\*\*\*\*

A N N U A L            R E P O R T

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1946    to    JUNE 30, 1947

--000--









INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal	1
General Statistics - Information - Regarding New Cases	2
Investigations, Revocations	3
General Statistics - Offenses	4
Statistics - Cases received from the Superior and Municipal Courts, by Departments	5
Financial Statement	6
Offenses by Ages - Superior Court	7
Offenses by Ages - Municipal Court	8
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity	9
Occupations of Probationers	10
-oOo-	
Municipal Court, Department 10A, Division 2 -	
Age Groups, Charges, Dispositions, Medical	11
Marital Status, Religion, Race, Nativity, Employment, Time in San Francisco, California	12
Releases, Ages of Probationers Received	13

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

1877

Annual Report 46/47

ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr Robert Peabody  
Mr Mendrick Vaughan  
Mr Raymond Blosser  
Mr Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr Fred C. Jones  
Mr Richard Nasser  
Rev. James Murray

PROBATION OFFICERS

Mrs Irene Burton  
Mr John D Kavanaugh  
Mr George Higginbotham  
Mr William H Morgan  
Mr William J Gallagher  
Mr Warren Jenkins  
Mr James F Layden  
Mrs Kathryn Westerfeld  
Miss Mary Yates

Miss Margaret Connolly, Senior Probation Officer

Mr George McNulty, Chief Probation Officer.

OFFICE STAFF

Mr Allan J Hinkel, Bookkeeper

Mr James J Morgan, General Clerk-Stenographer  
Miss Anna Sindicich, " " "  
Mrs Frances Ortiz " " "  
\* Mrs Bertha Watson " " "  
\*\* Mrs Sara B Schnier " " "  
\*\* Miss Marie T Roeser " " "

\* Temporary employee.

\*\* Permanent Requisitions filled by non-civil service employees



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JLT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 2-3923

Annual Report 1946-1947

## TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Statistical Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1947 is herewith submitted:

During the year a total of 2068 persons were received on probation: 357 from Superior Court, and 1711 from the Municipal Court.

A total of 1443 female offenders were referred from the Womens Court, Department 10-2, for investigation and report: of this number 502 were under supervision on probation. It was necessary to revoke probation in 90 cases; this large number of revocations was due to arrests on charges of prostitution, vagrancy, drunkenness, and suspicion of being infected with social disease.

An average monthly case load of 1900 probationers were under supervision, and during the year a total of 3982 cases were handled by the department for investigation and report.

A comparison of cases handled by this department for the past six years follows:

1941/42	-	1839
1942/43	-	2319
1943/44	-	2533
1944/45	-	2943
1945/46	-	3706
1946/47	-	3982

Since 1945 the department's intake has increased approximately 35%. As a result of new legislation enacted by the 1947 session of the legislature, additional work will have to be performed by our department in order to comply with the requirements of the State Penal Institutions.

Our appreciation is acknowledged to the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Police Department, and other public and private agencies for their fine cooperation at all times.

Respectfully submitted

George McMulty  
Chief, Adult Probation Department  
GM:JMM





GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATIONREGARDING NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	
Number of applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigations)	510	14	184	7	715
Number of cases referred for special investigations (not probation applications)			68	2	70
Probation History -					
New Probationers	325	32	1408	303	2068
1st time in court	286	28	1234	262	1810
2d time on probation	31	3	116	30	180
3d time on probation	8	1	58	11	78

CONDITIONS IMPOSED:

(as a grant of probation)

Jail or Road Camp	111	5	92	15	223
Fined as a condition	86	5	401	69	561
Restitution	73	8	378	42	501
Family Support	3		220		223

MOTIONS DENIED:

In Superior Court, 180 motions for probation were denied, and disposition was made as follows:

California Youth Authority	54		
State Prison	42	1	
County Jail	80	2	
Fined	1		
TOTAL -	177	3	180



INVESTIGATIONS

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Superior Court	510	14	524
Reports to Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	112	7	119
Municipal Court	1408	227	1635
" " Special Investigations	68	2	70
" "Pre-sentence "	184	7	191
" Womens Court, Mun. Ct. 10A		1443	<u>1443</u>
TOTAL NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS	.	.	3982

REVOCATIONS

Superior Court	23	6	
Municipal Court	32	23	
Womens Court, Dpt.10A		<u>90</u>	
TOTAL	55	119	<u>174</u>

During the year 2068 new probationers were received from the Superior and Municipal Courts; out of this number 84 had their probation revoked - the percentage of failures was approximately 4%.

In the Womens Court, Department 10A, Division 2, a total of 502 women were under supervision during the year; of this number 90 had their probation revoked - the percentage of failures was approximately 18%.



GENERAL STATISTICSOFFENSES

<u>FELONIES</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	15				15
Burglary	21				21
Checks, Forgery, 476 P.C.	7	3			10
Checks, N.S.F., 476a P.C.	13	3			16
Grand Theft	34	5			39
Sec. 501 Vehicle Code	4	1			5
" 503 " "	37	2			39
" 480 " "	6	1			7
Rape	10				10
Robbery	24				24
Receiving Stolen Property	6	1			7
Other Felonies	34	3			37
Manslaughter	5				5
Vio. Sec. 337a P.C.	29				29

MISDEMEANORS

Assault			5	1	6
Battery			145	19	164
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	69	12			81
Disorderly Conduct			169	17	186
Disturbing the Peace			30	8	38
Drunk			215	50	265
Vio. Sec. 502 Vehicle Code	1		146	11	158
Omit to Provide	1	1	189	2	193
Petit Theft			163	68	231
Vagrancy			29	95	124
Sex offenses			60		60
Vio. Liquor Laws			13	2	15
Vio. Traffic Laws			102	8	110
Vio. Local Ordinances			33	9	42
Other Misdemeanors			80	12	92
Vio. Sec. 499b P. C.	9		29	1	39
TOTALS -	325	32	1408	303	2068



STATISTICS  
CASES RECEIVED FROM THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL  
COURTS, BY DEPARTMENTS,  
AND RELEASES

SUPERIOR COURT:

Male Female

Number continued on probation from last year 525 46

MOTIONS MADE

Juvt.Ct.		Dpt.6		Dpt.11		Dpt.12		Ex.#3		TOTAL	
M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
89		152		118		130		21		510	
	4		1		5		2		2		14

MOTIONS GRANTED

85		82		76		82		5		325		325	32
	11		8		9		4				32		

Number under supervision

850 78

Number revoked and sentenced

14 4

Revoked but not sentenced

9 2

Probation expired (without change of plea)

109 10

Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code

1

Discharged under 1203.4 " "

7

Number died

1

Number on probation June 30, 1947

709 62

771

MUNICIPAL COURT:

Number continued on probation - last year 716 264

GRANTED PROBATION

Dpt.9		Dpt.10		Dpt.10A		Dpt.11		Dpt.12	
M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.
129		606		27		298		348	
	1		184		91		22		5

1408

303

Modifications

39

39

Number under supervision

2124 606

Number revoked and sentenced

19 13

Number revoked but not sentenced

13 10

Probation expired (without change of plea)

1177 184

Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code

4 120

Discharged under 1203.4 Penal Code

14

Died

1

Number on probation June 30, 1947

911 264

1175

TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION June 30, 1947

1946





FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1947:

Appropriated		\$ 63,027.00
<u>Expenditures</u>		
Salaries	\$ 57,222.46	
Contractual Services	892.38	
Materials and supplies	719.92	
Equipment	1,280.48	
Insurance	91.74	
		<u>60,206.98</u>
Unexpended balance (To General Fund)		\$ <u>2,821.02</u>

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support	\$ 96,158.65
Merchants, hotels and other commercial restitution	60,124.33
Fines and restitution for the benefit of the City and County	67,758.80
	<u>224,041.78</u>
TOTAL COLLECTIONS - - -	\$ <u>224,041.78</u>

Cost of supervising an average case load of  
1900 probationers per month for the fiscal  
year ending June 30, 1947:

Actual expenditures . . .	\$ 60,206.98
Less:	
Fines collected - to General Fund	<u>67,758.80</u>
<u>Credit to City and County</u>	( \$ <u>7,551.82</u> )



## OFFENSES BY AGES

## SUPERIOR COURT

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Sec. 176a P.C.	Forgery	Grand Theft	Rec. Stolen	Fit & Prop.	501 VC	502 VC	503 VC	Omit Provide	Contributing	Del. Minors	Robbery	Rape	Sec. 499b PC	Manslaughter	Sec. 337a PC	Other	Male	Female	TOTAL
18	1	3		1	1			9	1	F 1	1	1								18	F	19
19		1		1	1			4	2	F 1	1	2	2							14	2	16
20				1				5	2		3									11		11
21		4		2		1		6	2		2	1	2							20	1	21
22	2	1			F		1	3	3		3				1					14	1	15
23		1	1	1		1		4	2		1	2				1				14		14
24		2	1	5				1	3	F 4		1								17	1	18
25			1	1	1	F		1	4			1				1				10	1	11
26	1	2	1	F 2			F	1	2	F 1	1	1	1			1				13	3	16
27	2	1	1	1		1			2	F						1	4			13	1	14
28		3	2	3					6	1						1				16		16
29	1		1	1				2	F		3	1			1					14	2	16
30-34	1	1	1	2	5	1	1	2	2	11	1	2	1	1	5	5	F			42	7	49
35-39	1	1	3	3	F	1				5	F					5	9			28	2	30
40-44		1	F	1	F	3	F	2	1		5	F	1	1		8	5			28	4	32
45-49	5		1	F	1	F	4		1	F	5	2				5	2			26	4	30
50 & OVER	1			1	2	F	2	F	1	10						1	4	5		27	2	29
Male	15	21	13	7	34	6	6	13	7	1	69	24	10	9	5	29	32			325		
Female		3	3	5	F		2	2	F	12							3			32		357

F - Female



## OFFENSES BY AGES

## MUNICIPAL COURT

AGES	Assault	Battery	Malicious Mischiefs	Disturbing the Peace	Drunk	502 VC	Omit to Provide	Petit Theft	Vagrancy	Vio. Traffic Laws	Local Ordinances	Sex Violations	Vio. Liquor Laws	Vio. Sec. 499b PC	Other	M TOTALS	F	
18 <sup>M</sup>	3	5		1				14	5	5	1		5	1		40		
18 <sup>F</sup>			F					4	2						F		8	
19	5	8			3	3	7	5	3	12	1	1	6	1		47		
20	4	8	1	3	1	2	12	2	3	10	2	3	3			51		
21/ <sup>F</sup>	16	12	4	4	11	7	22	4	13	F	2	2	7	5		108	19	
22		2						8	25	F					F		38	
23- <sup>F</sup>	16	36	4	7	17	19	25	3	11	2	7	1	5	15		169		
25	2	3	2	6	2		8	11			F		F		F		37	
26/ <sup>F</sup>	9	7	4	8	13	18	12	1	12	2	6	1		6		100		
27			F	F			F	3	2						F		9	
28/ <sup>F</sup>	18	19	1	16	15	28	7	2	9	4	10	1		10		140		
30	F	4	F		4			4	10	2			F		F		28	
31/ <sup>F</sup>	17	17	5	24	19	22	10	2	5	3	4	1		3		132		
33			F	8	F	F		3	7		F				F		23	
34/ <sup>F</sup>	20	14	2	39	23	24	11	4	6	5	9	1	2	7		167		
37	5	F	2	9	3		5	9	F	F				2		38		
38/40	1	9	10	1	23	11	21	8	5	3	1	2	3		6	104		
	2		F	4	F			4	4	F					F		18	
41 & over	1	28	33	8	90	33	45	35	3	16	10	16	5	1	26	350		
		4	8	F	18	4		20	9	2	6		F		3		76	
Male	5	145	169	30	215	146	189	163	29	102	33	60	13	29	80	1408		
Female	1	19	17	8	50	11	2	68	95	8	9		2	1	12	303		
F - Female																TOTAL		1711



Annual Report 46/47

<u>MARITAL STATUS</u>	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>Total</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Single	99	2	422	28	551
Married	220	27	741	237	1225
Divorced	4	1	155	24	184
Separated	2	2	90	14	108
TOTALS	325	32	1408	303	2068

RELIGION

Catholic	122	17	539	80	758
Protestant	143	6	609	84	842
Jewish	21	4	18	3	46
None Stated	30	5	242	136	422
TOTALS	325	32	1408	303	2068

NATIVITY:

Born in California	94	12	354	49	499
Born in Other States	187	16	910	226	1339
Foreign Born	54	4	144	28	230
TOTALS	325	32	1408	303	2068

Approximately 11% were foreign born.

" 25% were from California

" 64% from other states.





OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>Total</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Clerks	29	3	64	24	120
Cooks, Waiters, Waitresses	20	3	36	43	102
Drivers and Teamsters	26		109	1	136
Janitors	5		19	7	31
Laborers	33	2	200	13	253
Longshoremen	5		42		47
Professional, self-employed	43	5	92	9	149
Salespeoples	15	2	27	5	49
Tradesmen	87	2	418	15	522
U.S. Soldiers, Sailors, Seamen	35		127		162
Ex-veterans - not employed	2		17		19
Not employed	14	4	142	70	230
Students	3		19	3	25
Housewives		6		80	86
Landladies		2		3	5
Maids		1		17	18
Massours, Nurses		2		7	9
Retired - pensioners	2		3		5
<hr/>					
TOTALS	325	32	1403	303	2068

Date			Description		Amount	
Month	Day	Year	Particulars	Debit	Credit	Balance
Jan	1	1900	Balance forward			100.00
Jan	2	1900	By Cash	50.00		150.00
Jan	3	1900	To Cash		20.00	130.00
Jan	4	1900	By Cash	30.00		160.00
Jan	5	1900	To Cash		10.00	150.00
Jan	6	1900	By Cash	40.00		190.00
Jan	7	1900	To Cash		30.00	160.00
Jan	8	1900	By Cash	20.00		180.00
Jan	9	1900	To Cash		10.00	170.00
Jan	10	1900	By Cash	30.00		200.00
Jan	11	1900	To Cash		20.00	180.00
Jan	12	1900	By Cash	40.00		220.00
Jan	13	1900	To Cash		30.00	190.00
Jan	14	1900	By Cash	20.00		210.00
Jan	15	1900	To Cash		10.00	200.00
Jan	16	1900	By Cash	30.00		230.00
Jan	17	1900	To Cash		20.00	210.00
Jan	18	1900	By Cash	40.00		250.00
Jan	19	1900	To Cash		30.00	220.00
Jan	20	1900	By Cash	20.00		240.00
Jan	21	1900	To Cash		10.00	230.00
Jan	22	1900	By Cash	30.00		260.00
Jan	23	1900	To Cash		20.00	240.00
Jan	24	1900	By Cash	40.00		280.00
Jan	25	1900	To Cash		30.00	250.00
Jan	26	1900	By Cash	20.00		270.00
Jan	27	1900	To Cash		10.00	260.00
Jan	28	1900	By Cash	30.00		290.00
Jan	29	1900	To Cash		20.00	270.00
Jan	30	1900	By Cash	40.00		310.00
Jan	31	1900	To Cash		30.00	280.00
Feb	1	1900	By Cash	50.00		330.00
Feb	2	1900	To Cash		20.00	310.00
Feb	3	1900	By Cash	30.00		340.00
Feb	4	1900	To Cash		10.00	330.00
Feb	5	1900	By Cash	40.00		370.00
Feb	6	1900	To Cash		30.00	340.00
Feb	7	1900	By Cash	20.00		360.00
Feb	8	1900	To Cash		10.00	350.00
Feb	9	1900	By Cash	30.00		380.00
Feb	10	1900	To Cash		20.00	360.00
Feb	11	1900	By Cash	40.00		400.00
Feb	12	1900	To Cash		30.00	370.00
Feb	13	1900	By Cash	20.00		390.00
Feb	14	1900	To Cash		10.00	380.00
Feb	15	1900	By Cash	30.00		410.00
Feb	16	1900	To Cash		20.00	390.00
Feb	17	1900	By Cash	40.00		430.00
Feb	18	1900	To Cash		30.00	400.00
Feb	19	1900	By Cash	20.00		420.00
Feb	20	1900	To Cash		10.00	410.00
Feb	21	1900	By Cash	30.00		440.00
Feb	22	1900	To Cash		20.00	420.00
Feb	23	1900	By Cash	40.00		460.00
Feb	24	1900	To Cash		30.00	430.00
Feb	25	1900	By Cash	20.00		450.00
Feb	26	1900	To Cash		10.00	440.00
Feb	27	1900	By Cash	30.00		470.00
Feb	28	1900	To Cash		20.00	450.00
Feb	29	1900	By Cash	40.00		490.00
Feb	30	1900	To Cash		30.00	460.00
Mar	1	1900	By Cash	50.00		510.00
Mar	2	1900	To Cash		20.00	490.00
Mar	3	1900	By Cash	30.00		520.00
Mar	4	1900	To Cash		10.00	510.00
Mar	5	1900	By Cash	40.00		550.00
Mar	6	1900	To Cash		30.00	520.00
Mar	7	1900	By Cash	20.00		540.00
Mar	8	1900	To Cash		10.00	530.00
Mar	9	1900	By Cash	30.00		560.00
Mar	10	1900	To Cash		20.00	540.00
Mar	11	1900	By Cash	40.00		580.00
Mar	12	1900	To Cash		30.00	550.00
Mar	13	1900	By Cash	20.00		570.00
Mar	14	1900	To Cash		10.00	560.00
Mar	15	1900	By Cash	30.00		590.00
Mar	16	1900	To Cash		20.00	570.00
Mar	17	1900	By Cash	40.00		610.00
Mar	18	1900	To Cash		30.00	580.00
Mar	19	1900	By Cash	20.00		600.00
Mar	20	1900	To Cash		10.00	590.00
Mar	21	1900	By Cash	30.00		620.00
Mar	22	1900	To Cash		20.00	600.00
Mar	23	1900	By Cash	40.00		640.00
Mar	24	1900	To Cash		30.00	610.00
Mar	25	1900	By Cash	20.00		630.00
Mar	26	1900	To Cash		10.00	620.00
Mar	27	1900	By Cash	30.00		650.00
Mar	28	1900	To Cash		20.00	630.00
Mar	29	1900	By Cash	40.00		670.00
Mar	30	1900	To Cash		30.00	640.00
Mar	31	1900	By Cash	50.00		690.00

## MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 104, DIV. 2

Syphilis	63	
Gonorrhea	121	
L. G. V.	2	
Lymphopathia Venereum	139	
Prior Social Disease	215	



MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A, DIV. 2 (Contd.)

Prostitutes	130 (diseased 37)
Promiscuous	269 ( " 128)
Alcoholics	248
Drugs	23

MARITAL STATUS:

Married	161
Single	132
Divorced	149
Separated	154
Widows	23 669

RELIGION:

Catholic	259
Protestant	337
None	76
Other	7
Active	149
Inactive	147

RACE:

White	447
Negro	204
Indian	13 669

NATIVITY:

California	121
Out of State	557
Foreign Born	21 669

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	96
No employment	269
Employed in last six mos.	304 669

TYPE OFSan Francisco California

Less than six months	314	135
Over six months	355	534
	669	669

ORDERED TO LEAVE SAN FRANCISCO (for home) 18



# Annual Report 1946/47

Number continued on probation from last year	168
Number of new probationers	226
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>108</u>
Number under supervision	502

## RELEASES:

Satisfactory	187
Unsatisfactory	70
Probation revoked, and bench warrant issued, not apprehended to date	55
Probation revoked, sentenced to County Jail	13
Re-arrested and sentenced to County Jail	20
Committed to State Hospital	2
Transferred	1
Died	<u>3</u>
	<u>351</u>

Number on probation as of June 30, 1947 151

## AGES OF PROBATIONERS RECEIVED

18	5
19	5
20	7
21,22	41
23,24,25	56
26,27	12
28,29,30	26
31 to 33	11
34 to 37	25
38 to 40	14
41 & over	<u>24</u>
TOTAL	226
=====	





NOV 10 1948

REFERENCE DEPARTMENT  
SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

550 MONTGOMERY STREET

---oOo---

A N N U A L            R E P O R T

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1947 to JUNE 30, 1948



RECORDS DEPARTMENT  
SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Annual Report 47/48

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Letter of transmittal	1
General Statistics - Information Regarding new cases	2
Investigations, Revocations	3
General Statistics - Offenses	4
Financial Statement	5
Chart I - Comparison Funds Collected	6
Charts II, III, IV - comparisons - Cases Referred, Motions Received, Case Load	7
Statistics - Superior & Municipal Courts by departments	8
Superior Court - Offenses by ages	9
Municipal Court - Offenses by ages	10
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity	11
Occupations of Probationers	12
Municipal Court, Department 10A, Division 2 -	
Age Groups, Charges, Dispositions, Medical	13
Marital Status, Religion, Race, Nativity Employment, Time in San Francisco, California	
Ages of probationers received	14
Releases	15



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Richard Nasser  
Rev. James Murray

- - - -

PROBATION OFFICERS

Mrs. Irene Burton  
Mr. John D. Kavanaugh  
Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. Warren Jenkins  
Mr. James F. Layden  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Miss Mary A. Yates

Miss Margaret Connolly  
Senior Probation Officer

Mr. George McNulty,  
Chief Probation Officer

OFFICE STAFF

Mr. Constant G. Simon, Bookkeeper  
Mr. James J. Morgan, General Clerk-Stenographer  
Miss Anna Sindicich       "       "       "  
Mrs. Frances Ortiz       "       "       "  
Mrs. Sara B. Schnier       "       "       "  
\*Miss Gunhild Benson

\*Permanent requisition filled by non-civil service employee.



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JLT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 2-3923

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1948 is herewith respectfully submitted:

During the year a total of 3975 cases were referred to the department for investigation and report: of this number 1889 were granted probation - 397 from the Superior Courts, and 1492 from the Municipal Courts.

There were 1946 probationers reporting to the department at the beginning of the year, and at the end of the fiscal period this number had increased to 2320.

Since 1943 our intake has increased approximately 42%. As a result of new legislation enacted by the 1947 session of the legislature, additional work has to be performed by the department in order to comply with the requirements of the State Penal Institutions.

As will be found in following pages of this report - comparisons of the volume of work performed by the department show a constant increase over the past five years. The increased load has been carried by the department, without a comparable increase in personnel.

Our appreciation is gratefully acknowledged to the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Adult Probation Board, the Police Department, and all other public and private agencies for their cooperation.

Respectfully submitted

George McNulty  
Chief, Adult Probation Department

GM:JJM





# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 2-3923

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1948 is herewith respectfully submitted:

During the year a total of 3975 cases were referred to the department for investigation and report: of this number 1889 were granted probation - 397 from the Superior Courts, and 1492 from the Municipal Courts.

There were 1946 probationers reporting to the department at the beginning of the year, and at the end of the fiscal period this number had increased to 2320.

Since 1943 our intake has increased approximately 42%. As a result of new legislation enacted by the 1947 session of the legislature, additional work has to be performed by the department in order to comply with the requirements of the State Penal Institutions.

As will be found in following pages of this report - comparisons of the volume of work performed by the department show a constant increase over the past five years. The increased load has been carried by the department, without a comparable increase in personnel.

Our appreciation is gratefully acknowledged to the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Adult Probation Board, the Police Department, and all other public and private agencies for their cooperation.

Respectfully submitted

George McNulty  
Chief, Adult Probation Department

GM:JJM



GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATION - REGARDING NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	<u>Male.</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	
Number of applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigations)	688	23	63	2	776
Number of cases referred for special investigations (not probation applications)			23		23
Probation History -					
New probationers	369	28	1264	228	1889
1st time in court	322	19	1122	205	1668
2d time on probation	28	4	60	14	106
3d time on probation	19	5	82	9	115

CONDITIONS IMPOSED:

(as a grant of probation)

Jail or Road Camp	146	7	84	8	245
Fined as a condition	80	2	239	64	385
Restitution	95	11	428	63	597
Family support	3		251	3	257

MOTIONS DENIED:

In Superior Court, 353 motions for probation were denied, and disposition was made as follows:

California Youth Authority	60				
State Prison	142	7			
County Jail	134	5			
Committed to State Hospital	2				
Fined	2				
Transferred to Juvenile Court	1				
TOTAL -	341	12			353



INVESTIGATIONS

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Superior Court	667	23	690
"     Pre-sentence reports	77		77
"     Reports to Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	86	2	88
Municipal Court	1264	228	1492
"     "Special Investigations	23		23
"     "Pre-sentence     "	63	5	68
"     Womens Court, Mun.Ct. Dpt. 10A	1507		1507
Out-of-town Investigations	30		30
<hr/>			
TOTAL     --	2210	1765	3975
<hr/>			

REVOCATIONS

Superior Court	28
Municipal Court	84
Womens Court, Dpt. 10A	74
TOTAL     -	186

During the year 1889 new probationers were received from the Superior and Municipal Courts; out of this number 112 had their probation revoked - the percentage of failures was approximately 6%.

In the Womens Court, Department 10A, Division 2, a total of 549 women were under supervision during the year; of this number 74 were revoked - the percentage of failures in this court was approximately 11%.



GENERAL STATISTICSOFFENSES

<u>FELONIES</u>	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	22	4			26
Burglary	29	1			30
Checks, 476a P.C.	34	4			38
Checks, Forgery, 476 P.C.	11	2			13
Grand Theft	34	4			38
Receiving Stolen Property	3				3
Vio. Sec. 480 V.C.	15				15
Vio. Sec. 501 V.C.	11				11
Vio. Sec. 503 V.C.	27				27
Robbery	30				30
Rape	17				17
Manslaughter	10	1			11
Vio. Sec. 337a P.C.	45	1			46
Other felonies	8	6			14

MISDEMEANORS

Assault			8	1	9
Battery			176	18	194
Malicious Mischief			151	16	167
Disturbing the Peace			22	1	23
Drunk			180	27	207
Vio. Sec. 502 V.C.			94	4	98
Omit to Provide			239	2	241
Petit Theft			124	133	257
Vagrancy			29	2	31
Violating Traffic Laws			64	4	68
Violating Local Ordinances			22	6	28
Sex offenses			44	1	45
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	69	5			74
Other misdemeanors			93	12	105
Vio. Sec. 499b Penal Code	4		18	1	23

TOTALS

369 28

1264

228

1889





FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1948:

Appropriated		\$ 67,228.00
<u>Expenditures</u>		
Salaries	\$ 62,038.90	
Contractual Services	859.33	
Materials and Supplies	1,346.13	
Equipment	361.23	
Insurance	106.16	
		<u>64,711.75</u>
Unexpended balance (To General Fund)		\$ 2,516.25
		<u><u>          </u></u>

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support		\$ 107,445.71
Merchants, hotels and other commercial restitution		53,736.32
Fines and restitution for the benefit of the City and County		<u>60,715.49</u>
		<u><u>          </u></u>
TOTAL COLLECTIONS	-	\$ 221,897.52
		<u><u>          </u></u>

Cost of supervising an average case load of  
2100 probationers per month for the fiscal  
year ending June 30, 1948:

Actual expenditures	. . . . .	\$ 64,711.75
Less:		
Fines collected to General Fund		<u>60,715.49</u>
Net to City and County	. . .	<u><u>\$ 3,996.26</u></u>

On 25.12


1891

1990

1900

CHART I


Showing a comparison of funds collected  
by the Adult Probation Department on  
Court Orders during the past five years:




This shows an increase of approximately 58%  
over this five year period.




The following shows a comparison of cases referred to this department for investigation and report for five year period.

CHART II - CASES REFERRED:CHART III - MOTIONS RECEIVED:

Showing comparison of Motions received by the department from Superior Court for investigation and report:

CHART IV - CASE LOAD:

Showing comparison of case load handled by the department for five year period.



THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF THE INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM THE PERSONS LISTED IN THE ATTACHED LIST OF PERSONS.

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	DATE OF DEATH	DATE OF INTERVIEW	DATE OF INTERVIEW
1. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
2. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
3. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
4. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
5. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
6. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
7. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
8. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
9. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
10. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68

TABLE 2 - SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	DATE OF DEATH	DATE OF INTERVIEW	DATE OF INTERVIEW
1. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
2. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
3. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
4. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
5. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
6. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
7. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
8. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
9. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
10. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68

TABLE 3 - SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	DATE OF DEATH	DATE OF INTERVIEW	DATE OF INTERVIEW
1. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
2. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
3. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
4. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
5. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
6. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
7. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
8. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
9. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68
10. JAMES EARL RAY	5-5-28	4-4-68	4-4-68	4-4-68

STATISTICS  
CASES RECEIVED FROM THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL  
COURTS, BY DEPARTMENTS,  
AND RELEASES

SUPERIOR COURT:

										<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Number continued on probation										709	62

MOTIONS MADE

<u>Juv.Ct.</u>		<u>Dpt.6</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>		<u>Dept.20</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
117		150		197		144		66		674	
	3		6		6		6		4		25

MOTIONS GRANTED

84		97		85		64		39		3		369		28
	5		6		7		7		3					

Number under supervision	1078	90
Number revoked and sentenced	12	2
Revoked but not sentenced	11	3
Probation expired (without change of plea)	238	14
Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code	13	3
Discharged under 1203.4 " "	2	
Number died	2	

Number on probation June 30, 1948	800	68	868
-----------------------------------	-----	----	-----

MUNICIPAL COURT:

Number continued on probation	911	264
-------------------------------	-----	-----

GRANTED PROBATION

<u>Dpt.9</u>		<u>Dpt.10</u>		<u>Dpt.10A</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>	
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
234		588		31		208		203	
	216				11		1		
Modifications			62						

Number under supervision	2175	554
Number revoked and sentenced	48	4
Revoked but not sentenced	26	6
Probation expired (without change of plea)	991	164
Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code	26	9
Discharged under 1203.4 Penal Code	3	

Number on probation June 30, 1948	1081	371	1452
-----------------------------------	------	-----	------

TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION as of June 30, 1948			2320
---	--	--	------







OFFENSES BY AGESSUPERIOR COURT

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Sec. 476a P.C.	Forgery	Grand Theft	Receiving Stolen Prop.	Hit & Run	501 VC	503 VC	Contributing Delinquents	Robbery	Rape	Sec. 499b P.C.	Manslaughter	Sec. 377a P.C.	Others	Male	Female
18	3	F		2				3		1		1					10	1
19			1	1			1	4		1	2				F	10		1
20	4			2		1		4	F	1	2						14	1
21	3	2		1	4	1		3	3	6	5		1	1	F	30		1
22	2	1	2		1	1		4	4	2		1					18	
23	1F	3	2	2 F	3		1	1	1	8	4	1		1	1	1 F	30	3
24	1	1	1		1			3	3	2	1					F	13	1
25	2	4		1		3	1	1	4	3				1			20	
26		3	3	1	2			2	2	1							14	
27	1		2	1			1		1	3				1	1		11	
28	2	2	1F	3	2			1	1		2		1				15	1
29	1	1	3F		1		1		2	2				1			13	1
30-34	1	3	6F	1F	7F		4		11F	2	3		2	5	2 F	47		7
35-39	3F	1	5		2	2		1		3	2	1	2	4	11F		37	2
40-44	11F	5		3F		2		1	7F					6			25	4
45-49	3F		1		2F	1	2		7				F	7	2F		25	4
50 & Over	2		3		1F	1		3	13				1	11	2		37	1
Male	22	29	34	11	34	3	15	11	27	69	30	17	4	10	45	8	369	
Female	4	1	4	2	4				5				1	1	6			28

F - Female



OFFENSES BY AGESMUNICIPAL COURT

AGES	Assault	Battery	Malicious Mischiefs	Disturbing the Peace	Drunk	Sec. 502 V.C.	Omit to Provide	Petit Theft	Vagrancy	Vio.	Traffic Laws	Vio. Local Ordinances	Sex Violations	Vio. Sec. 199b P.C.	Others	Male	Fem.
M 18 F	1	4	2	3	1	1		11	2	7			2	2			
19	4	5			1	2	3	7	3	4		2	2			36	3
20	1	5	6	4	2	1	6	11	2	3			5	6		54	10
21/22		10	16	1	7	4	19	8	2	7	2	2		8		86	20
23/25		28	22	5	10	19	37	21	5	12	3	6	6	15	189		25
26/27		20	19		14	9	18	7	3	2	1	5	2	3	102		18
28/30	2	17	19	3	19	10	31	15	1	5	1	3	1	11	138		18
31/33		14	9		16	8	33	4	1		1	4		12	102		16
34/37	1	19	19	3	22	9	25	8	1	7	4	9		6	133		25
38/40		14	4	1	25	6	15	8	2	3	4	2		2	86		25
41 &		40	35	2	63	25	52	21	7	14	6	11		29			
Male	8	176	151	22	180	94	239	124	29	64	22	44	18	93	126		
Female	1	18	16	1	27	4	2	133	2	4	6	1	1	12			228

F - Female

TOTAL 1492



Annual Report - 47-48

	Superior Court		Municipal Court		TOTAL
	Male	Female	Male	Female	

MARITAL STATUS

Single	65	2	325	34	426
Married	292	23	633	142	1090
Divorced	10	2	161	32	205
Separated	2	1	145	20	168
TOTALS	369	28	1264	228	1889

RELIGION

Catholic	110	4	419	66	599
Protestant	108	3	527	83	721
Jewish	6	2	17	5	30
None stated	145	19	301	74	539
TOTALS	369	28	1264	228	1889

NATIVITY

Born in California	91	8	316	38	453
Born in other states	238	18	805	133	1194
Foreign Born	40	2	143	57	242
TOTALS	369	28	1264	228	1889

Approximately 13% were foreign-born.

" 24% were from California.

" 63% were from other states -  
mostly from south-western  
states.



OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	Superior Court		Municipal Court		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Clerks	48	6	75	19	148
Cooks, Waiters, Waitresses	11	4	48	28	91
Drivers, Teamsters	26		98	2	126
Janitors	13		40	2	55
Laborers	69		189	11	269
Longshoremen	7		34		41
Professional, self-employed	28	1	82	13	124
Salespeople	16		38	2	56
Tradesmen	89		334	15	438
U.S. Soldiers, Sailors, Seamen	28		72		100
Ex-veterans- not employed	7		60		67
No occupation given	18	3	165	37	223
Students	3		19	1	23
Housewives		6		72	78
Landladies		3		7	10
Maids		5		12	17
Nurses				7	7
Pensioners	6		10		16
TOTALS	369	28	1264	228	1889







SAN FRANCISCO ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A, DIV. 2

## Age Groups

	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	Total No. of Investi- gations
Juven-iles																									
Re-arrests	13	24	19	127	144	51	74	58	41	44	57														661
1	15	14	20	102	210	79	88	51	98	71	97														846
																									TOTAL 1507

CHARGES: (Some defendants charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	569		
Ordinance 1869	131	Prior Record	- 285
Drunk	104	None	376
Other charges	76		661
	880		

## DISPOSITION:

Granted Probation	146	
Sentenced to the County Jail	153	
Dismissed	296	
Fined	3	
Transferred	17	
Suspended sentence	27	
Committed to State Hospital	11	
Off Calendar	8	661

## DISPOSITION (of Re-arrests)

Granted probation	143	
Sentenced to the County Jail	146	
Dismissed	423	
Revoked	66	
Transferred	3	
Fined	6	
Suspended sentences	44	
Off calendar	8	846
	TOTAL	1507

## MEDICAL:

Syphilis	29
Gonorrhea	81
Lymphopathia Venereum	1
	111

Prior Social Disease 178

Prostitutes	169 (diseased 55)
Promiscuous	322 ( " 57)
Alcoholics	157
Drugs	13



MARITAL STATUS:

Married	162	
Single	87	
Divorced	133	
Separated	168	
Widows	<u>47</u>	661

RELIGION:

Catholic	251
Protestant	325
Other	<u>62</u>

Active	76
Inactive	<u>510</u>

RACE:

White	473	
Negro	172	
Indian	9	
Other	<u>7</u>	661

NATIVITY:

California	118
Out of state	525
Foreign Born	<u>18</u>

661

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	123
No employment	292
Employed in last six mos.	<u>246</u>

TIME IN

	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>California</u>
Less than six months	330	171
Over six months	<u>331</u>	<u>490</u>
	661	661

ORDERED TO LEAVE SAN FRANCISCO (for home)	35
---	----

AGES OF PROBATIONERS RECEIVED:

18	2
19	5
20	9
21, 22	46
23, 24, 25	55
26, 27	30
28, 29, 30	37
31 to 33	25
34 to 37	22
38 to 40	24
41 & over	<u>34</u>
	<u>289</u>



Annual Report 47/48  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DPT. LOA, DIVN. 2 (Contd.)

Number continued on probation from last year	151
Number of new probationers	289
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>109</u>
Number under supervision during the year	549

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	147	
Unsatisfactory	65	
Probation revoked, and bench warrant issued, not apprehended to date	39	
Probation revoked, sent to Co. Jail	35	
Re-arrested and sen. to County Jail	30	
Committed to State Hospital	1	317

---

Number on probation as of June 30, 1948	<u>232</u>
---	------------

---



SEP 1 - 1949

REFERENCE DEPARTMENT  
SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

550 MONTGOMERY STREET

---oOo---

A N N U A L      R E P O R T

FISCAL    YEAR

-- JULY 1, 1948 to JUNE 30, 1949 --





SEP 6 - 1949  
REFERENCE DEPARTMENT  
SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

S U P E R I O R   C O U R T - Criminal Division

Judge Albert C. Wollenberg - Department 6

Presiding Judge

Judge Milton D. Sapiro, Department 19

Judge Edward P. Murphy, Department 11

Judge William T. Sweigert, Department 12

M U N I C I P A L   C O U R T - Criminal Division

Judge Eustace Cullinan, Jr. - Department 10  
Department 10A

Presiding Judge

Judge Alvin E. Weinberger - Department 9

Judge Matthew F. Brady, Department 11

Judge John B. Molinari, Department 12



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Richard Nasser  
Rev. James Murray

- - - -

PROBATION OFFICERS

Mrs. Irene Burton  
Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. Warren Jenkins  
Mr. James F. Layden  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mr. Walter J. Morris

Miss Margaret Connolly  
Senior Probation Officer

Mr. John D. Kavanaugh,  
Chief Adult Probation Officer

Mr. Constant G. Simon, Bookkeeper			
Mr. James J. Morgan, General Clerk-Stenographer			
Miss Anna Sindicich	"	"	"
Mrs. Frances Ortiz	"	"	"
Mrs. Sara B. Schnier	"	"	"
Miss Gunhild Benson	"	"	"
Miss Justina Mannix	"	"	"
*Mrs. Augusta Whissell	"	"	"

\*Temporary requisition filled by civil service employee.



INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1,2
Financial Statement	3
Chart I - comparison of funds collected	4
General Statistics - new cases	5
Chart II - case loads	6
Investigations & Revocations	7
Revocations - comparison	8
General Statistics - offenses	9
Statistics - Superior and Municipal Courts - Departments	10
Superior Court - Offenses by ages	11
Municipal Court - Offenses by ages	12
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity	13
Occupations of Probationers	14
--	
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A DIVISION 2.	15
General Statistics, Ages, etc.	
General Statistics	16



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

T PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
50 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 2-3923

## TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1948/1949 is herewith submitted:

A review of the costs of maintaining the Adult Probation Department over the past five years shows that the department is practically self-sustaining, not alone in the amount of money refunded to the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco, but also of the fact that a large number of probationers are supporting themselves and their families instead of being a burden on the community. During the year probationers under supervision earned approximately the sum of \$5,000,000.00, and over \$50,000.00 was turned in to City and County in fines.

In Superior Court, the work has more than doubled during the past five years; figures indicate a rising trend in the number of applicants, also in the number denied probation.

In Municipal Court, figures indicate a substantial increase in the number of cases referred on probation; there is also a noticeably large increase in cases for collection of fines, and restitution. Case loads of probation officers working in the Municipal Court - averaging over three hundred each - are so excessive as to preclude the possibility of greater collection of funds.

During the past five years, the Adult Probation Department has operated under a very low cost budget, which has proved to be a very serious handicap in performing the necessary work. In order to effect closer supervision and extend probation services in a growing city of this size, more personnel should be provided. Case loads should be lowered in order that more effective work can be done. To remedy deficiencies presently existing in the probation setup is not only to improve the total community welfare through effective and extended probation services, but in the long run this effects a huge saving of public funds as well.

At the present writing, San Francisco's new clinic for alcoholics is due to open shortly, which will necessitate the services of a probation officer with clerical help. This is an intelligent way of dealing with alcoholics - to try to rehabilitate them rather than punish them.





An increase in the amount of revocations made may be attributed to increasing judicial and public confidence in probation techniques, where offenders whose eligibility for probation might be considered marginal are being referred for probationary supervision. These offenders, usually with more intensified personal and social problems, represent a challenge which the probation system must accept if it is to continue its growth and improve its techniques. It therefore becomes imperative for probation administrators to take time to take stock. Methods and procedures must be tested for efficiency and validity. Weaknesses must be recognized frankly in organizational structure and must be remedied, for only with a frank appraisal will the probation system be in a position to meet the ever-increasing responsibility for service to the community and to the individuals entrusted to its supervision.

A reorganization of the probation system should include the following:

1. Increasing the number of personnel to properly handle case loads.
2. Extension of probation services to all municipal departments and courts.
3. Keeping more probation reports and records.
4. Closer contact between probation officer and probationer.
5. A more generous budget to take care of ever-increasing needs.

To the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, without whose generous assistance and sympathetic understanding we could not have met and solved problems inherent to the administration of probation, we extend our sincere appreciation.

Respectfully submitted

JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
Chief Adult Probation Officer.

JDK:M



FINANCIAL STATEMENT


Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1949:

Appropriated		\$ 72,011.00
<u>Expenditures</u>		
Salaries	\$ 67,735.48	
Contractual Services	1,285.32	
Materials and Supplies	1,006.94	
Equipment	390.52	
Insurance	20.00	
		<u>70,438.26</u>
Unexpended balance (To General Fund)		\$ 1,572.74
		<u><u>                    </u></u>
<u>Funds collected by the Adult Probation Department on Court Orders:</u>		
Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support		\$ 98,355.48
Merchants, hotels and other commercial restitution		50,417.96
Fines, and Restitution for the benefit of the City and County		<u>50,204.89</u>
TOTAL COLLECTIONS -		<u>\$ 198,978.33</u>
		<u><u>                    </u></u>
Cost of supervising an average case load of 2100 probationers per month for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1949:		
Actual expenditures . . .		\$ 70,438.26
Less:		
Fines collected to General Fund		<u>50,204.89</u>
Net to City and County		<u><u>\$ 20,233.37</u></u>



CHART I

Showing a comparison of funds collected by  
the Adult Probation Department on Court Orders  
during the past five years:



The above shows an increase of approximately  
42% over the five year period.

There is a possibility of greater collection of  
funds with a decrease in case load per officer.



GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATION - REGARDING NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem.</u>	
Applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigations)	614	35	71	6	726
Cases referred for special investigations (not probation applications)	10		100	12	122
Probation History -					
	306	37	1021	370	1734
1st time in court	268	28	840	284	1420
2d time on probation	22	6	67	71	166
3d time on probation	16	3	114	15	148

CONDITIONS IMPOSED:

(as a grant of probation)

Jail	92	12	45	6	155
Fined as a condition	53	5	150	43	251
Restitution	75	12	344	30	461
Family support	2		211	1	214

MOTIONS DENIED:

In Superior Court, 338 motions for probation were denied by the court, and were disposed of as follows:


	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
Committed to the California Youth Authority	26	1	27
Sentenced to State Prison	164	4	168
Sentenced to the County Jail	135	5	140
Fined	2		2
Committed to State Hospital	1		1
TOTAL - - -	328	10	338





CHART II

Shows the case load handled by this department over the past five years:



Eight supervising probation officers handled a case load of 2080 during the year or a case load of 260 probationers was supervised per officer.

Approximate increase in this period is 16%.



INVESTIGATIONS

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>	614	35	649
State Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	102		102
Special (including out of town & state	110	12	122
REVOCATIONS	48	9	57
<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>	1021	119	1140
Pre-sentence reports	71	6	77
REVOCATIONS	178	30	208
<u>WOMENS COURT, DEPT. 10A</u>		1501	1501
Revocations		112	112
TOTALS	2144	1824	3968

REVOCATIONS

Superior Court	57
Municipal Court	208
Womens Court, DPT. 10A	<u>112</u>
TOTAL	<u>377</u>

During the year 1948 new probationers were received from Superior and Municipal Courts; 265 had their probation revoked - percentage of failures on probation was approximately 15%.

In the Womens Court, Department 10a, Division 2, the number of women under supervision was 538; 112 were revoked - percentage of failures in this department was approximately 21%.







GENERAL STATISTICSOFFENSES

<u>FELONIES</u>	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	23	2			25
Burglary	15	1			16
Checks, 476a P.C.	27	12			39
Checks, Forgery, 476 P.C.	11	2			13
Grand Theft	35	5			40
Receiving Stolen Property	7				7
Vio. Sec. 480 V.C.	13	1			14
Vio. Sec. 501 V.C.	5	1			6
Vio. Sec. 503 V.C.	13				13
Robbery	35	3			38
Rape	14				14
Manslaughter	6	1			7
Vio. Sec. 337a P.C.	35	3			38
Other felonies	12	1			13

MISDEMEANORS

Assault			3		3
Battery			131	7	138
Malicious Mischief			116	2	118
Disturbing the Peace			24		24
Drunk			102	91	193
Vio. Sec. 502 Vehicle Code			30	1	31
Omit to Provide			207		207
Petit Theft			153	71	224
Vagrancy			23	182	205
Violating Traffic Laws			49	1	50
Violating Local Ordinances			31	3	34
Sex offenses			41		41
Contributing to delinquency of Minors	55	5			60
Other Misdemeanors			86	11	97
Vio. Sec. 499b Penal Code			25	1	26

---

TOTALS	306	37	1021	370	1734
--------	-----	----	------	-----	------

---





Annual  
Report 1948-49

MUNICIPAL COURTWOMENS COURT: 104

166

2080



OFFENSES BY AGESSUPERIOR COURT

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Sec. 476a P.C.	Forgery	Grand Theft	Receiving Stolen Prop.	Hit & Run	501 V.C.	503 V.C.	Contributing Del. Minors	Robbery	Rape	Manslaughter	Sec. 337a PC	Other	TOTAL Male/Female	
18	1			1						3F					5	1	
19	1 1	1	F	3F	1			2 3		1					13	2	
20	3 2		2		1			3 2 3	1 1						18		
21	2F 1	F	1 2	1				1 1 7	3		1				20	2	
22	1 2	F	1 1					4		1 1			F		11	2	
23	1 4				1				2 5	3					16		
24	1 1	1F	1 4F		F 1	2			2					F	13	4	
25		2		1F	3			1 2 2	1						12	1	
26	1 1 3		2			2			4 2	1	F 2				18	1	
27	1	2		2					3 2F		1		1		12	1	
28	2		1 1 3			1			F 1 1				1		11	1	
29	1		2F 1									1	F		5	2	
30 to 34	F 3		F 4F	1 5F	2 2	F			10F	3 1			3 1		35	7	
35 to 39	3 1	F 6F	2 3	1		1			F 6F	F 2	1 1		F 7	1	35	6	
40 to 44		1F 2F	F 1 4F	1					6	1		1	6	2	25	5	
45 to 49	1		2F F 2		1				1 1				4 3		15	2	
50 & Over	2	1		2 2 4				15				1 12	3	42			
Male	23 15 27 11 35 7 13 5 13 55 35 14 6 35 12 306																
Female	2 1 12 2 5 1 1 5 3 1 3 1															37	

Symbol F - Female



OFFENSES BY AGESMUNICIPAL COURT

Ages	Assault	Battery	Malicious Mischief	Disturbing the Peace	Drunk	502 V.C.	Omit to Provide	Petit Theft	Vagrancy	Traffic	Local	Sex offenses	Vio. Sec. 499b Pt	Other	Male	Female
18 <sup>M</sup> F	3	2		2			3	3	3	1	1	2	1	21		
19 <sup>M</sup> F	4	1		1	1	2	12 5	5	4	1		3	1	35		5
20 <sup>M</sup> F	7	4	1	1		2	6 3	2 1	3	1	2	4	3	36		4
21 to 22	12 3	12	5	5		13	24 7	1	10	1	3	4	5	95		10
23/ 25	19 1	11	4	6 1	3	25	14 8	5	2	1	4	4	10	108		10
26/ 27	1	8	11	2 8	1	18	8 7		4	2	2		6 1	71		8
28/ 30		15	15	2 9 1	3	34	14 6	1 1	3	3	3	6	8 2	116		10
31/ 33	1	12	8	4 7	5	26	17 6	2	4	3	8		7 1	104		7
34/ 37	1	18 1	20 1	3 1	14 1	8	36	12 9	2 1	7 1	6 1	7	7 1	141		16
38/ 40		8	10		9 4	3	15	14 6			1	2		2 1	64	11
41 & Over		25 2	22 1	3	40 6	6 1	36	29 14	2	9	11 2	9	2 1	36 5	230	32
Male	3	131	116	24	102	30	207	153	23	49	31	41	25	86	1021	
Female		7	2		13	1		71	3	1	3		1	11		113

M-Male

F-Female





Annual Report 1948-49

	Superior Court <u>Male</u>	Court <u>Female</u>	Municipal Court <u>Male</u>	Court <u>Female</u>	Total
<u>MARITAL STATUS:</u>					
Single	75	3	257	20	355
Married	193	31	473	191	888
Divorced	14	1	147	72	234
Separated	24	2	144	87	257
TOTALS	306	37	1021	370	1734

<u>RELIGION:</u>					
Catholic	110	12	351	143	616
Protestant	125	21	433	143	722
Jewish	15	1	41	2	59
None stated	56	3	196	82	337
TOTALS	306	37	1021	370	1734

<u>NATIVITY:</u>					
Born in California	75	12	272	104	463
Born in other states	130	17	546	182	975
Foreign born	101	8	103	84	296
TOTALS	306	37	1021	370	1734





## OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	Superior Court		Municipal Court		TOTAL
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Clerks	32	7	64	19	122
Cooks & Waiters	19	2	29	3	53
Waitresses		4		96	100
Drivers & Teamsters	28		86		114
Janitors	5		44	2	51
Laborers	38	1	88	3	130
Longshoremen	7		25		32
Professional - self employed	36	6	74	13	129
Salespeople	15	1	36	6	58
Tradesmen	70		240	3	313
U.S. Soldiers, Sailors	17		76		93
Seamen	17		68		85
Ex-vets - not employed	4		27	1	32
No occupation given	9	3	138	114	264
Students	5		12		17
Housewives		8		102	110
Maids		4		7	11
Nurses		1		1	2
Pensioners	4		14		18
TOTALS	306	37	1021	370	1734



SAN FRANCISCO ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A, DIVN. 2

Age Groups

					23		28	31	34	38	41	Total Number
Juven-	18	19	20	21	24	26	29	32	to	39	& of Investi-	
iles				22	25	27	30	33	37	40	Over gations -	
17	14	16	26	99	110	61	65	43	47	29	64	591
Re-arrests												
1	11	11	23	107	215	89	85	84	91	62	131	910
											Total - - -	1501

CHARGES: (Some defts. charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	508		
Ordinance 1869	119	Prior record -	283
Drunk	78	None	308
Other	86		591
	<u>791</u>		

DISPOSITION:

Granted probation	159	
Sen. to the County Jail . .	96	
Dismissed	265	
Fined	2	
Transferred	20	
Suspended sentence	47	
Off Calendar	<u>2</u>	591

DISPOSITION (of Re-arrests)

Granted Probation	98	
Sentenced to the County Jail . . .	213	
Dismissed	475	
Fined	7	
Transferred	2	
Suspended Sentence	28	
Revoked	81	
Sen. Youth Authority	1	
Comm. State Hospital	3	
Off Calendar	<u>2</u>	910
		<u>TOTAL - 1501</u>

MEDICAL:

Syphilis	19	
Gonorrhea	56	Prior Social Disease - 87
Lymphopathia Venereum	1	

76

Prostitutes	155 (diseased 19)	Drugs	17
Promiscuous	295 ( " 58)	Alcoholics	105



A.R. 48/49

MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A, DIVN. 2 (Contd)

MARITAL STATUS:

Married	150	
Single	112	
Divorced	142	
Separated	155	
Widows	<u>31</u>	590

RELIGION:

Catholic	216
Protestant	316
None	31
Other	4
Active	46
Inactive	505

RACE:

White	447	
Negro	134	
Indian	5	
Other	<u>5</u>	591

NATIVITY:

California	124
Out of State	447
Foreign born	<u>20</u>
	591

EMPLOYMENT:

		TIME IN	
Working at time of arrest	104	San Francisco	California
No employment	252	Less than 6 mos.	264
Employed last 6 mos.	234	Over 6 mos.	210
			495

ORDERED to leave San Francisco (for home) 32

Number continued on probation from last year	232
New probationers	257
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>49</u>
Number under supervision	538

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	180
Unsatisfactory	80
Bench warrants issued, but not apprehended to date	44
Re-arrested on new charge, revoked & sen. to county Jail	<u>68</u>
	372
Number on probation as of June 30, 1949	<u><u>166</u></u>



SEP 22 1950

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

550 MONTGOMERY STREET

---oOo---

A N N U A L      R E P O R T

FISCAL      YEAR

JULY 1, 1949 to JUNE 30, 1950

---





S U P E R I O R   C O U R T - Criminal Division

Judge Albert C. Wollenberg - Department 6

Presiding Judge

Judge Milton D. Sapiro, Department 19

Judge Harry J. Neubarth, Department 11

Judge William T. Sweigert, Department 12

- - -

M U N I C I P A L   C O U R T - Criminal Division

Judge Carl H. Allen - Department 10  
Department 10A

Presiding Judge

Judge John J. McMahon - Department 9

Judge Matthew F. Brady - Department 11

Judge Charles S. Peery - Department 12



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Richard Nasser  
Rev. Matthew Connolly

---

PROBATION OFFICERS

Mrs. Irene Burton  
Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. Warren Jenkins  
Mr. James F. Layden  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mr. Walter J. Morris  
\* Mr. William J. Dealey  
\* Mr. Joseph P. Halligan  
  
Miss Margaret Connolly  
    Senior Probation Officer  
  
Mr. John D. Kavanaugh  
    Chief Adult Probation Officer

- - -

Mr. James J. Morgan, Senior Clerk Stenographer			
Mr. Constant G. Simon, Bookkeeper.			
Miss Anna Sindicich, General Clerk Stenographer			
Mrs. Frances Ortiz	"	"	"
Mrs. Sara B. Schnier	"	"	"
Miss Gunhild Benson	"	"	"
Miss Justina Mannix	"	"	"
* Mrs. Angela A. Balzer	"	"	"
* Miss Bernice M. Reed	"	"	"

\* Recent additions to our staff.



INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1,2
Financial Statement	3
Chart I - comparison collections	4
General Statistics - new cases	5
Chart II - comparison case loads	6
Investigations, Revocations, and Motions Denied & sentenced	7
Revocations - comparison five years	8
Miscellaneous Statistics	9
Statistics - Superior & Municipal Courts	10
Superior & Municipal Courts by Departments	11
Superior Court - Offenses by ages	12
Municipal Court - Offenses by ages	13
Marital Status, Religion, Nativity of probationers	14
Occupations of Probationers	15
--	
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT.10A DIVISION NO. 2.	16
Statistics       "       "	17



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 2.3923

TERS TO:

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1949/1950 is submitted herewith:

Copies of this report will be provided to members of the Adult Probation Committee; members of the Board of Supervisors; the California Youth Authority, and the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, who have referred offenders to the Probation Department for investigation and supervision during the year.

An analysis of the costs of maintaining the Adult Probation Department shows the department is practically self-sustaining; over \$50,000.00 was turned in to the General Fund of the City and County in fines collected, and during this period 2,200 probationers supported themselves and their dependents. During the year probationers earned approximately \$3,000,000.00.

Investigations handled by the department during the year total 4,000. Cases referred by the courts show an increase over the past year. Case loads per officer during the year averaged 250 per supervising officer. Additional functions arising from legislative enactment and constantly increasing case loads have curtailed to a large extent our field work and home visits, which is a most important phase of probation practices.

Requests for additional personnel to handle the increased work of the department have met with some success. A survey was made of the department's needs for personnel, and the facts resulting from this survey were presented to the Board of Supervisors who, recognizing our need for additional help, allowed four officers and two clerks for the ensuing year. Should more personnel be added in the ensuing years, it will be possible to lower case loads so that more effective work can be accomplished. The first step in a reorganization of the probation system should be to increase the number of personnel to properly handle case loads.

In order to gain a complete understanding of the personnel requirements of the Adult Probation Department, the National





Probation and Parole Association represented by Mr. John Schapps and Mr. Milton Rector in January, 1950, made an exhaustive survey of the activities of the department. Copies of this survey were distributed to the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, also to all interested city officials. This report was not so much to verify the understaffing of the department as it was to report on its extent and to specify the numbers and types of positions required for orderly expansion.

The before-mentioned survey was carried out by request of the Chief Adult Probation Officer in order to acquaint the community with the limitations and deficiencies in adult probation work as performed by his department in order to bring about improved efficiency and effectiveness in this important public service in the field of crime and corrections. At this time, the Chief Adult Probation Officer wishes to acknowledge to Mr. John Schapps and Mr. Milton Rector of the National Probation and Parole Association a vote of thanks for their time and skill in making this detailed survey of the department, which incidentally was performed without cost to the City and County of San Francisco. This survey should serve as a "measuring stick" for the Personnel Requirements of the Adult Probation Department over the ensuing five years.

I wish to acknowledge with sincere appreciation the splendid assistance and cooperation extended the Adult Probation Department by the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Press, the District Attorney, the Police Department, and other private and public agencies, whose sympathetic understanding has helped us to meet and solve problems in the administration of probation.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
Chief Adult Probation Officer

JDK:M



FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1950:

Appropriated	\$ 81,820.00
--------------	--------------

Expenditures

Salaries	\$ 75,732.14	
Contractual Services	2,563.95	
Materials and supplies	994.46	
Equipment	492.31	
Insurance	<u>20.00</u>	<u>79,802.86</u>

Unexpended balance (To General Fund)	\$ 2,017.14
--------------------------------------	-------------

---

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support	\$ 92,171.95
--	--------------

Merchants, hotels and other commercial restitution	39,090.69
---	-----------

Fines and restitution for the benefit of the City and County	<u>50,529.25</u>
---	------------------

TOTAL COLLECTIONS - - -	<u>\$181,791.89</u>
-------------------------	---------------------

---

Cost of supervising an average case load of  
2200 probationers per month for the fiscal  
year ending June 30, 1950:

Actual expenditures	\$ 79,802.86
---------------------	--------------

Less:


Fines collected - to General Fund	<u>50,529.25</u>
-----------------------------------	------------------

Net cost to City and County	\$ 28,273.61
-----------------------------	--------------



CHART I

Showing a comparison of funds collected  
by the Adult Probation Department on  
Court Orders during the past five years:



The above chart shows an increase of  
approximately 40% over the five year period.



GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATIONONNEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem</u>	
Number applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigation)	675	41	1524	248	2488
Modifications			1	22	23
Number of cases referred for special investigation (not probation applications)	7	1	9	4	21
Probation History -					
New probationers	317	25	1524	248	2114
1st time on probation	265	22	1261	194	1742
2nd time on probation	37	3	140	32	212
3d time on probation	15	0	123	22	160

CONDITIONS IMPOSED(as a grant of probation)


Jail	84	10	248	35	377
Fined	63	14	332	50	459
Restitution	51	3	356	51	461
Family Support	3		192		195
To take psychiatric treatments (sex)	23		31		54
Alcoholic Clinic			231	11	242





CHART II

Showing a comparison of case loads handled by this department over the past five year period.



Nine supervising probation officers handled a case load of 2250 probationers during the year, or a case load of 250 probationers was supervised per officer.

Approximate increase in this period is 45%.



INVESTIGATIONS

<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
	675	41	716
State Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	61	6	67
Special Investigations	9	4	13
<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>	1525	270	1795
Pre-sentence reports	189	34	223
Special Investigations	7	2	9
<u>WOMENS COURT, DEPT.10A</u>		1171	1171
<u>TOTALS</u>	<u>2466</u>	<u>1528</u>	<u>3994</u>

REVOCATIONS

Superior Court	41
Municipal Court	95
Womens Court, Dpt.10A	<u>113</u>
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>249</u>

During the year 2250 new probationers were received from Superior and Municipal Courts; 136 had their probation revoked - percentage of failures on probation from these courts was approximately 12%.

In the Womens Court, Department 10A, Division 2, the number of women under supervision was 463; 113 were revoked - percentage of failures in this department was approximately 24%.

MOTIONS DENIED:

In Superior Court, 386 motions for probation were denied, and were disposed of as follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	150
Sentenced to County Jail	193
Committed to Youth Authority	41
Committed to State Hospitals	<u>2</u>
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>386</u>



REVOCATIONS

Showing a comparison for the five year period  
 1946 to 1950  
and percentage of failures on probation

Year	Superior Courts		Municipal Courts		Women's Court, M.C.10A	
	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>
1946	2	571	2	1724	20	842
1947	4	771	3	1711	18	502
1948	4	868	3	1492	11	549
1949	7	831	10	1391	21	538
1950	5	806	7	1444	24	463
-----						

Revocations during the past year show a small decrease in the Superior and Municipal Courts.

Revocations in the Women's Court, Municipal Court, Department 10A, show a small increase during the year. The number of re-arrests in this court has been high; in the past year, the number almost 100% of the regular number of females referred for supervision.



MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

The activities of the Adult Probation Department cover a large field in the administration of probation in this community. Listed below are some of the activities of the department, which are not contained in other pages of this report:

During the year, probationers placed on probation were responsible for the support of approximately 600 adults and 200 children per month, besides earning their own support. The average earnings of probationers in this period was well over \$3,000,000.00.

An average of six investigations per month were made for other jurisdictions.

Interviews averaged approximately 100 per month per supervising officer.

The number actively reporting per month was approximately 450 from San Francisco, 115 from the Bay area, 70 from out of state, and 250 by mail.

Telephone calls handled by supervising officers averaged twenty per day; out -of-town calls were about ten per month.

Overtime worked by the department during the year amounted to 250 man-hours which was paid at overtime rates. Other overtime amounting to 100 man-hours was compensated for at equal time off.





OFFENSESSUPERIOR COURT

<u>Felonies</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Assault	11			
Burglary	57	1		
Vio. Sec. 476a P. C.	21	2		
Forgery	17	3		
Grand Theft	30	3		
Hit and Run	2			
Health & Safety Code	18	2		
Sec. 503 VC	12	1		
Conspiracy (Abortion)	6	4		
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	58	6		
Robbery	25			
Rape	5			
Manslaughter	9			
Sec. 337a Penal Code	29	1		
Other	17	2		

MUNICIPAL COURTMisdemeanors

Battery	134	14
Malicious Mischief	153	11
Disturbing the Peace	9	
Drunk	303	25
Vio. Sec. 502 Vehicle Code	103	11
Failure to Provide	189	
Petit Theft	173	109
Vagrancy	32	35
Violating Traffic Laws	135	3
Violating Local Ordinances	49	8
Violating Liquor Laws	5	3
Sex offenses	48	
Violating Sec. 499b P.C.	20	1
Other misdemeanors	171	28

TOTALS

317

25

1524

248

2114



SUPERIOR COURT:

Number continued on probation from last year										Male	Female	
										761	70	
<u>MOTIONS:</u>	<u>Dept. 6</u>		<u>Dept. 11</u>		<u>Dpt. 12</u>		<u>Juv. Ct.</u>		<u>Total</u>			
	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>		
	186		211		171		107		675			
	12		12		9		8		41			
<u>GRANTED:</u>												
	89		96		70		62		317			
	1		7		11		6		25	317	25	
Number under supervision										1078	95	
Number revoked and sentenced										18	4	
Revoked but not sentenced										14	5	
Probation expired without change of plea										272	17	
Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code										10	1	
Discharged under 1203.4 Penal Code										22	2	
Number died										1		
Number transferred										1		
Number on probation at end of year										740	66	806

MUNICIPAL COURT:

Number continued on probation from last period 825 258

GRANTED PROBATION:

<u>Dpt. 9</u>		<u>Dpt. 10</u>		<u>Dpt. 10a</u>		<u>Dpt. 11</u>		<u>Dpt. 12</u>				
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>			
354		565		9		278		318				
6		176		47		15		4		1524	248	
Modified										1	22	
Number under supervision										2350	528	
Number revoked and sentenced										11	20	
Revoked but not sentenced										33	31	
Probation expired without change of plea										1110	196	
Discharged under 1203.3 Penal Code										8	3	
Discharged under 1203.4 Penal Code										19	2	
Transferred										1		
Number on probation at end of year...										1169	275	1444
TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION										2250		



OFFENSES BY AGESSUPERIOR COURT

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Sec. 476 PC. Forgery	Sec. 476a PC. Checks	Grand Theft	Sec. 480 VC	H.S. Code (Drugs)	503 VC	Contributing to Del. Minors	Robbery	Rape	Sec. 337a P.C.	Manslaughter	Other	Male	Female	Total
18	2					2	3	1					8				8
19	5			3			1	3F	2	2		1	1	18	1		19
20	8	1	1	3		1F	1	3F					18	2			20
21	1	5	3	1	1F	1	3	3	3	1			22	1			23
22	1	6	1		3	2	1	2	5	4	1	1	1	28			28
23		5		1	2	2		3	5				2	20			20
24		1	1F	1		F		3F	4			1		11	3		14
25		5	1	2				5	2		2		1	18			18
26		3		1	2		F		1	1	1		1	10	1		11
27	1	1		1	1			2					1	7			7
28		3			1			3	1			1		9			9
29			1			1		2F	1				1	6	1		7
30/34	3	6	4	6	6F	2		7F			2F	1	1	38	4		42
35/39	3	5F	2	3FF	1	5	1	4			6		3FF	33	5		38
40/44	1	1	1F	2	4	1		1	1		3	2	6FF	23	3		26
45/49	1	1	1	1				6	1		8		1	20			20
50 &		1F	1	3F		2	1	7			7	2	4FF	28	4		32
Male	11	57	17	21	30	2	18	12	58	25	5	29	9	23	317		
Female		1	3	2	3		2	1	6		1		6		25		342

Symbol F - Females



OFFENSES BY AGESMUNICIPAL COURT

AGES		Battery	Malicious Mischief	Drunk	Omit to Provide	Dist/Peace	Vagrancy	Local	Sex	Traffic Laws	Soc. 502 VC	Petit Theft	Soc. 499b PC	Other	TOTAL	
M	F														Male	Fem.
18		5	1			3	1		8	2	13	1	2		36	7
	1					3					3					
19	6	5	3	2		1	3		4	1	9	2	5		41	12
						3			1		8					
20	4	6		1		1	1	1	4	1	6	1	13		39	5
						2					3					
21	12	12	6	10		7	4		16	8	17	5	9		106	
22																
	2		1			7	1				6	1				18
23	10	16	7	26	2	5	7	2	14	9	21	4	11		134	
24																
25	5	4	2			6	1			2	14		3			37
26	11	16	14	21		3	2	5	12	5	16	1	12		118	
27						4	1		1	4	8		3			21
28	13	25	20	29		5	1	8	23	10	15	1	12		162	
29																
30	2	1				2	1			1	12		6			25
31	12	8	25	18		3	4	6	18	11	15	1	16		137	
32																
33			2			4	1			3	5		3			18
34	11	23	45	32	4	1	4	8	14	18	13	1	24		198	
35																
36		1	3			1	1				9		2			17
37																
38	15	12	40	8	1	1	12	4	6	11	9	1	16		136	
39																
40	1	2	6			2			1		8		4			24
41 & Over	40	25	142	42	2	2	15	14	16	27	39	2	51		417	
	3	3	11			1	5			1	33		7			64
Male	134	153	303	189	9	32	54	48	135	103	173	20	171		1524	
Female	14	11	23			35	11		3	11	109	1	28			248





## Annual Report 1949/50

	Superior Court		Municipal Court	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
<u>RELIGION:</u>				
Catholic	144	12	460	50
Protestant	151	11	508	69
Jewish	10	1	37	12
Mohammedan	1		2	
None	11	1	517	117
TOTALS	317	25	1524	248

MARITAL STATUS:

Single	108	4	358	39
Divorced	6		268	29
Separated	2	1	125	16
Married	201	20	773	164
TOTALS	317	25	1524	248

NATIVITY:

California	87	6	342	42
Out of State	205	15	1006	170
Foreign Born	25	4	176	36
TOTALS	317	25	1524	248



## OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	Superior Court		Municipal Court	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Bartenders	15		22	
Tradesmen	50		172	36
Cooks & Waiters	10		55	4
Clerks	42	3	67	14
Laborers	40		263	8
Longshoremen	7		53	
Professional (or self-em- ployed)	34	1	84	5
Salesmen	16	1	37	3
Drivers, Teamsters	21		72	
Janitors	18	1	62	3
U.S.S.	35		96	2
X vets	3		37	
Pensioners	1		29	
Students	13		68	2
None	12	5	307	61
Housewives		7		60
Maid		2		16
Nurse		4		7
Waitress		1		27
TOTALS	317	25	1524	248



SAN FRANCISCO ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A.DIVN.2

Age Groups

	18	19	20	21	23	26	28	31	34	38	41	Total No.
Juven-iles				22	24	27	30	32	to	39	&	of Investi-
	4	13	16	11	68	79	33	46	38	38	13	Over gations
												412

Re-arrests

	4	15	19	78	161	92	102	69	54	61	104	759
												Total - 1171

CHARGES: (Some defts. charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	350		
Ordinance 1869	93	Prior record -	180
Drunk	67	None	<u>232</u>
Other	<u>57</u>		412
	567		

DISPOSITION:

Granted probation	127	
Sen. to Co. Jail	47	
Dismissed	197	
Suspended Sentence	20	
Committed to State		
Hospital - -	7	
Transferred	6	
Fined	6	
Off Calendar	<u>2</u>	412

DISPOSITION (Of Re-arrests)

Granted Probation	119	
Sen. to Co. Jail	174	
Dismissed	360	
Suspended Sentence	38	
Transferred	21	
Revoked	35	
Fined	7	
Off Calendar	<u>5</u>	759
		TOTAL - <u>1171</u>

MEDICAL:

Syphilis	9		
Gonorrhea	<u>47</u>	Prior Social Disease	94
	56		
Prostitutes	84	Drug Addicts	24
Promiscuous	153	Alcoholics	43



MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A, DIVN. 2 (Contd).MARITAL STATUS:

Married	88	
Single	79	
Divorced	116	
Separated	111	
Widows	<u>19</u>	413

RELIGION:

Catholic	154
Protestant	214
Other	8
Active	53
Inactive	332
None	<u>14</u>

RACE:

White	327	
Negro	77	
Indian	6	
Other	<u>2</u>	412

NATIVITY:

California	89
Out of State	314
Foreign Born	<u>9</u>
	412

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	81
No employment	173
Employed last 6 months	<u>158</u>

TIME IN:      SAN FRANCISCO      CALIFORNIA

Less than 6 mos.	174	172
Over 6 Mos.	143	379

ORDERED to leave San Francisco (for home)	19
---	----

Number continued on probation from last year	166	
New probationers received	246	
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>51</u>	463
Number under supervision		

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	161
Unsatisfactory	67
Revoked - not apprehended to date	72
Revoked and sentenced	41
Committed to State Hospital	<u>2</u>
	343

Number on probation as of June 30, 1950 . . . . .	<u>120</u>
---	------------





CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET

- - -

A N N U A L

R E P O R T

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1950 to JUNE 30, 1951  
- - - - -



S U P E R I O R      C O U R T

Daniel R. Shoemaker, Presiding Judge

Criminal Division - Judge William T. Sweigert,  
Hall of Justice                      Presiding Judge,  
   Department 12.

Judge Twain Michelsen,  
Department 6

Judge Harry J. Neubarth  
Department 11

Judge Milton D. Sapiro  
Department 19

- - - - -

M U N I C I P A L      C O U R T

Carl H. Allen, Presiding Judge  
Department 4, City Hall

Criminal Division -  
Hall of Justice                      Judge Charles S. Perry,  
   Department 9

Judge John J. McMahon  
Department 10, Hall of Justice  
Department 10A, 135 Polk Street

Judge Matthew F. Brady  
Department 11

Judge Eustace Cullinan  
Department 12.



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Frank Ratto  
Rev. Matthew Connolly

- - -

Mr. John D. Kavanaugh, Chief Probation Officer

SENIOR PROBATION OFFICERS

Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Miss Margaret Connolly

PROBATION OFFICERS

Mrs. Irene M. Burton  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Mrs. Natalie Rodriguez  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mr. Joseph P. Halligan  
Mr. James F. Layden  
Mr. Robert D. Nicol  
Mr. Walter J. Morris  
Mr. Warren T. Jenkins  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. William J. Dealey

- - -

Mr. Constant G. Simon  
Bookkeeper

Mr. James J. Morgan  
Senior Clerk Stenographer

GENERAL CLERK STENOGRAPHERS

Miss Anna Sindicich  
Miss Gunhild Benson  
Miss Justina Mannix  
Miss Bernice M. Reed  
Mrs. Sara B. Schnier  
Mrs. Frances Ortiz  
Mrs. Angela A. Balzer

Mr. Martin Bell, Police Officer, Headquarters Company,  
assigned to the department.



INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1,2,3
Financial Statement	4
Chart I - Comparison of funds collected	5
General Statistics - Information	6
Chart II - Comparison of case loads	7
Investigations - Motions Denied	8
Revocations - comparison for five years	9
Miscellaneous Statistics	10
Offenses - Superior & Municipal Courts	11
Superior Court - Departments	12
Municipal Court - Departments	13
Superior Court - Offenses by ages	14
Municipal Court - Offenses by ages	15
Religion, Marital Status, Nativity of probationers received	16
Occupations of Probationers received	17

MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT.10A

Letter of Transmittal	18,19
Statistics - Ages, Disposition,etc.	20
Statistics - Nativity, Employment,etc.	21





# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JULY PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11  
DOUGLAS 2-3923

ERS TO:

## TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1950-1951 is presented herewith.

This report shows comparisons of work performance during the past five years, a study of which clearly indicates there is a constantly increasing trend in the activities of the department; this should provide a sound basis to evaluate the needs of the department to function properly in the administration of probation.

In the past year over \$235,000.00 has been collected, of which \$83,000.00 was turned in to the general fund of the City and County. This is an increase over the preceding year of \$50,000.00, or approximately 28%. A large backlog of fines and restitution remains to be collected, but with our present limited staff it is impossible to keep pace with this ever-growing phase of probation. In a purely monetary sense the community profits from probationers who, as a condition of probation, pay fines and make restitution for damages and losses of their victims.

During the year an average number of 2300 probationers supported themselves and their dependents, earning well over \$5,000,000.00. Funds collected for support of minor children, indigent spouses and indigent parents totalled \$99,813.58. Of the total number of support cases handled 52% were complete public welfare charges at the time of referral. Due to such collections some defendants were made assume full support of their families; as a result these families were taken off the welfare rolls. In other cases, the defendant's contributions provided for the cost of child care thus enabling his spouse to return to work, thereby removing the need for public aid. In other instances contributions were such that only partial supplementation was needed from the Welfare Department. From the foregoing, it is quite evident the savings to the taxpayers far exceeds the actual amount collected on these support cases.

The present case load of 177 probationers per supervising officer is more than twice the capacity of any probation officer for proper work performance and effective supervision, according to nationally recognized standards for such work.



There were 4,295 investigations last year; this is a large volume of work and is more than sufficient to keep the present staff busy full time if these investigations are to be thorough and accurate. However, in addition, probation officers are responsible for the supervision of 2300 probationers at present. Supervision has been called "the heart of probation.". With the present investigation load so excessive, the department is not properly staffed to provide adequate or thorough supervision.

Approximately one-half of the investigations handled by the department were pre-sentence investigations. These investigations provide an invaluable fund of information from which the courts may draw to determine whether society and the individual can best be served by the incarceration of the offender or by his release on probation under the supervision of the probation department. Essentials of the pre-sentence investigation include a thorough check into the prior criminal and social history of the defendant to determine all positive and negative elements of his makeup which might militate for or against his successful rehabilitation on probation. Gathering such information takes time and requires the probation officer's knowledge and the use of many community resources and facilities in addition to personal contacts with the offender, his family and environment.

For many years, the department has been operated on a very low cost budget. Time and again it has been found necessary to apply for supplemental appropriations in order to carry on the normal operations of the department. At budget time, requests for badly needed supplies and equipment are trimmed to such an extent that routine office procedure is at times greatly hampered. It often occurs that sufficient stenographic help is not available to officers who have a limited time to prepare reports to present at court. Sufficient funds to purchase dictaphone equipment would facilitate this phase of the work.

The department at present has two automobiles, one of which is used by a police officer assigned to the department to serve bench warrants, and contact probationers not cooperating with the probation officer. There is only one other machine for use of the Chief Probation Officer and fifteen assistants. Field visits therefore have to be curtailed to a minimum. Another automobile would help in accommodating members of the staff.



The accounting for all monies handled by the department requires a great deal of paper work, and in volume exceeds the capacity of any one person. With collections increasing it is only proper to request the services of an additional bookkeeper.

During the last fiscal year, four officers and two stenographers were allowed the department by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. This has been the first major addition to the staff in thirty years, although the work has been increasing proportionately with the growth of the city. To remedy the deficiencies existing in the probation setup improves the total community welfare through extended probation services, thus effecting a huge saving of public funds.

The Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts have voiced their appreciation of the services of the department as one of the most useful tools of the court in arriving at an equitable disposition in each individual case, and we wish to acknowledge their splendid cooperation. We also wish to acknowledge with sincere appreciation the assistance and cooperation extended by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, the Press, District Attorney, the Police Department and other private and public agencies who helped us in the administration of probation.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
Chief Probation Officer

JDK:M



FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1951:

Appropriated \$ 103,964.00

Expenditures

Salaries	\$91,356.03	
Contractual services	2,734.14	
Materials and Supplies	1,803.02	
Equipment	2,704.50	
Insurance	20.00	
		<u>98,617.69</u>

Unexpended balance (To General Fund) \$ 5,346.31

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children  
and other family support \$ 99,813.58

Merchants, Hotels and other commercial  
Restitution 52,461.18

Fines and Restitution for the benefit  
of the City and County 83,086.20

TOTAL COLLECTIONS - \$ 235,360.96

Cost of supervising an average case load  
of 2300 probationers per month for the  
fiscal year ending June 30, 1951:

Actual Expenditures . . . \$ 98,617.69

## Loss:

Fines collected - to General Fund 83,086.20


Net cost to City and County - - \$15,531.49





CHART I

Showing a comparison of funds collected  
by the Adult Probation Department on  
Court Orders during the past five years:



This chart shows an increase of approximately 28% over the preceding year.



GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATIONONNEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Number of applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigation)	809	53	1682	190	2734
Modifications		2	7	147	156
Number of cases referred for special investigation (not probation applications)			106	3	109

- - - - -


Probation History

New Probationers	415	42	1682	190	2329
1st time on probation	346	33	1449	167	1995
2nd time on probation	50	6	132	14	202
3rd time on probation	19	3	101	9	132
Jail	171	8	298	30	507
Fined	109	5	545	68	727
Restitution	64	9	447	53	573
Family Support	10	2	180		192
To take psychiatric treatments	27		52		79
Alcoholic Clinic			135	2	137



CHART II

Showing a comparison of case loads handled by this department during the past five year period:



Thirteen supervising probation officers handled a case load of 2300 probationers during the year, or a case load of 177 probationers was supervised per officer.

Approximate increase in this period is 18%.



Annual Report 1950/51

INVESTIGATIONS

<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Motions for probation	809	53	862
State Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	77		77
<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>			
Granted forthwith	1682	190	1872
Pre-sentence reports	390	43	433
Special Investigations	106	3	109
WOMEN'S COURT, DEPT. 10A		942	942
TOTALS	3064	1231	4295

MOTIONS DENIED:

In Superior Court, 460 motions for probation were denied, and were disposed of as follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	210	15	225
Sentenced to County Jail	180	1	181
Committed to Youth Authority	48		48
Committed to State Hospital	4	1	5
Died		1	1
TOTALS	442	18	460





REVOCATIONS

Showing a comparison for the five year period

1947 to 1951

<u>Year</u>	<u>Superior Courts</u>		<u>Municipal Courts</u>		<u>Womens Court, M.C.10A</u>	
	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>
1947	4	771	3	1711	18	502
1948	4	868	3	1492	11	549
1949	7	831	10	1391	21	538
1950	5	806	7	1444	24	463
1951	6	964	24	1336	30	387

-----

Revocations during the past year show a large increase in the Municipal Courts. This is due to more intensive case work performed by the officers handling these cases; also during the past year the staff has been increased in the Municipal Court, resulting in better follow-up and more thorough supervision of probationers.

Revocations in the Womens Court, Municipal Court Dept. 10A, has always been high, as strict supervision is necessary for female offenders handled in this department. This department of the Municipal Court is coordinated with the Health Service Program and the Venereal Disease Clinic.



MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

Miscellaneous activities of the Adult Probation Department are a time-consuming factor in the administration of probation in this community. As these activities are not contained in other pages of this report, they are listed below:

During the year, probationers placed on probation were responsible for the support of approximately 600 adults and 500 children per month, besides earning their own support.

An average of eight investigations per month was made for jurisdictions outside this county.

Interviews averaged approximately 180 per month per probation officer.

The number actively reporting per month was approximately 600 from San Francisco, 150 from the Bay Area, 70 from out of State, and 275 by mail.

Out-of-town calls averaged about a dozen per month, and office call-ins about thirty per day per officer.

Field visits averaged about 14 per month; this phase of the work had to be curtailed on account of having insufficient help to carry on the normal functions of the department.

Overtime worked by the department during the year was 250 man-hours paid at overtime rates. Other overtime amounting to 150 man-hours was compensated for at equal time off.

During the year our stock of materials and supplies was exhausted and it was necessary to ask for \$500.00 in supplemental appropriations to carry on the work.



OFFENSESSUPERIOR COURTFelonies

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Assault	29	4		
Burglary	52			
Vio. Sec. 476 Penal Code	10	5		
Vio. Sec. 476a Penal Code	14	1		
Grand Theft	48	7		
Vio. Section 501 Vehicle Code	4			
Vio. Section 503 Vehicle Code	15			
Hit and Run	14	1		
Rape	12			
Robbery	29	1		
Health and Safety Code	37	10		
Manslaughter	5			
Vio. Section 337a Penal Code	36	2		
Receiving Stolen Property	7			
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	84	8		
Other Felonies	19	4		

MUNICIPAL COURTMisdemeanors

Battery	160	13		
Malicious Mischief	123	7		
Disturbing the Peace	9			
Drunk	271	28		
Violating Section 502 Vehicle Code	124	6		
Omit to Provide	166			
Petit Theft	202	88		
Vagrancy	58	5		
Violating Traffic Laws	120	9		
Violating Local Ordinances	59	8		
Violating Liquor Laws	19	2		
Sex offenses	126	2		
Violating Section 499b Penal Code	12			
Other Misdemeanors	233	22		

TOTALS

415

42

1682

190



ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENTSUPERIOR COURT:

Following are motions for probation  
made in four departments of the Superior  
Court:

<u>Dept. 6</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>		<u>Juv.Ct.</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
229		264		202		113		809	
	12		20		15		6		53

Number of probationers continued from last year	Male	Female
	740	66

Following were granted probation in  
Superior Court.

82		116		126		85			
	9		13		14		6	415	42
Transfers		6							2
Modifications				2					

Under supervision this year	1155	110
-----------------------------	------	-----

Number revoked and sentenced	25	4
Revoked but not apprehended	17	7
Number expired, without change of plea	173	15
Discharged under Section 1203.3 Penal Code	25	
Discharged under Section 1203.4 Penal Code	32	3

Number on probation June 30, 1951	883	81
-----------------------------------	-----	----

-----  
Following are motions in Superior Court  
which were denied and disposed of as  
follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	210	15
Sentenced to the County Jail	180	1
Committed to the Youth Authority	48	
Committed to State Hospital	4	1
Died		1
TOTAL	442	18





ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENTMUNICIPAL COURT:Male   Female

Number of probationers continued from last year	1169	275
---	------	-----

The following were granted probation  
in six departments of the Municipal Court:

<u>Dpt.9</u>		<u>Dpt.10</u>		<u>Dpt.10a</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>		<u>Dpt.4</u>			
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>		
265		595		11		222		526		63		1682	
	1		161		4		13		6		5		190
Modifications 115													115

Number under supervision	2851	580
Number revoked and sentenced	88	24
Revoked but not apprehended	187	33
Number expired without change of plea	1452	252
Discharged under Section 1203.3 Penal Code	48	1
Discharged under Section 1203.4 Penal Code	5	
Sent to State Hospital		2
Transferred		1
Died		2

MUNICIPAL COURT

Number on probation June 30, 1951	1071	265
-----------------------------------	------	-----

SUPERIOR COURT

	883	81
--	-----	----

TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION	1954	346
---------------------------	------	-----

---



---

2300



OFFENSES BY AGESSUPERIOR COURT

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Sec. 476 P.C. Forg.	Sec. 476a P.C.	Grand Theft	Sec. 480 Vehicle Code	H & S Code (Drugs)	Contributing to Delinq. Minors	Robbery	Rape	Sec. 337a P.C.	Manslaughter	Other	Male	Female	TOTALS
18	1	2				2	2	2	1		2	12				12
19	1	5	F	1	2	2	8F	1	2		F	22	3			25
20	1	4	1F	1	1	1	2	12	5	1		29	1			30
21	1F	4	1		2	2	3	5F	2			20	2			22
22		1	F		1	3F	2	4F	3	1		1	16	3		19
23		5		1	5F	1	2FF	4	1	1	1	2	23	3		26
24	F	6	1	2		1FF	1	1F	3	1	1	2	19	4		23
25		7	F	1	3	1	1	3	1	1		1	19	1		20
26	1	1		1	1	1	1	2	1			9				9
27	3	2	1	3	3	1	2	1	4	3	2	2F	2	28	1	29
28		4	3		2	F	1	1F	3	1	2	1	1	19	3	22
29	3	1		1F			1	5F	F	1	2	1	2F	20	4	24
30/34	5F	4	2	2	5FF	4F	6F	2	10	2	1	3	4	51	7	58
35/39	2	3			6	2	6F	1	5FFF	2	6F	6	39	5		44
40/44	3	1	F		7	3	2F	1	3		5	1	26	2		28
45/49	5	2		1		4		3	2	5	F	2	24	1		25
50 &	3		1	1F	5F	5	1	11		10	2	39	2			41
Male	29	52	10	14	48	14	37	19	84	29	12	36	5	264	15	
Female	3		5	1	7	1	10		8	1	2	1	2	42		

F - female.



Annual Report 1950/51  
OFFENSES BY AGES

MUNICIPAL COURT

AGES		Battery	Mal. Mische.	Dist/Peace	Drunk	Sec. 502 VC	Omit Prov.	Petit Theft	Vagrancy	Traffic	Local Ord.	Liquor Laws	Sex offenses	Vio. Sec. 199b	Other	TOTAL Fe- Male male
18 M	4	3			1	1	11	1	9	1		2	1	2	36	6
18 F	1						3	2								
19	3	3			1		11	4	3	2			2	2	31	7
							6			1						
20	2	7	1		2	2	10	3	3	3		4	1	1	39	6
							6									
21	11	5	1	7	10	11	10	5	15	4		1	2	8		
22	1	2					8	1	1						90	13
23	24	19	2	10	14	30	25	17	12	6	1	12	4	13	189	
24																
25	1	1		3			12	1	3			1		1		23
26	6	7	1	13	11	13	12	4	12	3		12		7	101	
27	2			1			1	1						1		6
28	17	13	1	11	13	12	23	6	15	6	2	25	1	25	170	
29																
30	2	1			3		5			2	1	1		2		17
31	20	15		14	8	9	19	3	7	5	8	20	1	18	147	
32																
33	2			2	1		9		1	1	1			3		20
34 to 37	17	8	1	37	20	34	22	4	14	6	2	15		35	215	
	3	1		1			8		2	1				3		19
38	16	13	1	53	14	9	16	3	9	2	2	6		35	179	
39-40				5	1		7			2				6		21
41 & over	40	30	1	126	30	45	43	8	21	21	4	29		87	485	
	1	2		16	1		23		2	1				6		52
Males	160	123	9	271	124	166	202	58	120	59	19	126	12	233	1682	
Females	13	7		28	6		88	5	9	8	2	2		22		190



## Annual Report 1950/51

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
<u>RELIGION</u>				
Catholic	139	12	513	41
Protestant	184	17	655	64
Jewish	22	2	25	11
None stated	70	11	489	74
TOTALS	415	42	1682	190

MARITAL STATUS

Single	124	5	258	15
Divorced	17	4	120	23
Separated	10	2	79	6
Married	266	28	1225	146
TOTALS	415	42	1682	190

NATIVITY

California	110	10	390	49
Out of State	240	26	1125	108
Foreign Born	65	6	167	33
TOTALS	415	42	1682	190





OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	Superior Court		Municipal Court	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Bartenders	15		27	
Tradesmen	51		171	3
Mechanics	20		37	
Cooks & Waiters	17		69	2
Clerks	29	5	91	24
Laborers	80		385	10
Longshoremen	17		59	
Self-employed - professional	30	1	78	4
Salesmen	27	1	49	9
Drivers or Teamsters	35		139	2
Janitors	14	1	64	2
United States Service, Soldiers, Marines, Seaman	41		87	2
X Vets - unemployed	4		36	
Pensioners	5		27	
Students	6		33	2
Not employed	24	5	330	32
Housewives		10		70
Maids		6		8
Nurses		3		5
Waitresses		10		15
TOTALS	415	42	1682	190



ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
Department 10a

---

The branch office of the Adult Probation Department was opened in 1943 to serve the newly established Separate Women's Court. The staff consists of two Probation Officers, one senior, one assistant, and two stenographers. The functions of the Probation Officers in this division vary from the work of the other Probation Officers in the Department in that they do a pre-trial investigation of all persons brought before Department 10a of the Municipal Court on charges involving promiscuous sexual behavior and or prostitution. The Court in this instance has accepted a responsibility to the community and to the defendant and has placed a large part of this responsibility with the Probation Officer by providing the authority to make this particular type of investigation. The Court derives its power to request such help from the Probation Officer under Section 131.3 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

The Probation Officers in this division attempt to meet the responsibility passed on to them in three ways: (1) by helping the defendant see her own situation clearly; (2) by helping the defendant accept responsibility for her own behavior; and (3) by working out a plan with the defendant which will make it unnecessary for her to leave jail and return to the same situation which brought about her original arrest. These plans are worked out prior to the time of the hearing and the services of the Probation Officer are not restricted to those placed on probation, or even found guilty. Actually there is little difference between the services available to a defendant who has been placed on probation and one against whom all of the charges have been dismissed. For this reason it is possible that the greatest amount of preventive work is done with defendants where criminal charges have been dismissed but where the social problem remains. The intensive personal attention given to the defendants results in a large number of them being returned to their homes or places of legal residence and those who are found to be mentally ill or mentally handicapped are committed to state institutions established to care for them.

A comparative study of the statistics kept by the Adult Probation Department since 1943 indicates that although the number of defendants has decreased somewhat since the peak year of 1946 the average monthly investigations has consistently equaled the year 1944 and almost equaled the year 1945. It was at that time that the problem was considered acute in San Francisco, and it is apparent that the problem has continued to remain a serious one. Statistics also indicate that the largest number of persons appearing before this Court are under 25 years of age. Statistically other aspects of the program could be shown. However, the emphasis here is the aid to the defendant in the solution of her problems so that she may become acceptable to, and accepted by society, and this cannot be measured in terms of dollars and cents.



With the current emphasis being placed on the tremendous number of young persons involved in narcotics, it is obvious that the individual attention given to each of the defendants is important in controlling the narcotic traffic. During the time the defendants are in custody they are under fairly close observation, and it is possible to detect many narcotic users as well as recruits in the field of prostitution who would not otherwise be detected during the regular court procedure until it is too late to help them. Although the emphasis is on service, the work of the Probation Officer results in the Court being fully informed of the activities of the defendant before the Court for sentence, and it is therefore an essential tool in the control of prostitution and narcotic traffic.



Juven-iles	18	19	20	21 22	23 24 25	26 27	28 29 30	31 32 33	34 to 37	38 39 40	41 & Over	Total No. of Investi- gations
Re-arrests	6 3	12 11	15 11	41 39	68 112	28 65	32 95	30 61	29 60	20 32	32 125	328 <u>614</u> <u>942</u>

Vagrancy	269		
Ord. 240 P.C.	76	Prior record	- 123
Drunk	57	None	- 205
Other charges	48		

Granted Probation	141
Sentenced to County Jail	49
Dismissed	213
Suspended sentence	22
Transferred	9
Off calendar	14

Granted Probation	90
Sentenced to County Jail	234
Dismissed	380
Suspended sentence	52
Transferred	21
Revoked	59
Fined	5
Off calendar	4

Syphilis	6
Gonorrhea	23
Prior Social Disease	84

Prostitutes	111(diseased	14)
Promiscuous	86(	" 14)
Addicted to Drugs	26	
Alcoholics	46	

Married	77
Single	72
Divorced	71
Separated	81
Widows	19





MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A (Contd.)RELIGION:

Catholic	125
Protestant	52
Other	117

Active	55
--------	----

Inactive	239
----------	-----

None	31
------	----

RACE:

White	232
-------	-----

Negro	88
-------	----

Indian	7
--------	---

NATIVITY:

California	79
------------	----

Out of State	247
--------------	-----

Foreign Born	8
--------------	---

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	47
---------------------------	----

No employment at time of arrest	213
---------------------------------	-----

No employment in last 6 months	68
--------------------------------	----

TIME INSan FranciscoCalifornia

Less than 6 mos.	147	57
------------------	-----	----

Over 6 mos.	191	251
-------------	-----	-----

ORDERED to leave San Francisco (for home)	35
---	----

Number continued on probation from last year	120
--	-----

New probationers in this period	231
---------------------------------	-----

Modification of sentence and placed on probation	36
--	----

Number under supervision this year	387
------------------------------------	-----

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	123
--------------	-----

Unsatisfactory	55
----------------	----

Number revoked	60
----------------	----

Re-arrested on new charge	2	240
---------------------------	---	-----

Number on probation as of June 30, 1951	147
---	-----



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET

- - -

ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1951 - JUNE 30, 1952

- - - - -



SUPERIOR COURT:

Harry J. Neubarth, Presiding Judge

Criminal Division - Judge Harry J. Neubarth  
Hall of Justice Department 11.

Judge Twain Michelson  
Department 6

Judge H. A. Van Der Zee  
Department 12

Judge Milton D. Sapiro  
Department 19

- - - - -

MUNICIPAL COURT:

John B. Molinari, Presiding Judge  
Department 4, City Hall

Criminal Division  
Hall of Justice

Judge Lenore D. Underwood  
Department 10  
Hall of Justice

Judge Charles S. Perry  
Department 11

Judge John J. McMahon  
Department 12.



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Frank Ratto  
Rev. Matthew Connolly

-----

Mr. John D. Kavanaugh, Chief Probation Officer

-----

SENIOR PROBATION OFFICERS:

Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Miss Margaret Connolly

PROBATION OFFICERS:

Mrs. Irene M. Burton  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Mrs. Natalie Rodriguez  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mr. Joseph P. Halligan  
Mr. James F. Layden  
Mr. Robert D. Nicol  
Mr. Walter J. Morris  
Mr. Warren T. Jenkins  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. William J. Dealey  
Mr. Louis C. Bosia

-----

Bookkeeper:

Mr. Constant G. Simon

Senior Clerk-Stenographer:

Mr. James J. Morgan

-----

GENERAL CLERK-STENOGRAPHERS:

Miss Anna Sindicich  
Miss Justina Mannix  
Miss Bernice M. Reed  
Mrs. Frances Ortiz  
Mrs. Angela A. Balzer  
Mrs. Rose W. Prather  
Mrs. Lois A. Carey

-----

Sgt. Martin Bell, Police Officer, Headquarters Company,  
assigned to the department.





INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1,2,3
Financial Statement	4
Chart I - Comparison of funds collected during 5 yrs.	5
General Statistics - Information	6
Chart II - Comparison of case loads	7
Investigations - Motions Denied	8
Revocations - comparisons	9
Miscellaneous Statistics	10
Offenses - Superior & Municipal Cts.	11
Superior Court - by departments	12
Municipal Court- " "	13
Superior Court - Offenses by ages	14
Municipal Court - " " "	15
Religion, Marital Status, Nativity of probationers received	16
Occupations of Probationers received	17

MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A

Letter of transmittal	18,19
Statistics - Ages, Disposition, etc.	20
" -Nativity, Employment, etc.	21



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

550 MONTGOMERY STREET, 11

SUITE 717 - 731

PHONE YUKON 6-2950

PLEASE ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO

WILLIAM D. KAVANAUGH

CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

Annual

Report 1951/52

REFERS TO

## TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1951-1952 is presented herewith.

An average of 2500 probationers supported themselves and their dependents, earning approximately \$6,000,000.00. Funds collected for support of minor children, indigent spouses and indigent parents totalled \$118,365.29. Of the total number of support cases handled 52% were complete public welfare charges at the time of referral. Due to such collections some defendants were made to assume full support of their families, which were taken off the welfare rolls. In other cases, the defendant's contributions provided for the cost of child care thus enabling his spouse to return to work, thereby removing the need for public aid. In other instances, contributions were such that only partial supplementation was needed from the Welfare Department. It is quite evident the savings to the taxpayers far exceeds the actual amount collected on these support cases. The fact that many probationers' families would be forced to seek financial assistance elsewhere if the wage earner were incarcerated at additional public expense, proves the economy of probation in terms of monetary values.

During the year over \$290,000.00 has been collected, of which \$87,000.00 was turned in to the general fund of the City and County. This is an increase over the preceding year of \$55,000.00 or approximately 23%. An ever-mounting backlog of fines and restitution remains to be collected--this phase of probation is growing so fast that probation officers have to devote their time almost exclusively to collecting funds to the detriment of their other important duties.

An analysis of the work-load performed by this department over the past five years clearly indicates an increase of such proportions that the activities of the department have more than doubled during this period. It can be readily understood that such pressure of work might well preclude other than superficial performance of work, however, despite this fact, the present staff has been performing the assignments in a creditable manner under these adverse circumstances.



The present case load of 187 probationers per supervising officer is more than double the capacity of any probation officer for effective supervision.

There were 4,804 investigations last year--an increase of 12% over the preceding year. In addition to handling these investigations probation officers are responsible for the supervision of 2500 probationers.

The investigation services of the probation department provide an invaluable fund of information from which the courts may draw to determine whether society and the individual can best be served by the incarceration of the offender or by his release on probation under supervision of the probation department. Essentials of the pre-sentence investigation include a thorough check into the prior criminal and social history of the defendant to determine all positive and negative elements of his makeup which might militate for or against his successful rehabilitation on probation. Gathering such information is time taking and requires the probation officer's knowledge and use of many community resources and facilities in addition to personal contacts with the offender, his family, and his environmental situation. Clearing with other social agencies who have known the defendant or his family can provide much helpful information. Interviews with the offender for the purpose of evaluating personality and attitudes often indicate a need for specialized assistance and studies.

The present volume of pre-sentence investigation work alone is more than sufficient to keep probation officers occupied full time if these investigations are to be thorough and accurate. However, these officers also are responsible for the supervision of several hundred probationers; in addition to which they investigate an estimated 300 requests a year for modification of jail sentences, and do much of their own clerical and stenographic work.

The supervision phase of probation work is really the heart of probation. It requires a program in which office reporting plays a small part. Counselling with probationers in their own homes relates the problems and treatment to the entire family unit and environmental situation. Other collateral field visits are necessary in verifying activities of the probationer, assisting him in job placement, and in drawing upon the services of other social agencies. As each case progresses, facts often arise which indicate the need for changing the supervision program, or for requesting assistance of other agencies or specialists to implement his work. These numerous contacts with probationers and others intimately connected with their cases require recording of findings and developments for future reviewing and planning.



At present the department has two automobiles for use of the Chief Probation Officer and fifteen assistants. This is not sufficient to meet the needs of the department therefore field visits have to be curtailed to a minimum.

There has been no increase in the personnel of the accounting division in over thirty years; the present volume of monies handled is too great a burden on the present staff.

As pointed out in previous annual reports, to remedy the deficiencies existing the probation setup improves the total community welfare through extended probation services, thus effecting a huge saving of public funds.

We wish to acknowledge the splendid cooperation of the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, who have voiced their appreciation of the services of the department as one of the most useful tools of the court in arriving at an equitable disposition in each individual case. We also wish to acknowledge with sincere appreciation the assistance and cooperation extended by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, the Press, District Attorney, the Police Department, and other private and public agencies who helped us in the administration of probation.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
Chief Probation Officer

JDK:M





FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Statement showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1952:

Appropriated \$117,884.00

Expenditures

Salaries	\$107,793.04	
Contractual services	3,140.19	
Materials and Supplies	2,247.50	
Equipment	720.13	
Insurance	23.50	
Travel Expense for return of Probation Violators, of which \$130.00 was recover- ed and credited to "Unappro- priated Revenue"	84.90	<u>114,009.26</u>

Unexpended balance (To General Fund) \$ 3,874.74

Funds collected by the Adult Probation  
Department on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support	\$118,365.29
Merchants, Hotels and other Commercial Restitution	85,202.96
Fines and Restitution for the benefit of the City and County	<u>86,644.62</u>
TOTAL COLLECTIONS	<u>\$290,212.87</u>

Cost of supervising an average case  
load of 2300 probationers per month  
for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952:

Actual expenditures \$114,009.26

Loss:


Fines collected - to General Fund	<u>86,644.62</u>
-----------------------------------	------------------

NET COST TO CITY AND COUNTY \$ 27,365.64



CHART I

Showing comparison of funds collected  
by the Adult Probation Department on  
Court Orders during the past five  
years.



The above chart shows an increase of approximately  
22% over the preceding year.



GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATIONNEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Fem</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Number of applications for probation received (for pre-sentence investigation)	998	68	276	154	1496
Modifications	2	4	32	75	113
Number of cases referred for special investigation (not probation applications)			192	12	204

- - - - -


Probation History

New Probationers	489	50	1816	210	2565
1st time on probation	430	46	1512	183	2171
2nd time on probation	35	3	187	16	241
3rd time on probation	24	1	117	11	153
Jail	202	15	153	18	388
Fined	97	7	680	65	849
Restitution	111	13	394	51	569
Family Support	12	1	320	3	336
To take psychiatric treatments	29		23		52



CHART II

Showing a comparison of case loads handled by this department during the past five-year period:



Fourteen supervising probation officers handled a case load of 2500 probationers during the year, or a case load of 172 probationers was supervised per officer.





Annual Report 1951/52

INVESTIGATIONS

<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Motions for probation	998	68	1005
State Board of Prison Terms and Paroles	87	3	90
<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>			
Granted forthwith	1816	210	2026
Pre-sentence reports	276	154	430
Special Investigations	192	12	204
WOMEN'S COURT, DEPT. 10A		<u>1081</u>	<u>1081</u>
TOTALS	3276	1528	4804

MOTIONS DENIED:

In Superior Court, 552 motions for probation were denied, and were disposed of as follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	265	35	300
Sentenced to County Jail	178	18	196
Committed to Youth Authority	47		47
Committed to State Hospital	<u>11</u>		<u>11</u>
TOTALS	<u>501</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>554</u>



REVOCATIONS

Showing a comparison for the five year period

1948 to 1952

<u>Year</u>	<u>Superior Courts</u>		<u>Municipal Courts</u>		<u>Women's Court, M.C.10A</u>	
	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Supervising</u>
1948	4	868	3	1492	11	549
1949	7	831	10	1391	21	538
1950	5	806	7	1444	24	463
1951	6	964	24	1336	30	387
1952	7	1050	25	1400	31	160

-----

Revocations during the past year show an increase in all Courts. This is due to more intensive case work performed by the officers handling these cases; also during the past year the staff has been increased in the Municipal Court, resulting in better follow-up and more thorough supervision of probationers.

Revocations in the Women's Court, Municipal Court Dept. 10A, has always been high, as strict supervision is necessary for female offenders handled in this department. This department of the Municipal Court is coordinated with the Health Service Program and the Venereal Disease Clinic.



MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

Miscellaneous activities of the Adult Probation Department are a time-consuming factor in the administration of probation in this community. As these activities are not contained in other pages of this report, they are listed below:

During the year, probationers placed on probation were responsible for the support of approximately 700 adults and 600 children per month, besides earning their own support.

An average of nine (9) investigations per month was made for jurisdictions outside this county.

Interviews averaged approximately 190 per month per probation officer.

The number actively reporting per month was approximately 1220 from San Francisco, 170 from the Bay Area, 65 from out of State, and 270 by mail.

Out-of-town calls averaged about 14 per month, and office call-ins about 35 per day per officer.

Field visits averaged about 18 per month; this phase of the work had to be curtailed on account of having insufficient help to carry on the normal functions of the department.

Overtime worked by the department during the year was 250 man-hours paid at overtime rates. Other overtime amounting to 200 man-hours was compensated for at equal time off. Funds for overtime were insufficient for the year.

Transportation was arranged for 21 female offenders to return to their parents or relatives in their own home towns.



OFFENSESSUPERIOR COURT

<u>Felonies</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Assault	55	2		
Burglary	70			
Vio. Sec. 476 Penal Code	18	3		
Vio. Sec. 476a Penal Code	31	9		
Grand Theft	46	9		
Vio. Section 501 Vehicle Code	10	1		
Vio. Section 503 Vehicle Code	25			
Hit and Run	12			
Rape	9			
Robbery	31			
Health and Safety Code	20	5		
Vio. Sec. 337a Penal Code	30	4		
Receiving Stolen Property	8			
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	90	13		
Other Felonies	31	4		

MUNICIPAL COURTMisdemeanors

Battery			146	10
Malicious Mischief			103	12
Disturbing the Peace			43	2
Drunk			221	36
Violating Sec. 502 Vehicle Code			188	14
Omit to Provide			289	4
Petit Theft			149	71
Vagrancy			68	17
Violating Traffic Laws			241	15
Violating Local Ordinances			58	11
Violating Liquor Laws			5	1
Sex Offenses			112	2
Violating Sec. 499b Penal Code			22	
Other Misdemeanors			171	15
TOTALS.....	489	50	1816	210





ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENTSUPERIOR COURT:

Following are motions for probation made  
in four departments of the Superior Court:

Dept. 6		Dept. 11		Dept. 12		Juv. Court		TOTAL	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
264		306		297		131		998	
	22		24		19		7		68

Number of probationers continued from last year	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
---	-------------	---------------

Following were granted probation in Superior Court:	883	81
--	-----	----

158	135	84	94				
13	14	11	10	489	50		

Transfers	18	
-----------	----	--

Under supervision this year	1372	131
-----------------------------	------	-----

Number revoked and sentenced	37	9
Revoked but not apprehended	19	7
Number expired, without change of plea	202	16
Discharged under Section 1203.3 Penal Code	15	1
Discharged under Section 1203.4 Penal Code	22	
Transfers	5	

Number on probation June 30, 1952	1072	98
-----------------------------------	------	----

- - - - -

Following are motions in Superior Court  
which were denied and disposed of as  
follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	265	35
Sentenced to the County Jail	178	18
Committed to the Youth Authority	47	
Committed to State Hospital	11	

TOTAL	501	53
-------	-----	----

554



ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

<u>MUNICIPAL COURT:</u>										<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Number of probationers continued from last year										1071	265
The following were granted probation in six departments of the Municipal Court:											
<u>Dpt.9</u>		<u>Dpt.10</u>		<u>Dpt.10a</u>		<u>Dpt.11</u>		<u>Dpt.12</u>		<u>Dpt.4</u>	
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
159		765		25		380		372		115	
	1		175		1		17		4		12
Modifications										<u>32</u>	<u>75</u>
Number under supervision										2919	550
Number revoked and sentenced										66	4
Revoked but not apprehended										201	18
Number expired without change of plea										1296	213
Discharged under Sec. 1203.3 Penal Code										7	
Discharged under Sec. 1203.4 Penal Code										<u>45</u>	<u>21</u>
MUNICIPAL COURT											
Number on probation June 30, 1952										<u>1304</u>	<u>265</u>
SUPERIOR COURT										<u>1072</u>	<u>98</u>
TOTAL NUMBER ON PROBATION										2376	363
										<u>2739</u>	



## SUPERIOR COURT

AGES		Assault Burglary Vio. Sec. 476 P.C. Vio. Sec. 476a P.C. Grand Theft Receiving Stolen Property Vio. Sec. 480 V.C. Vio. Sec. 503 VC Vio. Sec. 501 VC Vio. Sec. 499b P.C Drugs Contributing to Del. of Minors Robbery Rape Vio. Sec. 337a P.C Other																	TOTALS	
M	F																	M	F	
18			2			2			5			3	5	1				18	2	
		4	5						3			1	3	2	1		1	20		
19													1						1	
20		4	6			2			3			1	5	1	1			23		
21		3	6	2	1				3				1	4			2	22		
22		3	6	3				1					4	3			1	21	1	
23		2	5		2				1		1		1	2				13		
24		2	1	3	1	2			3	1		1	6	2	1	1		23	5	
25		2	6	1	3	2			1				3	2		1		21		
26		2	4	2	2			1	1				2	1		1		20	1	
27		1	6		2	5		1	1				1	1	2	1	1	22	3	
28		4	8		2	1		1	1		1	1	6		1		2	28	2	
29		2	2		1	3						2	2		1		2	15	4	
30/34	10	4	2	4	8	3	1	2	4	1	1	1	9	4		2	5	60	2	
35/39	6	3	3	4	5	2	2	1	1		1	1	3	5		5	6	57	8	
40/44	4	1	1	1	5	8	2	2		1		3	11	3	2	6	1	52	11	
45/49	4	3		3	1	2			1			3	5			1	3	52	5	
50 &	2	2	1	5	4	1	3		3		3	13				5	3	45	2	
Male	55	70	18	31	46	8	12	25	10	3	20	90	31	9	30	31	489		3	
Female	2		3	9	9				1		5	13			4	4		50		



OFFENSES BY AGESMUNICIPAL COURT

AGES	MUNICIPAL COURT														TOTAL	
	Battery	Mal. Mischief	Dist/Peace	Drunk	Vio. Sec. 502 VC	Omit Provide	Petit Theft	Vagrancy	Traffic	Local Ord.	Liquor Laws	Sex offenses	Vio. Sec. 199b P.C	Other	Male	Fem.
18 M	2	9	5		1		9	5	10	3		2	8	2	56	
18 F							1	1	1							3
19	5	3	4	2	2	2	8	4	10			2			42	
20	2	7	1	1	4	4	7	3	7	1		3	2	3	45	3
21	1						4					1				6
22	12	7	7	2	14	7	10	5	25	1		4	3	8	105	
23 to 25			1				9	1					1			12
26	16	12	4	12	15	44	23	7	23	8	1	3		7	175	
27		1		5	1		10	1	3				2			22
28	14	6	3	11	10	23	15	2	23	9	2	8		8	134	
29				2			8		1				1			12
30	19	10	4	15	17	53	16	3	35	7	1	19	1	19	219	
31	1	1		6	2		5	1	4							21
32	21	11	1	14	13	31	6	12	28	5		13	3	16	174	
33	3			3	2		7	3	1					1		20
34 to 37	26	2	6	19	34	52	17	4	26	3	1	19	1	23	233	
38	3	3		5	2	2	2	1		1	1			2		22
39	9	11	2	21	25	28	7	8	18	4		13	1	14	161	
40	1	3		5	1		3	1	1	4				3		22
41 & Over.	20	25	6	124	53	45	31	15	36	17		28	1	71	472	
	1	4	2	10	6	2	19	8	4	6		2		3		67
Males	146	103	43	221	188	289	149	68	241	58	5	112	22	171	1816	
Females	10	12	2	36	14	4	71	17	15	11	1	2		15		210





Annual Report 1951/52

	Superior Court		Municipal Court	
	Male	<u>Female</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>

RELIGION

Catholic	181	20	568	52
Protestant	244	23	762	71
Jewish	15	2	43	4
Christian Science	16	2		6
None stated	<u>30</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>443</u>	<u>77</u>
TOTALS	<u>489</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>1816</u>	<u>210</u>

MARITAL STATUS

Single	161	4	398	17
Divorced	19	2	41	9
Separated	18	2	34	3
Married	<u>291</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>1343</u>	<u>181</u>
TOTALS	<u>489</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>1816</u>	<u>210</u>

NATIVITY

California	123	14	443	50
Out of State	310	32	1193	129
Foreign Born	<u>56</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>31</u>
TOTALS	<u>489</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>1816</u>	<u>210</u>



OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Bartenders	13		40	
Tradesmen	82		277	9
Mechanics	19		64	
Cooks & Waiters	22	3	56	2
Clerks	46	11	120	28
Laborers	121	1	577	8
Longshoremen	12		57	
Self-employed - professional	38	8	96	7
Salesmen	21		72	4
Drivers or Teamsters	33		185	1
Janitors	15		73	
United States Service, Soldiers, Marines	24		49	
Seamen	20		51	
X Vets - Unemployed	3		12	
Pensioners	6		11	
Students	3		7	
Not employed	11	2	69	18
Housewives		16		46
Maids		4		15
Nurses		3		50
Waitresses		2		22
TOTALS	489	50	1816	210



ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
Department 10a

The branch office of the Adult Probation Department was opened in 1943 to serve the newly established Separate Women's Court. The staff consists of two Probation Officers, one senior, one assistant, and two stenographers. The functions of the Probation Officers in this division vary from the work of the other Probation Officers in the Department in that they do a pre-trial investigation of all persons brought before Department 10a of the Municipal Court on charges involving promiscuous sexual behavior and/or prostitution. The Court in this instance has accepted a responsibility to the community and to the defendant and has placed a large part of this responsibility with the Probation Officer by providing the authority to make this particular type of investigation. The Court derives its power to request such help from the Probation Officer under Section 131.3 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

The Probation Officers in this division attempt to meet the responsibility passed on to them in three ways: (1) by helping the defendant see her own situation clearly; (2) by helping the defendant accept responsibility for her own behavior, and (3) by working out a plan with the defendant which will make it unnecessary for her to leave jail and return to the same situation which brought about her original arrest. These plans are worked out prior to the time of the hearing and the services of the Probation Officer are not restricted to those placed on probation, or even found guilty. Actually there is little difference between the services available to a defendant who has been placed on probation and one against whom all of the charges have been dismissed. For this reason it is possible that the greatest amount of preventive work is done with defendants where criminal charges have been dismissed but where the social problem remains. The intensive personal attention given to the defendants results in a large number of them being returned to their homes or places of legal residence and those who are found to be mentally ill or mentally handicapped are committed to state institutions established to care for them.



A comparative study of the statistics kept by the Adult Probation Department since 1943 indicates that although the number of defendants has decreased somewhat since the peak year of 1946 the average monthly investigations has consistently equalled the year 1944 and almost equalled the year 1945. It was at that time that the problem was considered acute in San Francisco, and it is apparent that the problem has continued to remain a serious one. Statistics also indicate that the largest number of persons appearing before the court are under 25 years of age. Statistically, other aspects of the program could be shown. However, the emphasis here is the aid to the defendant in the solution of her problems so that she may become acceptable to, and accepted by society, and this cannot be measured in terms of dollars and cents.

With the current emphasis being placed on the tremendous number of young persons involved in narcotics, it is obvious that the individual attention given to each of the defendants is important in controlling the narcotic traffic. During the time the defendants are in custody, they are under fairly close observation, and it is possible to detect many narcotic users as well as recruits in the field of prostitution who would not otherwise be detected during the regular court procedure until it is too late to help them. Although the emphasis is on service, the work of the probation officer results in the court being fully informed of the activities of the defendant before the court for sentence, and it is therefore an essential tool in the control of prostitution and narcotic traffic.





SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT.10-A

Age Groups

					23	28	31	34	38	41	Total No.
Juveniles	18	19	20	21	24	26	29	32	to	39	& of Investi-
				22	25	27	30	33	37	40	gations

	10	19	22	13	46	93	29	44	29	32	20	63	418
Re-arrr.	4	10	8	38	111	75	99	76	52	39	150	662	1080

CHARGES: (Some defendants charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	318	Prior record	- 159
Ord. 240 P.C.	93	None	- 259
Drunk	64		
Other	64		

DISPOSITION: (new arrests)

Granted probation	167
Sentenced to County Jail	36
Dismissed	276
Suspended sentences	27
Transferred	19
Off calendar	8

Re-arrests

Granted probation	112
Sentenced to County Jail	202
Dismissed	423
Suspended sentences	453
Transferred	3
Revoked	58
Fined	3

MEDICAL:

Syphilis	6
Gonorrhea	36
Prior Social Disease	64
Prostitutes	121 (diseased 11)
Promiscuous	156 ( " 33)

Addicted to Drugs	21
Alcoholics	76

MARITAL STATUS:

Married	103
Single	91
Divorced	91
Separated	98
Widowed	30



MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A (Cont'd)RELIGION:

Catholic	183
Protestant	170
Other	61
Active	63
Inactive	319
None	36

---

RACE:

White	299
Negro	109
Indian	9
Other	4

---

NATIVITY:

California	71
Out of State	324
Foreign born	24

---

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	77
No employment at time of arrest	265
No employment in last 6 months	86

---

TIME IN:  
San Francisco    California

Less than 6 mos.	165	78
Over 6 months	233	336

---

<u>ORDERED to leave San Francisco (for home)</u>	<u>41</u>
--	-----------

Number continued on probation from last year	147
New probationers in this period	265
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>25</u>
Number under supervision this year	437

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	140
Unsatisfactory	103
Number revoked	23
Re-arrested on new charge	<u>34</u>
	300

Number on probation as of June 30, 1952: 137



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET

- - -

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

SEP 1 1953

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

A N N U A L   R E P O R T

FISCAL YEAR  
JULY 1, 1952 - JUNE 30, 1953



INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1,2,3
Financial Statement	4
Chart I - Showing comparisons of funds collected during 5 years	5
Chart showing economy of probation	6
Chart showing advantage of probation as a correctional medium	6a
GENERAL STATISTICS - INFORMATION	7
Chart II showing comparison of case loads	8
Revocations - comparison	9
Miscellaneous statistics	10
Offenses of Probationers	11
Summary of work in Superior and Municipal Courts	12,13,14,14a
Offenses by ages - Municipal Court	15
"      "      "      - Superior Court	16
Superior Court - Departments - Motions Releases & denials	17
Municipal Court - Departments	18
Religion, Marital Status, Nativity of Probationers	19
Occupations of Probationers	20

MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT.10A

Letter of transmittal	21, 22
Dept.10A - Statistics & General Information	23,24, 25





SUPERIOR COURT

PRESTON DEVINE, PRESIDING JUDGE

CRIMINAL DIVISION - JUDGE EUSTACE CULLINAN, JR.  
DEPARTMENT 11.

JUDGE TWAIN MICHELSEN  
DEPARTMENT 6

JUDGE H. A. VAN DER ZEE  
DEPARTMENT 12

JUDGE MILTON D. SAPIRO  
DEPARTMENT 19.

- - - - -

MUNICIPAL COURT

JOHN J. MC MAHON, PRESIDING JUDGE  
DEPARTMENT 6, CITY HALL

CRIMINAL DIVISION  
HALL OF JUSTICE

JUDGE CHARLES S. PEERY  
DEPARTMENT 10  
HALL OF JUSTICE

JUDGE ORLA ST. CLAIR  
DEPARTMENT 11

JUDGE ALVIN E. WEINBERGER  
DEPARTMENT 12



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Frank Ratto  
Rev. Matthew Connolly

-----

Mr. John D. Kavanaugh, Chief Probation Officer

-----

SENIOR PROBATION OFFICERS:

Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Miss Margaret Connolly

PROBATION OFFICERS:

Mrs. Irene M. Burton  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Mrs. Natalie Rodriguez  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mr. Joseph P. Halligan  
Mr. James F. Layden  
Mr. Robert D. Nicol  
Mr. Warren T. Jenkins  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. William J. Dealey  
Mr. Louis C. Bosia  
Mr. John P. Lindsay  
Mr. Thomas F. Pague

-----

Bookkeeper:

Mr. Constant G. Simon

Senior Clerk-Stenographer:

\*Mr. James J. Morgan

-----

\*(In charge of statistics)

GENERAL CLERK-STENOGRAPHERS:

Miss Anna Sindicich  
Miss Justina Mannix  
Mrs. Frances Ortiz  
Mrs. Angela A. Balzer  
Mrs. Rose W. Prather  
Mrs. Lois A. Carey  
Mrs. Edna R. Kunz

GENERAL CLERK-TYPIST

Mrs. Anne V. Griesinger



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO 11, CALIF.  
PHONE YUKON 6-2950

RESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO  
HN D. KAVANAUGH  
HIEF PROBATION OFFICER

REFERS TO \_\_\_\_\_

Annual Report 1952/53

## TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1952-53 is submitted herewith:

Statistics gathered during the year clearly indicate an overall increase in the work of the department approximating 12 per cent. This large increase is reflected mainly in the number of persons placed on probation for supervision, and the increased case-load per supervising officer. Funds collected by the department have increased approximately 30%; the largest increase in the collection of funds has been noted in monies collected for the support of minor children, indigent spouses and indigent parents - the increase in this division alone totalled 60%.

During the year 2800 probationers were under supervision, and almost as many new probationers were received during the year. These probationers supported themselves and their dependents during the year, earning approximately \$6,500,000.00.

Comparing statistics for the past five years, an ever-mounting increase in the work of the department is shown, and a larger number of persons are placed for supervision of the department as time goes by. This could be attributed to the increasing judicial and public confidence in probation techniques. However, with case-loads per officer of 200 to supervise, a weakness in the program for supervision must be recognized and remedied, if the probation system is to continue its growth and improve its techniques. The monetary savings to the community is obviously very large when the costs of keeping offenders in custody are compared with the cost of supervision on probation - on probation the cost to the community is approximately \$16.00 per year -- the cost of keeping an offender in custody is \$1200.00 per year. By being free and out of custody, probationers are able to work and maintain themselves and their dependents instead of being a burden on the community. Besides the economy of probation; on the human side there is the possibility of working with the probationer as a free individual who lives and works within a normal environment. This must be weighed against the disadvantages of an individual incarcerated with persons whom he would be ordered not to associate with if placed on probation.



The total amount of funds collected for the year was \$336,794.34 of which \$77,000.00 was turned in to the general fund of the City and County. Funds collected for the support of minor children, indigent spouses and indigent parents totalled \$193,244.62; collections for these family cases have increased in the past year approximately 60%. Total cases in this family division at present approximate 500 increasing yearly by almost 50%. The case-load of 500 on two women probation officers in the family division shows definitely a staff shortage in this division. Their tasks usually involve the welfare of families and children with conflicting interests and the cooperation of many public and private agencies; this specialized service calls for much conferring, fact finding, and planning if court orders are to be carried out. More than half of the cases referred to this division are complete public welfare charges at the time of referral. Due to the large collections in this division some offenders were made to assume full support of their families, who were taken off welfare rolls. In other cases, monies collected provided for the cost of child care, thus removing the need for public aid. In other cases, contributions were such that only partial supplementation was needed from the Welfare Department. The fact that many probationers' families would be forced to seek financial assistance elsewhere if the wage earner were incarcerated at additional public expense, proves the economy of probation in terms of monetary values. To relieve the burden in this division, the department needs two additional officers to bring it up to a reasonable standard.

An analysis of the work-load performed by this department over a period of five years shows that the activities of the department have increased so rapidly that it works a definite hardship on the present staff to cope with such increases. The case-load per supervision officer at present is 200, which is more than double the capacity of any probation officer for effective supervision. In addition during the year probation officers handled a total of 4,864 investigations. More than half of these investigations were pre-sentence investigations. The volume of these pre-sentence investigations alone is more than sufficient to keep probation officers occupied full time; however, in addition they also investigate an estimated 400 requests a year for modification of jail sentences; they also handle 150 special investigations including investigations for other jurisdictions. Probation officers are also entrusted with the collecting of funds - for fines, which accrue to the benefit of the city and county; for the support of minor children and indigent parents and restitution to merchants and other citizens. It can be easily understood that such pressure of work might result in a rather superficial performance of their duties; however, despite the pressure of work, the present staff have carried out their assignments in a creditable manner.






It is felt that the increases shown over the past five years are indicative of a steady increase that will have to be anticipated in the next five or ten years, and a comprehensive probation program will have to be worked out in order to successfully cope with these increases. The very large increases in family cases referred to the department and their consequent increase yearly will have to be taken into account in working out such a program, as many services and demands in these cases will be made on probation officers in this division.

We wish to express our appreciation to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors for the addition of one probation officer during the year. This employment was to take care of an emergency which had arisen on account of a change being made in the Sheriff's office in the matter of handling prisoners, who were transferred to the County Jail in San Mateo County and it was necessary for probation officers in the Superior Court to go there to interview them.

The addition of a Clerk-Typist in the Cashier's Office has helped to relieve the bookkeeper of extra work in that department.

We greatly appreciate the splendid cooperation of the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, the Grand Jury, the Adult Probation Board, and many citizens and civic organizations too numerous to mention in this report. Special assistance was given us by the Press, District Attorney and the Police Department. We are grateful to all who assisted us in the administration of probation.

Respectfully submitted,



JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
Chief Probation Officer

JDK:M



FINANCIAL STATEMENTSTATEMENT SHOWING FUNDS APPROPRIATED,  
EXPENDED, AND SUMMARY OF COLLECTIONS  
FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1953:

APPROPRIATED	\$124,643.00
--------------	--------------

EXPENDITURES

SALARIES	\$117,678.51	
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	2,477.00	
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	1,500.32	
EQUIPMENT	821.03	
INSURANCE	35.00	
	<hr/>	122,511.86

UNEXPENDED BALANCE (TO GENERAL FUND)	\$ <u><u>2,131.14</u></u>
--------------------------------------	---------------------------

FUNDS COLLECTED BY THE ADULT PROBATION  
DEPARTMENT ON COURT ORDERS:

OMITTING TO PROVIDE FOR MINOR CHILDREN AND OTHER FAMILY SUPPORT	193,244.62
---	------------

MERCHANTS, HOTELS AND OTHER COMMERCIAL RESTITUTION	67,157.47
---	-----------

FINES AND RESTITUTION FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY	<u>76,392.25</u>
--	------------------

TOTAL COLLECTIONS	<u><u>\$336,794.34</u></u>
-------------------	----------------------------

COST OF SUPERVISING AN AVERAGE CASE  
LOAD OF 2800 PROBATIONERS PER MONTH  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1953

ACTUAL EXPENDITURES	122,511.86
---------------------	------------


LESS:	
FINES COLLECTED - TO GENERAL FUND	<u>76,392.25</u>

NET COST TO CITY AND COUNTY	<u><u>\$ 46,119.61</u></u>
-----------------------------	----------------------------



CHART I

Showing comparison of amounts  
of funds collected by the Adult  
Probation Department on Court Orders  
during the past five years:



The above chart shows a large increase in the past three years - or an average approximate increase for these years of 22%.


Collections over the past five years are as follows:

1948-49	-	\$ 198,978.33
1949-50		181,791.89
1950-51		235,360.96
1951-52		290,212.87
1952-53		336,794.34




# Annual Per Capita Cost

In Prison or Jail




On Probation









# USE OF PROBATION AS A CORRECTIONAL MEDIUM IN SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

DATA = PER CENT OF TOTAL DEFENDENTS  
CALENDAR YEAR 1952



GENERAL STATISTICSINFORMATIONNEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEM</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEM</u>	
NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS FOR PROBATION RECEIVED (FOR PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION)	916	58	397	87	1458
MODIFICATIONS	5	3	57	120	185
NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED FOR SPECIAL INVESTIGATION (NOT PROBATION APPLICATIONS)	16	2	98	19	135


PROBATION HISTORY

NEW PROBATIONERS	446	45	1838	250	2579
1ST TIME ON PROBATION	396	43	1547	220	2206
2ND TIME ON PROBATION	25	2	183	22	232
3RD TIME ON PROBATION	25		108	8	141
<u>Conditions of probation.</u>					
<u>JAIL</u>	149	14	164	26	353
FINED	103	2	519	102	726
RESTITUTION	86	12	322	39	459
FAMILY SUPPORT	46	2	390		438
TO TAKE PSYCHIATRIC TREATMENTS	14		35		49



CHART II

Showing a comparison of case loads handled by this department during the past five-year period:



Fourteen supervising probation officers handled a case load of 2800 probationers during the year; an average case load of 200 probationers was supervised per officer.

The following is a comparison of case loads per officer over the past five years:

1948-49	-	260
49-50	-	250
50-51	-	177
51-52	-	172
52-53	-	200



REVOCATIONS

Showing comparisons for five year period.

1949 to 1953

Year	Superior Courts		Municipal Courts		Womens Court, M.C.10A	
	Per cent Revoked	On Probn.	Per cent Revoked	On Probn.	Per cent Revoked	On Probation
1949	7	831	10	1391	21	538
1950	5	806	7	1144	24	463
1951	6	964	24	1336	30	387
1952	7	1050	25	1400	31	160
1953	6	1100	24	1800	31	170

Revocations in the past year show a small decrease in Superior and Municipal Courts.

In the Womens Court, Dept.10A revocations have always been high, as strict supervision is necessary for female offenders handled in this court. Department 10A is coordinated with the Health Service Program and the Venereal Disease Clinic.





MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES OF THE ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT ARE A TIME-CONSUMING FACTOR IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF PROBATION IN THIS COMMUNITY. AS THESE ACTIVITIES ARE NOT CONTAINED IN OTHER PAGES OF THIS REPORT, THEY ARE LISTED BELOW:

DURING THE YEAR, PROBATIONERS PLACED ON PROBATION WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SUPPORT OF APPROXIMATELY 528 ADULTS AND 670 CHILDREN PER MONTH, BESIDES EARNING THEIR OWN SUPPORT.

AN AVERAGE OF TEN (10) INVESTIGATIONS PER MONTH WAS MADE FOR JURISDICTIONS OUTSIDE THIS COUNTY.

INTERVIEWS AVERAGED APPROXIMATELY 220 PER MONTH PER PROBATION OFFICER.

THE NUMBER ACTIVELY REPORTING PER MONTH WAS APPROXIMATELY 1400 FROM SAN FRANCISCO, 185 FROM THE BAY AREA, 123 FROM OUT OF STATE, AND 314 BY MAIL.

OUT-OF-TOWN CALLS AVERAGED ABOUT 24 PER MONTH, AND OFFICE CALL-INS ABOUT 30 PER DAY PER OFFICER.

FIELD VISITS AVERAGED ABOUT 22 PER MONTH; THIS PHASE OF THE WORK HAD TO BE CURTAILED ON ACCOUNT OF HAVING INSUFFICIENT HELP TO CARRY ON THE NORMAL FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT.

OVERTIME WORKED BY THE DEPARTMENT DURING THE YEAR WAS 260 MAN-HOURS.

TRANSPORTATION WAS ARRANGED FOR 32 FEMALE OFFENDERS TO RETURN TO THEIR PARENTS OR RELATIVES IN THEIR OWN HOME TOWNS.

FOURTEEN MALES AND ONE FEMALE WERE GRANTED "PROBATION TO COURT."

TWO FOREIGN BORN MALES WERE GRANTED PROBATION WITH A HOLD FOR THE IMMIGRATION AUTHORITIES.



## ANNUAL REPORT 1952/53

OFFENSESSUPERIOR COURTFELONIES

	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>
ASSAULT	42	2		
BURGLARY	56			
VIO. SEC. 476 PENAL CODE	14	7		
VIO. SEC. 476A PENAL CODE	18	6		
GRAND THEFT	44	3		
VIO. SECTION 501 VEHICLE CODE	3			
VIO. SECTION 503 VEHICLE CODE	20			
HIT AND RUN	13	2		
RAPE	9			
ROBBERY	38			
HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE	13	4		
VIO. SEC. 337A PENAL CODE	32	1		
RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY	4			
CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY OF MINORS	73	14		
OTHER FELONIES	39	6		

MUNICIPAL COURTMISDEMEANORS

BATTERY			148	9
MALICIOUS MISCHIEF			85	9
DISTURBING THE PEACE			56	6
DRUNK			128	22
VIOLATING SEC. 502 VEHICLE CODE			229	14
OMIT TO PROVIDE	28		390	
PETIT THEFT			179	97
VAGRANCY			76	23
VIOLATING TRAFFIC LAWS			210	20
VIOLATING LOCAL ORDINANCES			55	9
VIOLATING LIQUOR LAWS			29	12
SEX OFFENSES			67	
VIOLATING SEC. 499B PENAL CODE			17	
OTHER MISDEMEANORS			169	29

---

TOTALS . . .	446	45	1838	250
--------------	-----	----	------	-----

---



SUMMARY  
OF WORK  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL  
COURTS.

SUPERIOR COURT:

Seven probation officers are assigned to the four criminal departments of the Superior Court; of this number, four investigate and prepare reports on pending motions for probation, and in addition supervise those who are placed on probation. Two officers confine virtually all of their activity to the collectings of fines and restitution, and the seventh member performs both Municipal and Superior Court assignments, her work being exclusively confined to female offenders.

Needless to say, on the basis of statistics which reveal that the courts refer an average of 1.2 cases per day for investigation, the work program of those assigned to the Superior Court must be confined to investigative work, to the neglect of supervision. Admittedly, without proper supervision the opportunity to effect complete rehabilitation of an offender is lessened to such a degree that in many instances where investigation shows the need for strict supervision, a negative recommendation is submitted, because the officer is well aware of the fact that the department does not have the personnel necessary to fulfill this needed function.

Each year the need becomes greater as pertains to additional employments. During recent years, legislation has been enacted which has increased the number of referrals in the Superior Court by more than 30%, and it is noted that in September of the present year, first offenders in some types of narcotic cases will become eligible for probation. As a result the work load will be increased, all to the detriment of much needed supervision.

It is hoped that in the future, definite steps will be taken to augment the staff of this department with sufficient help to bring about adequate service to the courts and the community.

MUNICIPAL COURT:

The work of the probation officer in the Municipal Court falls into three important functions: Appearing in court as an intermediary between the court and defendant; making an investigation of circumstances and background of offender who is being considered for probation, and supervising defendants placed on probation. In furthering these functions probation officers assisted the Municipal Courts at the Hall of Justice in disposing of more than 3000 cases during the past year; of which 1458 were referred for pre-sentence investigation, while the remainder were summarily granted probation by the court. In addition, 135 special investigations were made at the request of the court. A total 3800 cases received supervision during the year.



MUNICIPAL COURT(Contd.)

In the Municipal Courts there is a particular need for the services of the probation officer. For instance, the court might wish to know the possibility of treatment if the defendant is emotionally ill; or the possibility of aid, if defendant is destitute. In other cases the defendant may make claims about his personal or family situation which the court may wish to consider before imposing sentence. An example may be best to show this courtroom function of the probation officer: Defendant, after being found guilty of being drunk, stated to the court he was in this country on a visa which was due to expire. He was going to ship out in the next few days and a jail sentence would create unusual difficulties. The matter was referred to the probation officer present in court. In a few minutes the probation officer was able to inform the court that defendant's statement had been verified by telephone.

The court must have readily available a probation officer who can advise and inform the court on matters of probation. Due to staff shortages, only one officer is assigned to Departments 9, 11 and 12 each morning. While this officer is appearing in one court, his services may be needed in another court.

The second function performed is that of conducting a pre-sentence investigation which culminates in a written report which is used by the court in the determination of an equitable sentence. This report contains defendant's past police record; the statement of defendant and complainant as to the present offense; and defendant's social history (including family background, education, employment, marital status, military experience, financial status, character and reputation). The report concludes with the probation officer's evaluation of this material and a recommendation as to whether defendant is a proper subject for probation. Information for this report is obtained through court and police records and interviews with defendant and other interested parties. Because of limitations of time available, the probation officer is not able to go into the community and interview employers, associates, and relatives of defendant. Such investigation would add immeasurably to the probation department's ability to make recommendations which would offer the maximum protection to society and also afford the defendant every opportunity to redeem himself.

The third function is that of supervision of defendants placed on probation. Each of the four municipal courts at the hall of Justice has a probation officer assigned to supervising male probationers originating out of the respective courts. In addition, one officer devotes part of her time to supervising female probationers, and two officers spend full time supervising those cases put on probation because of non-support of their dependents. The latter work is explained in another section of this report.





As each court has slightly different functions, supervisory problems vary with each officer. Department 10 handles most cases in which a woman is the complainant. As a result, the officer has a large number of family cases, in which the wife has signed a complaint because of her husband's excessive drinking or cruelty. Many of these cases have received attention from various social agencies in the community. When all else fails, the case is brought into court and the problem turned over to the probation officer. Although the probation officer assigned to Department 10 has many other types of misdemeanants under his supervision, most of his time is spent in dealing with crises which continually arise in family cases.

Probationers supervised by Municipal Court 11 are those involving traffic offenses. In most of these cases, the court has imposed a fine and it is the probation officer's responsibility to collect these fines under the conditions imposed by the court. Many persons in this case load have been convicted of drunken driving, and require special attention if society is to be protected from the often fatal consequences of driving under the influence of alcohol.

Probation cases arising out of Municipal Courts 9 and 12 cover the whole range of misdemeanors - from petty theft to failure to pay municipal sales taxes. The case load of each officer assigned to these courts averages 200. Here again, supervision is limited in most cases to routine office visits by the probationer.

About 65% of the cases originating from these two courts involve collection of fines and restitution. The fines go into the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco. The probation department collects moneys from defendants for people whose properties have been damaged or lost, and for citizens who have sustained losses or injuries. It also obtains restitution for the State Department of Employment in actions involving fraudulent claims against the Unemployment Insurance Funds.

#### MUNICIPAL COURT, Dpt.10 - FAMILY DIVISION:

This division is devoted to the handling of non-support cases, which comprise:

1. probationers whose families are either in receipt of Public Welfare assistance, or
2. whose families are in need of support but who cannot obtain redress in Civil Court because of financial difficulties.
3. Cases referred under Reciprocal Support Act, where either defendant or complainant resides out of state.
4. Cases transferred from other jurisdictions.
5. Civil cases referred from Superior Court for collection which equally involve time and attention.



MUN. 10 - FAMILY DIVISION (Contd)

When such cases are placed on probation, it usually means that such action was taken when other avenues of obtaining support had been exhausted. In cases where Public Welfare funds were involved, the Welfare Department was either unable to locate the man or unable to enforce payments for his family's support once he was located. The District Attorney's Office then attempts to get the individual to make payments and when that office has exhausted its facilities, action is finally taken. It is obvious that supervision is an important phase of probation in these types of cases. With the increase in caseloads, particularly in the past year, supervision is most difficult.

It must be remembered that the reason most of these men were finally apprehended and placed on probation was because of their constant resistance to the acceptance of the responsibility of their families. Besides the defendant, contact is made with complainant, and social agencies in the city plus various business men, attorneys and interested parties who may indicate an interest in the probationer. Collection of support payments is only a phase of the function of this division. It must be pointed out here that this division does not coddle its probationers, but works with them in acceptance of the responsibilities which are theirs and not the taxpayers. When all efforts are exhausted, it is only then that their probation is revoked and they are placed in custody.

This division not only handles non-support payments, but also prepares pre-sentence investigations requested by the court. The present volume of pre-sentence investigations plus contacts with approximately 50 new cases coming in each month alone occupies the full time of two women officers. In addition to these duties these officers are also responsible for the supervision of over 500 probationers.



OFFENSES BY AGESMUNICIPAL COURT:

AGES	Battery Mal. Mischief Dist/Peace Drunk Sec. 502 VC Omit Prov. P. Theft Vagrancy Traffic Loc. Ord. Liquor Laws Sex Offenses Sec. 199b PC Other															TOTAL	
	Male	Fem.	18	3	1		1	8	6	12		5	1	5	1	67	Male
18	6	18	3	1		1	8	6	12		5	1	5	1	67		4
19	8	1	6	2	6	2	5	4	10		4	1	1	4	54		7
20	3	3		1	7	5	7	3	6		2	3	2	1	43		5
21	6	8	2	4	10	11	14	8	8	3		5		9	88		
22	1			4			14	2			1						22
23	12	8	1	5	22	35	24	9	25	5	2	8	2	20	178		
24																	
25	1	3		1	1		7		4					1			18
26	13	8	3	4	17	39	9	10	20	2	1	11	1	10	147		
27	1				1		11		2	2	2			2			21
28	18	12	6	14	27	65	18	6	27	5	4	3	4	17	226		
29																	
30		3		2	3		11	1	4	2	2			4			31
31	19	6	7	14	24	45	19	2	22	6	1	17	1	14	197		
32																	
33	1		2	1			5		4		3			3			19
34	17	6	5	15	27	51	15	10	22	10		8		25	211		
to																	
37	3			2	2		6		2	1	1						17
38	9	6	5	10	25	39	11	6	18	4	2	5		16	156		
39																	
40	1	1	4	4		4								3			17
41 & over	37	9	18	58	64	97	49	12	40	20	9	5	1	52	471		
	2	2	3	8	3		25	19	4	4	32			16			89
Male	148	85	56	128	229	390	179	76	210	55	29	67	17	169	1838		
Female	9	9	6	22	14		97	23	20	9	12			29			250



SUPERIOR COURT

Annual Report 1952/53

Ages	Assault	Burglary	Sec. 476 P.C.	Sec. 476a P.C.	Grand Theft	Receiving Stolen Property	Sec. 480 VC	Sec. 503 VC	Sec. 501 VC	Fail to Provide	Drugs	Contributing to Del. of Minors	Rape	Sec. 337a P.C.	Other	TOTALS
M F																M F
18	1	3	2	2	1		6			1	6	3				25
19	4	1			1		2				8	2		1		20
20	1	4			1		2				6	1		1		15 1
21	5	5	FF		2	1	3	1	1		6	5		1		30 2
22	1	2	1				1			1	2	5	1			14
23	2	3	1	F	3		1	1		F	3	1	2	2		19 5
24		3		1	1		1				2	3		1		12
25	1	3	F		1	1	1	1	1		6	3	2	2		23 1
26	1	2		1	2	1			3	3	2	1	1	1		18 4
27	2	1	F	1	2				1		2	2	1	1	1	14 4
28	1	4	1	1	2	1			1	1	5	3	2	5		27
29	3	3	1		2	1	1		1	1	2	1		1	3	19 2
30/34	5	7	3	5	8		5	1	6	2	7	5	2	3	3	61 8
35/39	5	5	1	2	6	1			5	1	4	3		3	2	37 12
40/44	2	4	1	5	7	1	2		4	1	6			5	3	41 2
45/49	3	1	1	1	2		2		5	1	3			7	7	33 2
50	5	5	2		3	1	F			1	4			10	7	38 2
MALE	42	56	14	18	44	4	13	20	3	28	13	73	38	9	32	39 446
FEMALE	2		7	6	3		2				4	14		1	6	45





## ANNUAL REPORT 1952/53

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENTSUPERIOR COURT:

FOLLOWING ARE MOTIONS FOR PROBATION MADE  
IN FOUR DEPARTMENTS OF THE SUPERIOR COURT:

<u>DEPT. 6</u>		<u>DEPT. 11</u>		<u>DEPT. 12</u>		<u>JUV. COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
259	16	317	26	214	13	126	3	916	58

	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>
NUMBER OF PROBATIONERS CONTINUED FROM LAST YEAR	1072	98

FOLLOWING WERE GRANTED PROBATION IN  
SUPERIOR COURT:

144	85	65	76	446	45
9	12	7	15		

TRANSFERS

27

UNDER SUPERVISION THIS YEAR

1518 143

NUMBER REVOKED AND SENTENCED

34 3

REVOKED BUT NOT APPREHENDED

24 5

NUMBER EXPIRED, WITHOUT CHANGE OF PLEA

387 25

DISCHARGED UNDER SECTION 1203.3 PENAL CODE

34 2

DISCHARGED UNDER SECTION 1203.4 PENAL CODE

38

TRANSFERS

2

NUMBER ON PROBATION IN SUPERIOR COURT

1001 106

- - - - -

FOLLOWING ARE MOTIONS IN SUPERIOR COURT  
WHICH WERE DENIED AND DISPOSED OF AS  
FOLLOWS:

SENTENCED TO STATE PRISON

271 24

SENTENCED TO THE COUNTY JAIL

254 19

COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

52

COMMITTED TO STATE HOSPITAL

21 2

OFF CALENDAR

2

TOTAL

600 45

645



MUNICIPAL COURTS  
by  
DEPARTMENTS

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Number of probationers continued from previous year	1304	265

The following were granted probation in six departments of the Municipal Court:

Dpt.4	Dpt.9	Dpt.10	Dpt.10a	Dpt.11	Dpt.12		
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
69	211	780	52	388	338	1838	250
6	1	194	19	21	9		

Modifications	57	120
---------------	----	-----

Number under supervision	3199	635
--------------------------	------	-----

RELEASES:

Number revoked and sentenced	52	19
Revoked but not apprehended	239	44
No. expired without change of plea	1257	237
Discharged under Sec.1203.3 Penal Code	20	13
Discharged under Sec.1203.4 Penal Code	33	10

Number on probation as of June 30, 1953	1598	312	1910
---	------	-----	------

In addition to the above figures, 203 females were placed on probation from Dept.10A - Womens Court	203
---	-----

Total on probation in Municipal Court . . .	2113
---	------



## ANNUAL REPORT 1952/53

SUPERIOR COURT	MUNICIPAL COURT
<u>MALE</u>	<u>MALE</u>
<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>

RELIGION

CATHOLIC	146	19	689	69
PROTESTANT	166	20	797	72
JEWISH	12	3	34	6
CHRISTIAN SCIENCE	6	1	27	2
NONE STATED	<u>116</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>291</u>	<u>101</u>
TOTALS	<u>446</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>1838</u>	<u>250</u>

MARITAL STATUS

SINGLE	145	11	393	24
DIVORCED	22	2	61	2
SEPARATED	9	9	55	4
MARRIED	<u>270</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>1329</u>	<u>220</u>
TOTALS	<u>446</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>1838</u>	<u>250</u>

NATIVITY

CALIFORNIA	115	7	411	47
OUT OF STATE	290	37	1283	178
FOREIGN BORN	<u>41</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>25</u>
TOTALS	<u>446</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>1838</u>	<u>250</u>



OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	<u>SUPERIOR</u> <u>MALE</u>	<u>COURT</u> <u>FEMALE</u>	<u>MUNICIPAL</u> <u>MALE</u>	<u>COURT</u> <u>FEMALE</u>
BARTENDERS	14		34	1
TRADESMEN	44	2	245	4
MECHANICS	14		60	
COOKS & WAITERS	15	2	75	
CLERKS	41	8	132	26
LABORERS	129	2	625	8
LONGSHOREMEN	7		48	
SELF-EMPLOYED - PROFESSIONAL	21	4	50	18
SALESMEN	27	1	90	9
DRIVERS OR TEAMSTERS	31		180	2
JANITORS	9		61	
UNITED STATES SERVICE, SOLDIERS, MARINES	28		38	
SEAMEN	19		45	
PENSIONERS	13		28	
STUDENTS	15		19	
NOT EMPLOYED	19	5	100	57
HOUSEWIVES		11		59
MAIDS		4		28
NURSES		4		14
WAITRESSES		2		24
	<u>446</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>1838</u>	<u>250</u>





BRANCH OFFICE  
Dept. 10A  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Annual Report 1952/53

Department 10a of the Municipal Court, also known as the Separate Women's Court has been in operation for ten years since its establishment in 1943. Defendants appearing before this court are those whose conduct is promiscuous and whose sexual behavior or activities of prostitution have resulted in their arrest and consequent quarantine by the Department of Public Health for determination of possible venereal disease infection. During the period of physical quarantine, the court authorizes the probation officer to make a pre-trial investigation of the defendants including personal history, prior criminal record, activities leading to the arrests, and other pertinent information which will make it possible for the probation officer to assist the defendants in planning for the future, and to make reports and recommendations to the court in case the defendant should plead guilty or be found guilty.

The staff of the branch office of the Probation Department consists of a Senior Probation Officer, one Assistant Probation Officer, and one Clerk-Stenographer. The probation officer functions as one part of a cooperative program involving the courts, the Police Department, the District Attorney, and the Department of Public Health. The aim of the program is the prevention and control of crime and disease for the protection of both the individuals involved as well as for the community. The outstanding cooperation between the different departments, as well as the convenient physical setup, provides opportunity for intensive personal attention to the individual defendant's problems, and at the same time disburses a tremendous knowledge of criminal activities of prostitution and an appreciation of interdepartmental problems. This latter information is as vital in planning personally for the defendant's protection as it is in the overall plan for control of such activities.

It is the aim of the probation officer in this program to work out some plan with each defendant appearing before the court, which will make it unnecessary for that defendant to leave jail and return to the same situation which brought about the original arrest. This is sometimes done through close supervision and encouragement in changing environment and securing legitimate employment. Frequently, it is done through arranging to have the defendant returned to her home or place of legal residence where assistance from family and friends can be expected. At times the plan is carried out by assisting in the commitment of those found to be mentally ill or mentally handicapped. Actually, there is little difference between the services available to a defendant who has been placed on probation and one whose charges have been dismissed. It must be remembered, however, that if the criminal charges have been dismissed, the cooperation of the defendant is voluntary.



DEPT. 10a (Contd).

It is entirely possible, because of the relationship between the defendant and the probation officer and the intensive personal attention available, that the greatest amount of preventive work is done with the defendants whose criminal charges have been dismissed, but where the social and personal problem remains.

Statistics show that the two probation officers attached to this division make investigations sometimes up to 130 a month, although the attached statistics will show the number frequently runs less. In addition to this, there are actually on probation and under supervision, an average of 170 probationers a month. These figures are rough estimates and do not include the special investigations, or investigations and requests for modification of jail sentences.

A comparative study of statistics over the past ten years indicates that although 1946 was the peak year, the average monthly investigations have varied little from the war years of 1943 to 1945; the high age percentage group continues to be from 18 to 25 years; narcotic involvements have increased, especially in the 18 to 25 age group; and the number of new offenders has increased in proportion to the total number of defendants just within the past year.



SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10-A

Age Groups

	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	Total No. of In-vestigations
Juveniles	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	
Re-	12	18	22	10	54	93	47	44	26	45	19	44	434																					
Arrests	2	4	15	21	33	121	81	101	66	63	28	124	704																					
																																		Total
																																		1138

CHARGES: (Some defendants charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	297	Prior record	- 192
Ord. 240 P.C.	141	None	- 242
Drunk	54		
Other	59		

DISPOSITIONS: (new arrests)

Granted probation	149
Sentenced to County Jail	57
Dismissed	316
Suspended sentences	21
Transferred	9
Off Calendar	11
Committed to State Hospital	4

Re-arrests

Granted probation	135
Sentenced to County Jail	222
Dismissed	474
Revoked	56
Transferred	6
Fined	1
Committed to State Hospital	5

MEDICAL:

Syphilis	11
Gonorrhea	29
Prior Social Disease	68
Prostitutes	149 (diseased 15)
Promiscuous	145 ( " 17)
Drug addicts	32
Alcoholics	61



MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A (Contd.)MARITAL STATUS:

Married	98
Single	106
Divorced	95
Separated	110
Widows	24
- - - - -	-

RELIGION:

Catholic	159
Protestant	243
Other	6
Active	55
Inactive	333
None	41
- - - - -	-

RACE:

White	293
Negro	127
Indian	7
Other	2
- - - - -	-

NATIVITY:

Born in California	89
Other states	334
Foreign born	20
- - - - -	-

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	88
Not employed " " "	270
Employed within the last 6 mos.	56
- - - - -	-

San FranciscoCaliforniaDuration of residence

Less than six months	191	111
Over six months	227	356





MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT. 10A (Contd.)

Number of female offenders ordered to leave San Francisco and return home	. . . 43
--	----------

---

Number continued on probation from last year	137
New probationers	284
Modification of sentence and placed on probation	<u>14</u>
Number under supervision	435

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	107	
Unsatisfactory	69	
Revoked but not apprehended	32	
Revoked and sentenced	<u>24</u>	<u>232</u>

Number on probation as of June 30, 1953	203
---	-----

---



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

550 MONTGOMERY STREET

- - -

A N N U A L

R E P O R T

FISCAL YEAR  
July 1, 1953 to June 30, 1954

DOCUMENTS OFFICE

SEP 19 1954

RECEIVED  
FBI - SAN FRANCISCO



SUPERIOR COURT

Milton D. Sapiro, Presiding Judge

CRIMINAL DIVISION - Judge Eustace Cullinan, Jr.  
Department 11.

Judge Orla St. Clair  
Department 6.

Judge John B. Molinari  
Department 12.

Judge Melvin I. Cronin  
Department 19.

- - - - -

MUNICIPAL COURT

C. Harold Caulfield, Presiding Judge  
Department 6, City Hall

CRIMINAL DIVISION  
HALL OF JUSTICE

Judge Clayton W. Horn  
Department 10

Judge Charles S. Peery  
Department 11

Judge Alvin E. Weinberger  
Department 12



ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Peabody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Frank Ratto  
Rev. Matthew Connolly

- - -

Mr. John D. Kavanaugh, Chief Probation Officer

- - -

SENIOR PROBATION OFFICERS

Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Miss Margaret Connolly

PROBATION OFFICERS

Mrs. Irene M. Burton  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Mrs. Natalie Rodriguez  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mrs. Frances Hancock  
Mr. Joseph P. Halligan  
Mr. Robert D. Nicol  
Mr. Warren T. Jenkins  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. William J. Dealey  
Mr. Louis C. Bosia  
Mr. John P. Lindsay  
Mr. Werner J. Einstadter

- - -

Bookkeeper

Mr. Constant G. Simon  
(Retired June 30, 1954)

Senior Clerk-Stenographer

Mr. James J. Morgan \*  
\*(Prepared this report)

- - -

GENERAL CLERK-STENOGRAPHERS

Miss Anna Sindicich  
Miss Justina K. Mannix  
Miss Muriel Weinstein  
Mrs. Frances Ortiz  
Mrs. Lois A. Carey  
Mrs. Edna R. Kunz

GENERAL CLERK-TYPIST

Mrs. Anne V. Griesinger  
Mrs. Angela A. Balzer (Gen. Clk. Stenographer)





INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1
Financial Statement	2
Chart I - Comparison of Collections	3
Chart II - Comparison of Case-loads	4
General Statistics - Information	5
Revocations - comparisons	6
Miscellaneous statistics	7
Offenses of probationers	8
Offenses by Ages - Superior Court	9
Superior Court - Departments	10
Offenses by Ages - Municipal Court	11
Municipal Court - Departments	12
Religion, Marital Status and Nativity of new probationers	13
Occupations of Probationers	14

MUNICIPAL COURT, DEPT.10A

Ages, Charges, Dispositions, Medical	15
Marital Status, Religion, Race, Nativity Employment, Residence	16
Number under supervision, Releases	17



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
550 Montgomery Street  
San Francisco 11 Calif.  
Phone - Yukon 6-2950

ANNUAL REPORT 1953/54

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE  
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1953/54 is submitted herewith.

Statistics in this report are compared to activities of the department over the past five years. Figures for the past year indicate a rapid rise in the different phases of probation as carried out by the department. The largest increase is shown on Chart I - an increase of almost 100% in collections. Increased case-loads are shown on Chart II. The average case-load was 3200 or 230 per officer. New probationers received during the year totalled 3022.

Funds collected by the department were as follows:

For minor children and other family support	\$ 215,854.62
Merchants, and other commercial restitution	52,858.76
Fines & restitution for the benefit of the City and County	<u>78,1403.79</u>
Total	\$ <u>347,117.17</u>

Approximately \$7,000,000.00 was earned by probationers during the year.

In previous Annual Reports the shortage of personnel in the department has been comprehensively studied with a view to increasing the efficiency of the department to properly perform the work, and recommendations have been made for an increased staff by all interested parties. Notwithstanding this serious shortage of staff, the department is attempting to carry on its function in this community in a creditable manner.

It is our desire at this time to express our appreciation to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors for their consideration of our problems. May we also acknowledge the cooperation of the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Grand Jury, the Adult Probation Board, and many citizens and civic organizations. Special assistance was rendered by the Press, District Attorney and the Police Department. We are grateful to all who helped us in carrying out the administration of probation.

Respectfully submitted

JDK:M

JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER



FINANCIAL STATEMENT

STATEMENT, showing funds appropriated,  
Expended, and Summary of Collections  
for Fiscal year ending June 30, 1954:

APPROPRIATED \$ 137,729.00

EXPENDITURES

Salaries- Permanent	\$128,253.76	
Temporary	1,972.80	
Overtime	1,047.70	
Contractual Services	949.94	
Materials and Supplies	1,004.37	
Equipment	3,189.73	
Insurance	35.00	
		<u>136,453.30</u>

Unexpended balance (To General Fund) \$ 1,275.70

FUNDS COLLECTED on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children and other family support		215,854.62
Merchants, Hotels and other commercial restitution		52,858.76
Fines and Restitution for the benefit of the City and County		<u>78,403.79</u>

TOTAL COLLECTIONS \$ 347,117.17

COST OF SUPERVISING an average case load  
of 3200 probationers per month for the  
fiscal year ending June 30, 1954:

Actual Expenditures 136,453.30

Less:


Fines collected - to General Fund 78,403.79

Net cost to City and County \$ 58,049.51



CHART I

Showing comparison of collections made by  
The Adult Probation Department on Court Orders  
during the past five years.



The above chart shows an increase in collections  
during the past five years of approximately 100%.  
Collections for these years are as follows:


1949-50	- \$	181,791.89
1950-51		235,360.96
1951-52		290,212.87
1952-53		336,794.34
1953-54		347,117.17





CHART II

Showing comparison of case loads  
handled by the department during  
the past five year period:



Fourteen supervising probation officers  
handled a case load of 3200 probationers  
during the year; an average case load of  
230 probationers was supervised per officer.

Following is the case load per officer for  
the past five years:

1949-50 - 250  
1950-51 - 177  
1951-52 - 172  
1952-53 - 200  
1953-54 - 230



GENERAL STATISTICS  
INFORMATION  
NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUN. COURT</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Number of applications for Probation received (For-pro-sentence investigations)	1023	66	318	34	1441
Modifications	7	4	69	141	221
Number of cases referred for Special Investigation(not probation applications)	17	11	70	16	114

- - - - -

PROBATION HISTORY

New probationers	416	56	2280	270	3022
1st time on probation	361	51	1826	237	2475
2d time on probation	33	3	253	20	309
3d time on probation	22	2	201	13	238

CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

Served jail sentence	161	15	49	29	454
Fined	59	8	841	58	966
Restitution	106	5	390	43	544
Family Support	14		510		524
To take psychiatric treatments	13		4		17
Held for Immigration Department	2				2



REVOCATIONS

Showing comparisons for five year period.

1950 to 1954

<u>Year</u>	<u>Superior Courts</u>		<u>Municipal Courts</u>		<u>Womens Court, Dpt.10A</u>	
	<u>Per cent Revoked</u>	<u>On Probn.</u>	<u>Per cent Revoked</u>	<u>On Probn.</u>	<u>Per cent Revoked</u>	<u>On Probation</u>
1950	5	806	7	1444	24	463
1951	6	964	24	1336	30	387
1952	7	1050	25	1400	31	160
1953	6	1100	24	1800	31	170
1954	6	1150	15	2150	27	180

Revocations in the past year show a decrease in Municipal Courts.

In the Womens Court, Dpt.10A, revocations have always been high, as strict supervision is necessary for females handled in this court.



MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

Miscellaneous activities of the Adult Probation Department are a time-consuming factor in the administration of probation in this community. As these activities are not contained in other pages of this report, they are mentioned below:

During the year, probationers placed on probation were responsible for the support of approximately 611 adults and 790 children per month, while being employed and out of custody.

An average of 7 investigations per month was made for jurisdictions outside this county.

Interviews averaged approximately 227 per month per probation officer.

The number actively reporting per month was approximately 1250 from San Francisco, 172 from the Bay Area, 91 from out of state, and 425 by mail.

Out-of-town calls averaged about ten per month, and office call-ins about 20 per day per officer.

Field visits averaged about 17 per month; this phase of the work had to be curtailed on account of having insufficient personnel to carry on the normal functions of the department.

Overtime worked by the department during the year was 300 man-hours.

Transportation was arranged for 29 female offenders to return to their parents or relatives in their own home towns.

Twenty males were granted "probation to the Court."

Two males were granted probation with a hold for the Immigration Authorities.





OFFENSES  
 ON WHICH PROBATION WAS GRANTED  
 IN  
SUPERIOR COURT  
 &  
MUNICIPAL COURT

FELONIES

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Assault	27	6		
Burglary	41			
Forgery	17	1		
Vio. Sec. 476a Penal Code	38	9		
Grand Theft	49	6		
Vio. Sec. 501 Vehicle Code	5			
Vio. Sec. 503 Vehicle Code	30			
Vio. Sec. 480 Vehicle Code	7			
Rape	6			
Robbery	41	2		
Drugs	31	9	35	14
Receiving Stolen Property	2			
Vio. Sec. 337a Penal Code	21	2		
Contributing to Delinquency of Minors	59	8		

MISDEMEANORS

Battery			156	10
Malicious Mischief			83	3
Disturbing the Peace			42	3
Drunk			171	15
Violating Section 502 Vehicle Code			243	15
Omitting to Provide	9		492	2
Petit Theft			220	135
Vagrancy			74	5
Violating liquor laws			4	
Violating traffic laws			306	26
Violating Local Ordinances			76	7
Violating Section 499b Penal Code				
	1		10	
Sex offenses	7		101	2

TOTALS

416	56	2280	270
-----	----	------	-----



SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFENSES BY AGES

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Forgery	Sec. 476a P.C.	Grand Theft	480 VC	503 VC	501 VC	Fail to Provide	Drugs	Con. Delinquency of Minors	Robbery	Rape	Sec. 337a P.C.	Other	TOTAL
M 18				1	2		6									9
F 18			1													1
19	2	2			3		3		1	FF 3	6			1		20
20	1	1					4			1	2			1		10
21	2	2	1	2	2		1		1	7	5			1		24
22	4	3	1	3	3		4	1	2	5	3	1		1		30
23	1	4		2	2	1	5		3	4	2					24
24	1	1	1	1	4	1			3	1	2		1	1		17
25	1	2		2	2	1			1	3	3	1		2		18
26	2	5		1	2			1	2	1	1			FF 2		17
27	1	3	1	3	1	1	2	1	2	4	2	1		1		23
28		2	4	1	2	1	1		1	2	3	1	1	1		20
29		3	2	2	3		1	1	1	3	3			1		19
30/34	1	4	1	5	8		2	1	2	1	6	3	1	3	2	41
35/39	2	4	3	5	4			1	3	1	6	2		2		33
40/44	1	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	2	1	4		1	4	1	27
45/49	3	3		5	2			1	5	1	4	1	5	2		32
50 &	5		1	5	6	1		1	5	11	1		6	10		52
Male	27	41	17	36	49	7	30	4	12	31	63	42	6	21	28	
Female	6		2	9	6					8	8	2		2	13	

Total Males - 416

" Females - 56

" 472



SUPERIOR COURT  
DEPARTMENTS

Following are motions made in five departments of the Superior Court:

Ex.Sess.1		Dpt.6		Dpt.11		Dpt. 12		Juv.Court		TOTAL	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
16		285		317		285		124		1027	
2		16		28		17		4		67	

Number of probationers contd. from last year 1001 106

Following were granted probation in Superior Court:

1	110	93	100	73			
1	14	11	14	10	416	56	
Transfers		39	6				

Modifications 7 4

Number under supervision this year 1424 166

Number revoked and sentenced	36	10
Revoked but not sentenced	7	4
Number expired, without change of plea	296	27
Discharged under Sec. 1203.3 Penal Code	12	1
Discharged " " 1203.4 " "	9	1
Died	1	1

Number on probation in Superior Court 1063 122

Following are motions in Superior Court which were denied and disposed of as follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	308	27
Sentenced to County Jail	250	21
Committed to Youth Authority	44	
Committed to State Hospitals	38	
TOTALS	640	48
		<u>688</u>



OFFENSES BY AGES

Annual Report 1953/54

MUNICIPAL COURT

AGES	Battery	Mal. Mischief	Dist/Peace	Drunk	Sec. 502 VC	Omit Provide	P. Theft	Vagrancy	Traffic Laws	Local Ords.	Liquor Laws	Sex offenses	Drugs	Sec. 499b P.C.	Other	TOTALS	
																Male	Female
18 M	5	8	2	1		1	14	4	12	3			1	3	7	61	
18 F							7	1							2		10
19	6	3	1		1	4	13	4	14	3	1	1	1	1	5	58	9
20	8	5		1	1	4	7	1	12	4			1	1	5	50	4
21	10	10	2	7	10	26	18	5	17	3		2	1	1	8	120	
22	2						13	2	3	2			1				23
23	25	6	4	7	18	53	27	5	42	7		15	8		7	224	
24																	30
25	1			2	1		17	1	3	1			4				
26	16	11	2	5	16	52	10	4	20	2	1	7	9	4	9	169	
27	2				1	1	17		3						3		27
28	12	6	3	16	26	69	19	12	44	6		21	7	1	36	277	
29																	
30	2		1		2		10		6			1	4		1		27
31	16	13	6	24	29	69	24	9	24	5		10	1	5	39	276	
32																	
33		2		1	3		17		1				1		3		18
34	11	5	8	17	26	73	21	14	31	10		9			32	257	
to 37				5	2		12		5	2					3		30
38	9	2	6	33	37	64	12	2	30	7	1	12		1	46	262	
39			1	4		1	8		1			1			5		23
40																	
41 & Over	39	14	12	60	88	79	60	13	54	18	1	24	2	1	62	526	
	2	1	1	2	4		34		3	2		1			17		69
Male	157	83	46	171	252	494	225	73	300	69	4	101	31	18	256	2280	
Female	10	3	3	16	15	2	136	5	25	7		3	11		34		270
Total Municipal Court																2550	





MUNICIPAL COURTSDEPARTMENTS

	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Number of probationers continued from last year	1598	312

Following were granted probation in six departments of the Municipal Court:

<u>Dpt.4</u>	<u>Dpt.9</u>	<u>Dpt.10</u>	<u>Dpt.10a</u>	<u>Dpt.11</u>	<u>Dpt.12</u>		
<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
88	196	957	31	466	542		
6		225		22	17	2280	270
						69	141
						3947	723

RELEASES:

Number revoked and sentenced	63	11
Revoked but not apprehended	234	20
No. expired without change of plea	2609	396
Discharged under Sec.1203.3 P.C.	44	2
Discharged " " 1203.4 P.C.	33	
Died	2	1

Number on probation as of June 30, 1954	1962	293
---	------	-----

In addition to the above, the number remaining on probation in Department 10A-Womens Court

	163
TOTALS -	1962 456 <u>2418</u>



<u>RELIGION</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Catholic	148	20	681	90
Protestant	157	18	842	85
Jewish	18	1	37	4
Christian Science	9		22	2
None stated	84	17	888	89
TOTALS	416	56	2280	270

MARITAL STATUS

Single	134	17	491	34
Divorced	13	18	53	5
Separated	9	1	62	7
Married	260	20	1674	224
TOTALS	416	56	2280	270

NATIVITY

California	118	9	673	82
Out of State	265	44	1401	162
Foreign Born	33	3	206	26
TOTALS	416	56	2280	270



OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	<u>Superior Court</u>		<u>Municipal Court</u>	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
Bartenders	11	1	49	2
Tradesmen	51		186	3
Mechanics	21	1	55	2
Cooks & Waiters	17	8	66	
Clerks	30	10	188	52
Laborers	119	3	970	22
Longshoremen	6		78	
Self-employed - Professional	17	1	65	10
Salesmen	42	3	112	8
Drivers or Teamsters	20		71	
Janitors	21	1	75	3
U.S. Service - Armed Forces	11		38	
Seamen	21		76	
Pensioners	5		39	
Students	3		23	1
Not employed	21	1	189	27
Housewives		16		67
Maids				12
Nurses		3		3
Waitresses		8		58
TOTALS	416	56	2280	270



CHARGES: (Some defendants charged with more than one offense)

DISPOSITIONS( New arrests)

Granted probation	130
Sentenced to County Jail	41
Dismissed	229
Suspended sentences	18
Sent to State Hospital	3
Transferred	14
Fined	2
Off calendar	8

Granted probation	109
Sentenced to County Jail	214
Dismissed	327
Suspended sentences	7
Revoked	42
Committed to State Hospital	4

Syphilis	4
Gonorrhea	19
Prior Social Disease	71

Drug addicts	26
Alcoholics	50





MARITAL STATUS

Married	90
Single	100
Divorced	67
Separated	104
Widows	15

RELIGION

Catholic	120
Protestant	204
Other	6
Active	52
Inactive	267
None	50

RACE

White	251
Negro	131
Indian	5
Other	3

NATIVITY

Born in California	79
Other states	289
Foreign Born	12

EMPLOYMENT

Working at time of arrest	79
Not employed time of arrest	218
Employed in last six months	80

<u>Duration of Residence</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>California</u>
Less than six months	148	57
Over six months	230	318

Number of female offenders ordered to leave San Francisco and return home	- 72
---	------



Municipal Court, Department 10a(Continued)

Number continued on probation from last year	203
New probationers received during year	239
Modifications of sentenced and placed on probation	<u>22</u>
Number under supervision	464

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	164	
Unsatisfactory	77	
Revoked	27	
Revoked but not apprehended	33	<u>301</u>

Number on probation as of June 30, 1954	163
---	-----

---



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
604 MONTGOMERY STREET

- - - -

- - ANNUAL REPORT - -

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 11 1955

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FISCAL YEAR  
July 1, 1954 to June 30, 1955



SUPERIOR COURT

Theresa Meikle, Presiding Judge

CRIMINAL DIVISION - Judge Daniel R. Shoemaker  
Department 11.

Judge Orla St. Clair  
Department 6.

Judge John B. Molinari  
Department 12.

Judge Melvin I. Cronin  
Department 19.

- - -

MUNICIPAL COURT

Raymond J. Arata, Presiding Judge  
City Hall

CRIMINAL DIVISION  
HALL OF JUSTICE

Judge John J. McMahon  
Department 10.

Judge Charles S. Peery  
Department 11.

Judge Alvin E. Weinberger  
Department 12.





ADULT PROBATION BOARD

Mr. Robert Penbody  
Mr. Kendrick Vaughan  
Mr. Raymond Blosser  
Mr. Maurice Moskovitz  
Mr. Fred C. Jones  
Mr. Frank Ratto  
Rev. Matthew Connolly

- - -

Mr. John D. Kavanaugh, Chief Probation Officer

- - -

Senior Probation Officers

Mr. George W. Higginbotham  
Miss Margaret Connolly

Probation Officers

Mrs. Irene M. Burton  
Mrs. Frances Hancock  
Mrs. Natalie Rodriguez  
Mrs. Kathryn Westerfeld  
Miss Mary A. Yates  
Mr. Louis C. Bosia  
Mr. William J. Dealey  
Mr. Werner J. Einstadter  
Mr. William J. Gallagher  
Mr. Joseph P. Halligan  
Mr. Warren T. Jenkins  
Mr. John P. Lindsay  
Mr. William H. Morgan  
Mr. Robert D. Nicol

- - -

Bookkeeper

Mr. Joseph F. Balzer  
(appointed November 1954)

Senior Clerk-Stenographer

Mr. James J. Morgan  
(prepared this report)

-

General Clerk-Stenographers

Mrs. Angela A. Balzer  
Mrs. Lois A. Carey  
Mrs. Edna R. Kunz  
Miss Justina K. Mannix  
\* Mrs. Lena Newman  
Mrs. Frances Ortiz  
Miss Anna Sindicich  
Miss Muriel Weinstein

General Clerk-Typist

Mrs. Anne V. Griesinger

Telephone Operator

\*Miss Frances B. Moran

\*Late additions to the staff.



INDEX

	<u>Pages</u>
Letter of transmittal	1,2
Financial Statement	3
Chart I - comparisons of collections	4
Chart II - comparison of case-loads	5
General Statistics - new cases	6
Revocations - comparisons	7
Miscellaneous statistics	8
Offenses - probation granted or denied	9
Superior Court - departments	10
"      "      - offenses by ages	11
Municipal Court-      "      "      "	12
"      "      - Departments	13
Religion, Marital Status and Nativity of probationers received	14
Occupations of probationers	15
MUNICIPAL COURT, Department 10A Charges, Dispositions, Medical	16
Department 10A, Marital Status, Religion, Race, Nativity, Employment Duration of Residence	17
Department 10A - Supervision and Releases	18



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

604 MONTGOMERY STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO 11, CALIF.  
PHONE YUKON 6-2950

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO

REFERS TO \_\_\_\_\_

**JOHN D. KAVANAUGH**

CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

ANNUAL REPORT 1954/55

### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS:

The Annual Report of the Adult Probation Department for the fiscal year 1954/55 is submitted herewith:

Copies of this report will be distributed to the members of the Adult Probation Committee; members of the Board of Supervisors; the California Youth Authority, and the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, who have referred offenders to the Probation Department for investigation and supervision during the year.

In the following pages of this report will be found statistics covering every activity of the department, and some comparisons are shown over a five-year period on Charts I and II. It is clearly evident from these charts that very substantial increases are continuing in collections and case-loads. Collections have reached an all time high of approximately \$400,000.00 and are still mounting. The case-load is also on the increase; it is now around 3400 with a case-load per officer of 240 probationers.

Funds collected by the department show \$259,261.99 for the support of families and minor children; \$57,895.81 for restitution to merchants, hotels and other commercial restitution, and \$81,745.99 collected on fines and restitution for the benefit of the City and County.


New probationers received this year totalled 3084. A total of 4,261 investigations were processed by the department. Probationers while under the supervision of the department and being employed gainfully earned approximately \$8,000,000.00.



Our requests for additional personnel to cope with the increased work have been given consideration by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors; beginning the next fiscal year two more probation officers and two clerks have been added to the staff of the department which will greatly relieve the work pressure. The department must keep pace with the increasing volume of work, and as time goes on more personnel will be needed.

We wish to express our appreciation to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors for their consideration of our problems. We also wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Judges of the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Grand Jury, and the Adult Probation Board. Special assistance was rendered by the Press, District Attorney, and the Police Department. We are grateful to all citizens and civic organizations who helped us in carrying out the administration of probation.

Respectfully submitted



JOHN D. KAVANAUGH  
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

JDK:M





# FINANCIAL STATEMENT

STATEMENT, showing funds appropriated,  
expended, and summary of collections  
for fiscal year ending June 30, 1955:

## APPROPRIATED

\$ 164,759.00

## EXPENDITURES

Salaries - Permanent	\$ 135,584.76	
Temporary	745.30	
Overtime	1,348.90	
Contractual services	4,251.50	
Materials and supplies	2,868.17	
Equipment	3,664.85	
Insurance	35.00	
Rent	15,000.00	
		<u>163,498.68</u>

Unexpended balance (TO General Fund)

\$ 1,260.32

## FUNDS COLLECTED on Court Orders:

Omitting to provide for minor children  
and other family support \$ 259,261.99

Merchants, Hotels and other commercial  
restitution 57,895.81

Fines and Restitution for the benefit of  
the City and County 81,745.99

TOTAL COLLECTIONS - - - \$ 398,903.79

COST OF SUPERVISING an average case load of  
3400 probationers per month for the fiscal  
year ending June 30, 1955:

Actual expenditures \$ 163,498.68

Less:

Fines collected - to General Fund 81,745.99


Net cost to City and County \$ 81,752.69



# CHART I

Showing comparisons of collections made by the Adult Probation Department on court orders, during the past five years.

The chart below shows an increase in collections during the past five years of approximately 70%.



Collections for these years are as follows:


1950/51	\$ 235,360.96
1951/52	290,212.87
1952/53	336,794.34
1953/54	347,117.17
1954/55	398,903.79



## CHART II

Showing comparison of case loads handled by the department during the past five year period:

During the year fourteen supervising probation officers handled a case load of approximately 3400 probationers - an average of 240 was supervised per officer.



Case loads per officer are as follows for the past five years:

1950-51	-	177
1951-52	-	172
1952-53	-	200
1953-54	-	230
1954-55	-	240



GENERAL STATISTICS  
INFORMATION  
ON  
NEW CASES RECEIVED

	<u>SUP. CT.</u>		<u>MUN. COURT</u>		
	Male	Fem.	Male	Fem.	<u>Total</u>
Number of applications for probation(pre-sentence investigations)	776	49	267	46	1138
Modifications	8	12	37	6	63
Number of cases referred for special investigation(not probation applications)	9	6	57	29	101

- - - - -

PROBATION HISTORY

New probationers	416	43	2154	301	2914
1st time on probation	364	36	1661	242	2303
2d time on probation	36	5	297	35	373
3d time on probation	16	2	196	24	238

CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

Served jail sentence	221	19	327	49	616
Fines imposed	74	7	963	108	1152
Restitution	88	5	393	68	554
Family support	* 152		455	1	608
Take psychiatric treatments	7		9		16
Probation to Court	3		8	2	13

\*Referred to this department under California Uniform Reciprocal enforcement of support law.





# REVOCATIONS

Showing comparisons for five year period

1951 to 1955

<u>Year</u>	<u>SUPERIOR COURTS</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURTS</u>		<u>WOMENS COURT, DPT.10A</u>	
	<u>Per cent revoked</u>	<u>On Probn.</u>	<u>Per cent revoked</u>	<u>On probn.</u>	<u>Per cent revoked</u>	<u>On probation</u>
1951	6	964	24	1336	30	387
1952	7	1050	25	1400	31	160
1953	6	1100	24	1800	31	170
1954	6	1150	15	2150	27	180
1955	5	1150	11	2394	22	344

---

Revocations show a decrease in the past year  
in Both Superior and Municipal Courts.



## MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

Miscellaneous activities of the Adult Probation Department not mentioned in other pages of this report are as follows:

During the year, probationers placed on probation were responsible for the support of approximately 1120 adults and 870 children per month, while being gainfully employed and out of custody. Through the efforts of the department, contributions from the Welfare Department for the support of probationers' families, were materially reduced by strict supervision of offenders on probation for "failure to provide."

An average of 9 investigations per month was made for jurisdictions outside this county.

Interviews averaged approximately 180 per month per probation officer.

The number actively reporting per month was approximately 1120 from San Francisco, 175 from the Bay Area, 87 from out of state, and 460 by mail.

Out-of-town calls averaged about 16 per month, and office call-ins about 22 per day per officer.

Field visits averaged about 18 per month.

Overtime worked by the department during the year was 300 paid man-hours. A skeleton crew worked on Saturdays at straight-time pay.

Transportation was arranged for 31 female offenders to return to their parents or relatives in their own home towns.

Statistics are now being compiled on Superior Court cases for the State of California.

Miscellaneous reports made to the courts involved numerous modifications, revocations, restorations to probation, and extensions of probation.

Budget appropriations were insufficient for the needs of the department, and it was necessary to ask for a supplemental appropriation to provide funds for materials and supplies, contractual services and overtime.



OFFENSES  
ON WHICH  
PROBATION WAS GRANTED OR DENIED

<u>SUPERIOR COURT:</u>	<u>GRANTED</u>		<u>DENIED</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Assault	31	4	29	2	
Burglary	57		102		
Forgery	20	4	21	2	
Vio. Sec. 476a P.C.	24	4	26	5	
Grand Theft	41	3	39	4	
Vio. Sec. 480 V.C.	10	1	3		
Vio. Sec. 503 V.C.	9		23		
Rape	18		9		
Robbery	36	1	62	2	
Drugs	44	8	111	11	
Vio. Sec. 337a P.C.	30	4	5		
Contributing to	59	4			
Delinquency/Minors			13	1	
Vio. Sec. 288 P.C.			30		
Other	37	10	36	3	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>- 1000</b>

MUNICIPAL COURT:

	<u>Male</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	
Battery	149	18	
Malicious Mischief	57	5	
Disturbing the Peace	58	6	
Drunk	176	15	
Vio. Sec. 502 Veh. Code	277	29	
Omitting to Provide	492	4	
Petit Theft	232	136	
Vagrancy	66	3	
Vio. Liquor Laws	14		
Vio. Traffic Laws	186	8	
Vio. Local Ordinances	45	12	
Vio. Sec. 499b P.C.	21		
Vio. Drug Laws	32	18	
Sex offenders	105	3	
Other violations	244	44	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2154</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>- 2455</b>
<b>TOTAL OF ALL OFFENSES</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b><u>3455</u></b>



SUPERIOR COURT  
DEPARTMENTS

Following are motions made in four departments of the Superior Court:

Dpt. 6		Dpt. 11		Dpt. 12		Juv. Ct.		TOTAL	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
259		218		189		110		776	49
	11		25		10		3		
<hr/>									
Number of probationers continued from previous year								1063	122
Number granted probation in Superior Court:									
110		108		106		72			
	11		15		11		3		
Transfers				20	3			416	43
<hr/>									
Number under supervision this year								1479	165
Number revoked								40	13
Probation expired, without ch/plea								365	38
Discharged under Sec. 1203.3 P. C.								4	
" " " 1203.4 P. C.								30	3
Died								1	
<hr/>									
Number on probation in Superior Court as of June 30, 1955								1039	111
									- 1150

- - - o o o - - -

Following motions in Superior Court were denied and dispositions were as follows:

Sentenced to State Prison	198	14	
Sentenced to Co. Jail	262	17	
Committed to Youth Authority	32		
Committed to St. Hospital	17	1	
<hr/>			
TOTAL	509	32	- 541

\* \* \* \* \*





SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFENSES BY AGES

AGES	Assault	Burglary	Forgery	476a P. C.	Grand Theft	480 Veh. Code	503 V. C.	Drugs	Contributing to Del. Minor	Robbery	Rape	Soc. 337a P.C	Other offenses	TOTAL	
														Male	Fem.
18 M	1	2			2	1		1	1			1	9		
18 F															
19	4	7		F	1	3	2	2	4				23	1	
20	1	4		2	1	1	1		6	2		2	20		
21	1	7	1	1	5		4		3	1			21	4	
22	2	1	2	1			3	2	2	1			14		
23	1	5		1	1		2	3	2			1	14	2	
24	1	4	1				3	2	2	3			16	2	
24	1		1												
25	1	2	3		4	2	2	1				4	19		
26	2	1	1				2	4	1	1	1	2	15	1	
27		1		2	3	1		1	1	4		1	14	2	
28	1	4	4	2	3		5	5	1		1	3	29	2	
28			1												
29	2	6	2	2	5	1	2	2	2	1		1	26	2	
29							1								
30/34	11	8	4	6	6	2	1	9	4	3	3	1	6	64	8
30/34			1	1	1			2	2			2			
35/39	3	3	1	1	3	4	1	4	7	2	1	3	3	36	6
35/39						1		1	1			1			
40/44	1		1	3	2	1	1	4	8	1		6	6	33	3
40/44												1			
45/49	1	2	1	3	1		1	9	1	1	8	4	31	5	
45/49				1	1		1				1	1			
50 &				1	4		FE	8	4	1	10	4	32	5	
50 &											1	1			
Male	31	57	20	24	41	10	9	44	59	36	18	30	37	416	
Female	4		4	4	3	1		8	4	1		4	10		43

Total 459



# OFFENSES BY AGES

## MUNICIPAL COURT

	AGES	Battery	Mal. Mischief	Dist. Peace	DRUNK	502 VC	Omit Provide	Petit Theft	Vagrancy	Vio. Traffic Laws	Vio. Local Laws	Liquor Laws	Drugs	Soc. 199b PC	Sex offenses	OTHER	TOTALS
	Male	Fem.															Male Fem.
18	3	5	3	2		1	11	2	8	2			2		2	41	8
19	5		3		2	4	16	3	10	1	1		4	2	4	55	6
20	5	1	3		4	13	8	2	14	1		1	1	3	8	64	9
21	8	8		9	12	28	13	5	19	2	1	1	3	4	8	121	
22			1		1	1	10			2		2			2		19
23	12	8	5	11	23	53	22	7	17	3	2	3	1	8	17	192	
24		3				1	17			1		3			2		27
25																	
26	10	6	4	10	30	56	15	4	18	7		4		9	19	192	26
27	1	1			2		11	1	1			3		1	5		
28	22	8	7	19	35	73	22	8	23	4		4	2	16	25	268	
29																	
30	3	2			9	1	15		1	1		2		1	4		39
31	22	5	7	18	33	77	26	6	18	7		5	3	14	24	265	
32					2	1	12		2	1		2		1	5		26
33																	
34	13	5	7	22	36	69	21	8	18	4	4	3	2	27	35	274	
35																	
36	6	1	2	6	2		20			1		2			7		47
37																	
38	25	3	6	22	39	69	26	7	18	6		4	2	3	35	265	
39																	
40	1		1	3	6		4	1	1	1					6		24
41	24	8	13	63	63	49	52	14	23	8	6	7	1	19	67	417	
& Over	3	1	1	6	7		30	1	3	3		3			12		70
Male	149	57	58	176	277	492	232	66	186	45	14	32	21	105	244	2154	
Fem	18	5	6	15	29	4	136	3	8	12		18		3	44		301
TOTAL																	2455



## DEPARTMENTS

293

301

38

594

## 16

19

338

2

10

2

187

129

316

2394



	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
<u>RELIGION:</u>				
Catholic	151	13	676	114
Protestant	148	14	748	88
Jewish	13	2	30	6
Christian Science	5	1	24	3
Athiest	2			
Orthodox	2		5	
Buddist			2	
None stated	95	13	669	90
Totals	416	43	2154	301

MARITAL STATUS:

Single	94	2	412	57
Divorced	14	7	156	22
Separated	16	5	72	17
Married	292	29	1514	205
Totals	416	43	2154	301

NATIVITY:

Born in California	113	12	429	78
Born out of state	250	26	1508	191
Foreign born	53	5	217	32
Totals	416	43	2154	301





# OCCUPATIONS OF PROBATIONERS

	<u>SUPERIOR COURT</u>		<u>MUNICIPAL COURT</u>	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Bartenders	9		49	
Tradesmen	46		170	5
Mechanics	27		35	
Cooks & Waiters	19	1	118	
Clerks	23	5	151	38
Laborers	140	4	812	27
Longshoremen	6		118	
Self-employed - Professional	28	1	70	3
Salespeople	30	2	75	18
Drivers, Teamsters	18		126	
Janitors	15		71	1
U.S. Armed Forces	11		47	1
Seamen	19		126	
Pensioners	9		36	
Students	6		7	
Not employed	10	13	143	45
Housewives		9		77
Maids		2		31
Nurses		6		4
Waitresses				18
Dancers				3
TOTALS	416	43	2154	301



MUNICIPAL COURT  
DEPARTMENT 10-A  
WOMENS COURT

Age Groups

	18	19	20	21	23	26	28	31	34	38	41	Total Number
Juven- iles				22	24	27	29	32	37	39	& Over	Of Investi- gations
6	7	3	8	32	38	24	20	17	26	8	17	206
Re- Arrests	1	1	10	9	31	57	52	67	66	27	10	69
												436
												Total - 642

CHARGES: (Some defendants charged with more than one offense)

Vagrancy	118		
Ord. 240 MPC	72	Prior record	- 87
Drunk	22	None	- 124
Other	17		

DISPOSITIONS: (New arrests)

Granted probation	79
Sentenced to County Jail	24
Dismissed	111
Suspended sentences	3
Transferred	4
Committed to State Hospital	2
Off calendar	5

## (re-arrests)

Granted probation	91
Sentenced to County Jail	135
Dismissed	183
Suspended sentences	11
Revoked	77
Transferred	11
Fined	4
Committed to State Hospital	1

MEDICAL:

Syphilis	3
Gonorrhea	10
Prior social disease	40
Prostitutes	110
Promiscuous	46
Drug addicts	20
Alcoholics	35



Department 10A (Continued)

MARITAL STATUS:

Married	46
Single	49
Divorced	58
Separated	51
Widows	2

---

RELIGION:

Catholic	72
Protestant	118
Active	38
Inactive	154
None	11
Other	5

---

RACE:

White	148
Negro	50
Indian	5
Other	3

---

NATIVITY:

Born in California	46
Born out of state	150
Foreign born	8

---

EMPLOYMENT:

Working at time of arrest	32
No employment at time "	130
Employed in last six months	44

---

<u>Duration of residence</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>California</u>
Less than 6 months	95	39
Over 6 months	111	167

---

Number of female offenders ordered to leave San Francisco and return home	43
--	----

---



Department 10A (Continued)

Number continued on probation from last year	163
New probationers received during year	170
Modifications of sentences and placed on probation	<u>11</u>
Number under supervision during year	344

RELEASES:

Satisfactory	116	
Unsatisfactory	22	
Revoked - not apprehended	53	
Revoked and sentenced	<u>24</u>	<u>215</u>

Number on probation as of June 30, 1955	129
---	-----

---

W. A. 0



